NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS: The copyright law of the United States (title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Any copying of this

document without permission of its author may be prohibited by law.

OPTIMAL ORDER OF ONE-POINT AND MULTIPOINT ITERATION

 ${\tt H.}\ {\tt T.}\ {\tt Kung}\ {\tt and}\ {\tt J.}\ {\tt F.}\ {\tt Traub}$

Computer Science Department Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, Pa.

February 1973

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant GJ-32111 and the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-67-A-0314-0010, NR 044-422.

mi LSMIY CARNEIE-HEUIN 8XAESSTY

ABSTRACT

The problem is to calculate a simple zero of a non-linear function f by iteration. We exhibit a family of iterations of order 2^{n-1} which use n evaluations of f and no derivative evaluations, as well as a second family of iterations of order 2^{n-1} based on n-1 evaluations of f and one of f'. In particular, with four evaluations we construct an iteration of eighth order. The best previous result for four evaluations was fifth order.

We prove that the <u>optimal</u> order of one general class of multipoint iterations is 2^{n-1} and that an upper bound on the order of a multipoint iteration based on n evaluations of f (no derivatives) is 2^n .

CONJECTURE. A multipoint iteration without memory based on n evaluations has optimal order 2^{n-1} .

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		page
1.	Introduction	. 1
2.	Definitions	.3
3.	A Family of One-Point Iterations	.6
4.	A Family of Multipoint Iterations	.7
5,	A Second Family of Multipoint Iterations	.10
6.	The Optimal Order of One-Point Iterations	.12
7,	Two Optimal Order Theorems for Multipoint Iterations.	.15
8.	A Conjecture,	.20
9.	Numerical Example	21
Арре	endix I	I-1
Арра	endix II	I + 1
Bibl	liography	B-1

1. INTRODUCTION

We deal with iterations for calculating simple zeros of a scalar function f. This problem is a prototype for many non-linear numerical problems (Traub [72]). Newton-Raphson iteration is probably the most widely used algorithm for dealing with such problems. It is of second order and requires the evaluation of f and f', that is, it uses two evaluations. Consider an iteration consisting of two successive Newton-Raphson iterates (composition of iterates). This iteration has fourth order and requires four evaluations, two of f and two of f'. More generally an iteration composed of n Newton iterates is of order 2ⁿ and requires n evaluations of f and n evaluations of f', that is, 2n evaluations.

We shall show that an iteration of order 2^{n-1} may be constructed from just n evaluations of f. We exhibit a second type of iteration which requires n-1 evaluations of f and one evaluation of f' to achieve order 2^{n-1} .

In particular, with four evaluations we construct an iteration of eighth order. The best previous result (Traub [64, p. 196]) for four evaluations was fifth order.

Newton-Raphson iteration is an example of a one-point iteration.

The basic optimality theorem for one-point iteration states that an analytic one-point iteration which is based on n evaluations is of order at most n.

(This theorem was first stated by Traub [61], [64, Section 5.4]; we give an improved proof here.) We conjecture that a multipoint iteration based on n evaluations has optimal order 2ⁿ⁻¹. We prove that the optimal order of one important family of multipoint iterations is 2ⁿ⁻¹ and that an upper bound on the order of multipoint iteration based on n evaluations of f is

 2^n . This upper bound is close to the conjectured optimal order of 2^{n-1} .

To compare various algorithms, we must define efficiency measures based on speed of convergence (order), cost of evaluating f and its derivatives (problem cost), and the cost of forming the iteration (algorithm cost). We analyze efficiency in another paper (Kung and Traub [73]). We confine ourselves here to iterations without memory deferring the analysis of iterations with memory to a future paper.

We summarize the results of this paper. The class of problems and algorithms studied in this paper is defined in Section 2. Particular families of iterations are defined in the next three sections. The optimality theorem for one-point iterations is proven in Section 6. An optimal order theorem for one general class of multipoint iterations and an upper bound for the order of a second class are proven in the following section. A general conjecture is stated in Section 8. Section 9 contains a small numerical example.

Appendix I gives pseudo-Algol programs for forming two families of multipoint iterations. The last appendix proves a theorem on where evaluations of an iteration must be taken.

2. DEFINITIONS

We define the ensemble of problems and algorithms. Let $D = \{f \mid f \text{ is a real analytic function defined on an open interval } I_f \subseteq R \text{ which constant}$

Let Ω denote the set of functions p which maps every $f\in D$ to p(f) with the following properties:

tains a simple zero α_f of f and f' does not vanish on I_{f^*}).

- 1. $\not p(f)$ is a function mapping $I_{\not p,f} \subseteq I_f$ into $I_{\not p,f}$ for some open subinterval $I_{\not p,f}$ containing α_f .
- 2. $\phi(f)(\alpha_f) = \alpha_f$.
- 3. There exists an open subinterval $I_{\not p,f}^0 \subset I_{\not p,f}$ containing α_f such that if $x_{i+1} = \not p(f)(x_i)$ then $\lim_{i \to \infty} x_i = \alpha_f$ whenever $x_0 \in I_{\not p,f}^0$.
- 4. Let k, d_0, \dots, d_{k-1} be non-negative integers. For $j=-1, \dots, k-1$, let $u_{j+1}(y_0; y_1^0, \dots, y_{d_0+1}^0; \dots; y_1^j, \dots, y_{d_j+1}^j)$ be a function of $i+\sum\limits_{i=0}^{j}(d_i+1)$ variables. For $j=0,\dots,k-1$, let

$$z_0 = u_0(x),$$
(2.1)
$$z_{j+1} = u_{j+1}(x; f(z_0), ..., f^{(d_0)}(z_0); ...; f(z_j), ..., f^{(d_j)}(z_j)).$$

 $\emptyset(f)(x)$ is defined by

(2.2)
$$\phi(f)(x) = z_k$$
.

The assumption that $f \in D$ is needed for theorems dealing with a class of iterations. Any particular ϕ can be applied to f having only a certain number of derivatives.

In Appendix II, we show that for any $\phi \in \Omega$, if the function $u_0(x)$ in (2.1) is continuous, then $u_0(x) = x$ for all x. To simplify proofs in this paper we assume that $u_0(x) = x$ for all x.

If $\phi \in \Omega$, ϕ is called an <u>iteration without memory</u>, since if the sequence $\{x_i\}$ is generated by $x_{i+1} = \phi(f)(x_i)$, x_{i+1} is computed using information only at the current point x_i . In this paper we limit ourselves to iterations without memory.

If there exists p(p) such that for any $f \in D$,

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \to \alpha_{\mathbf{f}}} \frac{p(\mathbf{f})(\mathbf{x}) - \alpha_{\mathbf{f}}}{(\mathbf{x} - \alpha_{\mathbf{f}})^{p(p)}} = \hat{\mathbf{s}}(p, \mathbf{f})$$

exists for a constant S(p,f) and $S(p,f) \neq 0$ for at least one $f \in D$, then p is said to have order of convergence (order) p(p) and asymptotic error constant S(p,f).

Let $v_i(\phi)$ denote the number of evaluations of $f^{(i)}$ used to compute $\phi(f)(x)$. Then $v(\phi) = \sum_{i \geq 0} v_i(\phi)$ is the total number of evaluations required by $\phi(f)(x)$ per step.

To simplify notation, we often use α , β , p, v_i , v instead of α_f , $\phi(f)(x)$, $p(\phi)$, $v_i(\phi)$, $v(\phi)$, if there is no ambiguity.

The following two examples illustrate the definitions.

Example 2.1. (Newton-Raphson Iteration)

$$\oint (f)(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}.$$

This is a one-point iteration with $v_0=1$, $v_1=1$, v=2, and p=2.

Example 2.2.

$$z_0 = x$$
,
 $z_1 = z_0 - \frac{f(z_0)}{f'(z_0)}$,
 $\phi(f)(x) = z_2 = z_1 - \frac{f(z_1)f(z_0)}{[f(z_1)-f(z_0)]^2} \cdot \frac{f(z_0)}{f'(z_0)}$.

This is a two-point iteration with $v_0=2$, $v_1=1$, v=3, and p=4. (See Section 5.)

3. A FAMILY OF ONE-POINT ITERATIONS

For $f \in D$, let F be the inverse function to f. For every n, define $\gamma_j(f)\colon I_f \to R, \ j=1,\dots,n, \ \text{as follows}\colon \ \gamma_j(f)(x)=x \ \text{ and for } n>1,$

(3.1)
$$Y_{j+1}(f)(x) = Y_j(f)(x) + \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \cdot [f(x)]^j \cdot F^{(j)}(f(x))$$

for j=1,...,n-1. Note that $F^{(j)}(f(x))$ can be expressed in terms of $f^{(i)}(x)$ for i=1,2,...,j. It is easy to show that

$$\gamma_1 = x$$

$$\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$$

$$\gamma_3 = \gamma_2 - \frac{f''(x)}{2f'(x)} \left[\frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} \right]^2$$

The family $\{\gamma_n\}$ has been thoroughly studied (Traub [64, Section 5.1]). Its essential properties are summarized in

Theorem 3.1.

Let γ_n be defined by (3.1). Then for n > 1,

1. $\gamma_n \in \Omega$, and γ_n is a one-point iteration,

2. $p(\gamma_n) = n$,

3. $v_i(\gamma_n) = 1$, $i=0,\ldots,n-1$, $v_i(\gamma_n) = 0$, i>n-1. Hence $v(\gamma_n) = n$.

Thus γ_n requires the evaluation of f and its first n-1 derivatives. In Section 6 we shall show that, under a mild smoothness condition on the iteration, every one-point iteration of order n requires the evaluation of at least f and its first n-1 derivatives.

4. A FAMILY OF MULTIPOINT ITERATIONS

We construct a family of multipoint iterations, lt_n >> which require the evaluation of f at n points, no evaluation of derivatives of f, and for which P^{\wedge}_{a}) $^{\circ}$ 2 $^{\circ}$

For every n, define

$$V^{\text{fig}}$$
 $v_{,,f^{\text{cr}}f}$ '* $s_{j,f^{\text{lin}}}$"

as follows: (f)(x) = x and for n > 0,

$$|t^{(f)}(x)| = x + 0f(x)$$
, 3 a non-zero constant

(4.1) **J**

for j=1,•..,n-1, where (y) is the inverse interpolatory polynomial for f at f(|r|(f)(x)), k=0,...,j. That is, Q.(y) is the polynomial of degree at most j such that

QjCf^CfXx))) -
$$t_{,}(f)(x)$$
, $k < 0$,....j.

The if(f), j=1 ..., n, are well defined if

That (4.2) holds for I , sufficiently small will be part of the proof $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

It is easy to show that

$$\psi_2 = \psi_1 - \frac{\beta f(\psi_0) f(\psi_1)}{f(\psi_1) - f(\psi_0)},$$

$$\psi_3 = \psi_2 - \frac{f(\psi_0) f(\psi_1)}{f(\psi_2) - f(\psi_0)} \left(\frac{\psi_1 - \psi_0}{f(\psi_1) - f(\psi_0)} - \frac{\psi_2 - \psi_1}{f(\psi_2) - f(\psi_1)} \right).$$

A short pseudo-Algol program (Program 1) is given in Appendix I for computing ψ_n for $n \ge 4$.

Our interest in the family of iterations $\{\psi_{\mathbf{n}}\}$ is due to the properties proved in

Theorem 4.1.

- Let ψ_n be defined by (4.1). Then for n > 1,

 1. $\psi_n \in \Omega$, and ψ_n is an n-point iteration,

 2. $p(\psi_n) = 2^{n-1}$,

 - 3. $v_0(\psi_n) = n$, $v_1(\psi_n) = 0$, i > 0. Hence $v(\psi_n) = n$.

Proof.

We want to show that, for $f \in D$,

(4.3)
$$\lim_{x \to \alpha} \frac{\psi_n^{-\alpha}}{(x-\alpha)^2} = S(\psi_n, f), n=1,2,...$$

for constants $S(\psi_n, f)$. The proof is by induction on n.

Since

$$\lim_{x \to \alpha} \frac{\psi_1^{-\alpha}}{x - \alpha} = 1 + \beta f'(\alpha),$$

(4.3) holds for n=1. Assume that (4.3) holds for n=1,...,m-1. From general interpolatory iteration theory (Traub [64, Chapter 4]), we know that

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{x \to \alpha} \frac{\psi_{m}^{-\alpha}}{\prod_{0 \le n \le m} (\psi_{n}^{-\alpha})} = Y_{m}(f)$$

where

$$Y_{m}(f) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}F^{(m)}(0)}{m![F'(0)]^{m}}$$

and F is the inverse function of f. From (4.4) and the induction hypothesis,

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \to \alpha} \frac{\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{-\alpha}}{(\mathbf{x} - \alpha)^{2^{\mathbf{m} - 1}}} = \lim_{\mathbf{x} \to \alpha} \frac{\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{-\alpha}}{0 \le n < m} \cdot \frac{\psi_{\mathbf{0}}^{-\alpha}}{\mathbf{x} - \alpha} \cdot \prod_{1 \le n < m} \left[\frac{\psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\alpha}}{(\mathbf{x} - \alpha)^{2^{\mathbf{n} - 1}}} \right]$$

$$= Y_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{f}) \cdot \prod_{1 \le n < m} S(\psi_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{f}).$$

Hence $S(\psi_m, f) = Y_m(f) \cdot \prod_{1 \le n \le m} S(\psi_n, f)$ and this completes the induction.

From (4.3) one can easily show that $\psi_j(f)$, $j=2,\ldots,n$, satisfies (4.2) for $I_{\psi_j,f}$ sufficiently small and hence is well defined. Now we prove that $\psi_n\in\Omega$. It follows from (4.3) that ψ_n satisfies properties 1, 2, 3 of Section 2. Define

$$z_0 = u_0(x) = x,$$

 $z_1 = u_1(x,f(x)) = x+\beta f(x),$
 $z_{j+1} = u_{j+1}(x;f(z_0),f(z_1),...,f(z_j)),$

for j=1,...,n-1, where $u_{j+1}(x;f(z_0),f(z_1),...,f(z_j))=Q_j(0)$ and $Q_j(y)$ is the inverse interpolatory polynomial for f at $f(z_k)$, k=0,...,j. Then by $^*(4.1)$, $\psi_n(f)(x)=z_n$ for all $f\in D$ and $x\in I_{\psi_n},f$. Hence $\psi_n(f)$ satisfies property 4 of Section 2. Therefore, $\psi_n\in\Omega$.

It is not difficult to show that $S(\psi_n,f)\neq 0$ for some $f\in D$. Therefore, $P(\psi_n)=2^{n-1}$. The fact that $v_0(\psi_n)=n$, $v_1(\psi_n)=0$, i>0 follows from the definition of ψ_n . QED

The iteration ψ_2 is second order and is based on evaluations of f at x and x + $\beta f(x)$. This iteration is given by Traub [64, Section 8.4]. The iteration ψ_n uses n evaluations of f and is of order 2^{n-1} . For n>2, no iterations with these properties were previously known.

5. A SECOND FAMILY OF MULTIPOINT ITERATIONS

We now construct a second family of multipoint iterations, $\{\omega_n\}$, such that $p(\omega_n) = 2^{n-1}$ and $v(\omega_n) = n$. However, ω_n requires the evaluation of fat $\alpha_n = 1$ points and the evaluation of f' at one point.

For every n, define

$$w_{j}(f): \quad I_{w_{j}}, f \subseteq I_{f} \rightarrow I_{w_{j}}, f, j=1,...,n,$$

as follows: $\omega_1(f)(x) = x$ and for n > 1,

(5.1)
$$\begin{cases} \omega_{2}(f)(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}, \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{j+1}(f)(x) = R_{j}(0), \end{cases}$$

for j=2,...,n-1, where $R_j(y)$ is the inverse Hermite interpolatory polynomial of degree at most j such that

$$R_{j}(f(x)) = x,$$
(5.2)
$$R'_{j}(f(x)) = \frac{1}{f'(x)},$$

$$R_{j}(f(\omega_{k}(f)(x))) = \omega_{k}(x), k=2,...,j.$$

One can prove that $w_j(f)$, j=2,...,n, are well defined for $I_{w_j,f}$ sufficiently small. It is easy to show that

$$w_{1} = x,$$

$$w_{2} = w_{1} - \frac{f(w_{1})}{f'(w_{1})},$$

$$w_{3} = w_{2} - \frac{f(w_{1})f(w_{2})}{[f(w_{1})-f(w_{2})]^{2}} \cdot \frac{f(w_{1})}{f'(w_{1})}.$$

A short pseudo-Algol program (Program 2) is given in Appendix I for computing ω_4 for $n \ge 4$.

The basic properties of the family of iterations $\{\omega_{_{\mathbf{n}}}\}$ is stated in the following theorem. The proof is omitted since it is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 5.1.

Let ω_n be defined by (5.1). Then for $n \ge 2$

1. $\frac{\omega_n \in \Omega$, and ω_n is an (n-1)-point iteration, 2. $p(\omega_n) = 2^{n-1}$,

2.
$$p(\omega_n) = 2^{n-1}$$
,

3.
$$v_0(\omega_n) = n-1$$
, $v_1(\omega_n) = 1$, $v_i(\omega_n) = 0$, $i > 1$. Hence $v(\omega_n) = n$.

It is straightforward to show that

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{S(\psi_n, f)}{S(\omega_n, f)} = \left[1 + \beta f'(\alpha)\right]^{2^{n-2}}.$$

If ψ_n is used, β should be chosen so that $1 + \beta f'(\alpha)$ is small.

The iteration w_3 uses two evaluations of f and one of f' and $p(w_3) = 4$. Another iteration with these properties is defined by Ostrowski [66, Appendix G] and a geometrical interpretation is given by Traub [64, Section 8.5]. King [73] gives a family of fourth order methods based on two evaluations of f and one of f'. Jarratt [69] constructs a fourth order iteration based on one evaluation of f and two of f'. The iteration $\boldsymbol{\omega}_n$ uses n-1evaluations of f and one of f' and $p(w_n) = 2^{n-1}$. For n > 3, no iterations with these properties were previously known.

6. THE OPTIMAL ORDER OF ONE-POINT ITERATIONS

By imposing a mild smoothness condition we can prove that one-point iterations of order n require the evaluation of f and at least its first n-1 derivatives. No such requirement holds for multipoint iterations. For example, the multipoint iteration ψ_n defined in Section 4 has order 2^{n-1} but requires no derivative evaluation.

Let $\not p$ be a one-point iteration. Then from (2.1), (2.2)

$$\phi(f)(x) = u_1(x, f(x), ..., f^{(d_0)}(x)),$$

where $u_1(y_0,y_1,\ldots,y_{d_0+1}^0)$ is a multivariate function of d_0+2 variables. In this section we drop the superscript on y_i .

The following theorem was first given by Traub [61], [64, Section 5.4]. We regard the proof given here as an improvement of Traub's proof.

Theorem 6.1.

Let $\not b$ be a one-point iteration of order $p(\not b)$ and let $u_1(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{d_0+1})$ be analytic with respect to y_1 at $y_1=0$. Then $v_1(\not b) \ge 1$, $i=0,\dots,p(\not b)-1$, and hence $p(\not b) \le v(\not b)$.

Proof.

For $f \in D$, define

(6.1)
$$T(f)(x) = \frac{\gamma_p(f)(x) - p(f)(x)}{f^p(x)}$$

where γ_p is a member of the family of iterations defined in Section 3. To simplify notation, we write f for f(x).

MART LIBERRY

CARNESIE-RELLEN RAIVERSITY

Define σ_i by

$$y_p = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma_i f^i$$

where σ_i depends explicitly on $f'(x), \ldots, f^{(i)}(x)$ (Traub [64, Section 5.1]). By the analyticity condition on $\not b$,

$$\oint = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i f^i.$$

Therefore from (6.1),

(6.2)
$$T = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (\sigma_i - \lambda_i) f^{i-p} - \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \lambda_i f^{i-p}.$$

Since $\not p$ and γ_p are of order p, (6.1) implies that

$$\lim_{x \to \alpha_{f}} T(f)(x) = \frac{S(\gamma_{p,f}) - S(p,f)}{[f'(\alpha_{f})]^{p}} < \infty$$

for all $f \in D$. Hence it follows from (6.2) that

(6.3)
$$\sigma_{i} = \lambda_{i}$$
, $i=0,...,p-1$, $\forall f \in D$.

Consider

$$\sigma_{p-1} = \lambda_{p-1}$$

We know that σ_{p-1} depends explicitly on $f'(x), \ldots, f^{(p-1)}(x)$ and that the same must be true for λ_{p-1} . Assume $v_j(\not p)=0$, for some $j, 0 < j \le p-1$. Then $u_1(y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{d_0+1})$ does not depend on y_{j+1} . This implies that

$$\frac{\partial^{\lambda_{b-1}}}{\partial_{b-1}} a^{1}(\lambda^{0}, \lambda^{1}, \dots, \lambda^{q^{0+1}})$$

is independent of y_{j+1} and that

$$\frac{\partial y_1^{p-1}}{\partial p^{-1}} u_1(x,0,f'(x),...,f^{(d_0)}(x))$$

is independent of $f^{(j)}(x)$. Hence

$$\lambda_{p-1} = \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \frac{\partial^{p-1}}{\partial y_1^{p-1}} u(x,0,f'(x),...,f^{(d_0)}(x))$$

is independent of $f^{(j)}(x)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $v_i(\vec{p}) \ge 1$, i=1,...,p-1.

Next we show $v_0(\phi) \ge 1$. Suppose this is false. Then $\lambda_i = 0$, i > 0 and from (6.2)

$$\sigma_i = 0, i=1,...,p-1,$$

which is a contradiction. QED

Corollary 6.1.

Let γ_n be defined by (3.1). Then γ_n achieves the optimal order of any one-point iteration $\not 0$ for which $v(\not 0)=n$ and which satisfies the analyticity condition of the theorem.

Remark

The analyticity condition is not restrictive. For example, it includes all rational iterations and all iterations defined by simple zeros of polynomials with analytic coefficients.

7. TWO OPTIMAL ORDER THEOREMS FOR MULTIPOINT ITERATIONS

We prove an optimal order theorem for one important class of iterations and prove a fairly tight upper bound for the maximal order of a second class of iterations.

Our first class consists of all iterations such that for $j=0,\ldots,k-1$, j,1 appearing in (2.1) is given by a Hermite interpolatory iteration based on the points z_0,\ldots,z . If 0 belongs to this family, we say it is a Hermite interpolatory k-point iteration. The order of jb may be computed as follows. From Traub [64, Section 4.2],

where the d. are as in (2.1). Hence

$$jA(f)(x)-of = z,-cf = 0[(x-c*)]$$

where

$$P(J^*> = (d_u \cdot 1) \cdot n \cdot (d + 2)$$
.

It is easily verified that

$$v(ji) = \begin{cases} k-1 \\ z & (d+1) \\ j-0 \end{cases}$$

We wish to choose k, $d_0 g \cdot \cdot \cdot g \cdot \cdot = \text{such that for } \mathbf{v}()6)$ fixed, p(j6) is maximized. The choice of k and the d. are given by

Theorem 7.1.

Let d. $^{\circ}$ 0, k $^{\circ}$ 1 be integers. Let

$$\mathbf{v}(0) - 2 \quad (d + 1) - n$$
 $\bullet 1 - 0$

be fixed. Then

$$p(\emptyset) = (d_0+1) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (d_j+2)$$

is maximized exactly when

(7.1)
$$k=n, d_j=0, j=0,...,n-1$$

or

(7.2)
$$k=n-1, d_0=1, d_j=0, j=1,...,n-2.$$

Proof.

Since $d_j+1 \le n$, $k \le n$, there are only finitely many cases and the maximum exists. Let the maximum of p be achieved at \bar{d}_j , $j=0,\ldots,\bar{k}-1$. We show first that $\bar{d}_j=0$, $j=1,\ldots,\bar{k}-1$. Assume that $\bar{d}_r=m$, $m \ge 1$, for some r, $r=1,\ldots,\bar{k}-1$. Define \bar{d}_j , $j=0,\ldots,\bar{k}+m-1$ as

$$\bar{d}_{j} = \bar{d}_{j}, j=0,...,\bar{k}-1, j\neq r,$$
 $\bar{d}_{r} = 0,$
 $\bar{d}_{j} = 0, j=\bar{k},...,\bar{k}+m-1.$

Then we can verify that

$$\bar{k}+m-1$$
 Σ
 $j=0$
 $\bar{d}_{j}+1$
 $j=0$
 $\bar{k}-1$
 Σ
 $j=0$
 $\bar{d}_{j}+1$
 $j=0$

and

This contradiction proves that $\bar{d}_j=0$, $j=1,\ldots,\bar{k}-1$. A similar argument may be used to prove $\bar{d}_0 \le 1$. If $\bar{d}_0=0$, $\bar{k}=n$ while if $\bar{d}_0=1$, $\bar{k}=n-1$ which completes the proof. QED

Corollary 7.1.

Let $\not p$ be a Hermite interpolatory iteration with $v(\not p) = n$. Then

$$p(p) \leq 2^{n-1}.$$

Note that ψ_n , defined in Section 4, is an instance of (7.1) while ω_n , defined in Section 5, is an instance of (7.2). (Both $\{\psi_n\}$ and $\{\omega_n\}$ are based on inverse interpolation. There are two other families of iterations based on direct interpolation.) Thus we have

Corollary 7.2.

Let ψ_n and ω_n be defined by (4.1) and (5.1), respectively. Then ψ_n and ω_n have optimal order for Hermite interpolatory interation with n evaluations.

The second theorem of this section gives an upper bound on the order achievable for any multipoint iteration uses values of f only (and no derivatives).

Theorem 7.2.

Let $\not p$ be a multipoint iteration with $v_0(\not p)=n$, $v_1(\not p)=0$, i>0. Then $p(\not p)\leq 2^n$.

Proof.

For $f \in D$, let x_0 be a starting point such that if $x_{i+1} = \phi(f)(x_i)$ then $\lim_{i \to \infty} x_i = \alpha_f$. From (2.1), for each i, denote

$$z_{in} = x_{i},$$

$$z_{in+j+1} = u_{j+1} (z_{in}, f(z_{in}), ..., f(z_{in+j}))$$

for j=0,...,n-1. Then

(7.3)
$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{z_{(i+1)n^{-\alpha}}}{(z_{in^{-\alpha}})^p} = S(p, f).$$

Suppose that $p > 2^n$. Choose q such that $p > q > 2^n$. Then (7.3) implies

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{z_{(i+1)n}^{-\alpha}}{(z_{in}^{-\alpha})^{q}}=0.$$

Let m be sufficiently large so that for $i \ge m$

$$\frac{\left|\mathbf{z}_{(i+1)n}^{-\alpha}\right|}{\left|\mathbf{z}_{in}^{-\alpha}\right|^{q}} < 1, \left|\mathbf{z}_{in}^{-\alpha}\right| < 1.$$

Then

(7.4)
$$|z_{(m+j)n}^{-\alpha}| < |z_{mn}^{-\alpha}|^{q^{j}}, \forall j.$$

However, there exists a f \in D, a sequence $\{z_i^{}\}$ and a constant A, 0 < A < 1, such that

(7.5)
$$|z_{+}-\alpha| > A^{2^{t}}, \forall t,$$

(see Winograd and Wolfe [71, Theorem 3]). From (7.4) and (7.5),

$$|z_{mn}-\alpha|^{q^{j}} > |z_{(m+j)n}-\alpha| > A^{2^{(m+j)n}} = (A^{2^{mn}})^{2^{nj}}.$$

Hence

$$q^{j} |\log|z_{mn} - \alpha| < 2^{nj} |\log A^{2^{mn}}|,$$

or

$$\left(\frac{q}{2^n}\right)^{j} < \frac{|\log A^{2^{mn}}|}{|\log |z_{mn} - \alpha||}.$$

Since the left side is unbounded as $j \to \infty$ while the right side is independent of j, we have a contradiction. Hence $p(\not p) \le 2^n$. QED

In Section 4, we constructed an iteration ψ_n such that $v_0(\psi_n)=n$, $v_i(\psi_n)=0$, i>0 and $p(\psi_n)=2^{n-1}$. Hence the upper bound of Theorem 7.3 is within a factor of two of the order of that iteration. We conjecture in the next section that $p=2^{n-1}$ is optimal.

8. A CONJECTURE

Conjecture 8.1.

Let p be an iteration (with no memory) with v(p) = n. Then

$$(8.1) \quad \underline{p(p)} \le 2^{n-1}.$$

This extends a conjecture of Traub [72] which states (8.1) for n=2,3.

9. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Let $f(x) = x^3 + \ln(1+x)$ where in denotes the logarithm to the natural base. Hence $\alpha=0$. Starting at $x_0=10^{-1}$ and 10^{-2} , we compute x_1 by iterations ψ_n and ψ_n , n=3,4,5. For comparison we also use as many steps of the Newton-Raphson iteration as necessary to bring the error to about 10^{-16} . Calculations were done in double precision arithmetic on a DEC PDP-10 computer. About 16 digits are available in double precision.

Results are summarized in Examples 1-3. The parameter θ that appears in ψ_n was chosen β = -.2 which makes the asymptotic error constant of ψ_n for this problem near unity. The asymptotic error constants of ω_n , n=3,4,5 and the Newton-Raphson iteration are also near unity for this problem. Recall that $p(\psi_n) = p(\omega_n) = 2^{n-1}$ and that for Newton-Raphson iteration, p=2. We expect $x_1 \doteq x_0^p$ to hold and this is numerically verified in the examples. From (5.3), we expect

$$(9.1) \quad \frac{\psi_n(x_0)}{\omega_n(x_0)} \doteq (.8)^{2^{n-2}}$$

and (9.1) is numerically verified in the examples for $x_0 = 10^{-2}$.

The examples illustrate the advantage of ψ_n and ψ_n over the repeated use of Newton-Raphson iteration. Starting with $x_0 = 10^{-1}$, $\psi_5(x_0)$ calculates the zero to "full accuracy" at a cost of four evaluations of f and one of f'. Four Newton-Raphson iterations are required with a cost of four evaluations of f and four of f'. The difference is significant when the evaluation of f' is expensive. This observation takes only the cost of f' into account. A more complete analysis based on efficiency measure considerations is given by Kung and Traub [73].

EXAMPLE 1

× ₀	10 ⁻¹	10 ⁻²
$\mathbf{x}_1 = \psi_3(\mathbf{x}_0)$.21×10 ⁻⁴	.27×10 ⁻⁸
$x_1 = \psi_4(x_0)$	80×10 ⁻⁹	47×10 ⁻¹⁶
$\mathbf{x}_1 = \psi_5(\mathbf{x}_0)$	27×10 ⁻¹⁶	

EXAMPLE 2

× ₀	10-1	10 ⁻²
$x_1 = \omega_3(x_0)$.30×10 ⁻⁴	.42×10 ⁻⁸
$\overline{\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{w}_4(\mathbf{x}_0)}$	15×10 ⁻⁸	12×10 ⁻¹⁵
$\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{w}_5(\mathbf{x}_0)$	24×10 ⁻¹⁶	

EXAMPLE 3

Let $x_{i+1} = p(x_i)$, where p denotes Newton-Raphson iteration.

* ₀	10-1	10 ⁻²
×1	26×10 ⁻²	48×10 ⁻⁴
* ₂	33x10 ⁻⁵	11×10 ⁻⁸
*3	54x10 ⁻¹¹	.46×10 ⁻¹⁷
* ₄	31×10 ⁻¹⁶	

APPENDIX I

Program 1 and Program 2 which are adapted from a result of Krogh [70] compute $\psi_n(f)(x)$ (with the parameter β) and $\omega_n(f)(x)$ for $n \ge 4$.

Program 1.

end

Program 2.

begin

 \mathbf{v}_{obs} - omega

 $\underline{\text{for}} \ \text{i=0} \ \underline{\text{step}} \ 1 \ \underline{\text{until}} \ k\text{-}1 \ \underline{\text{do}}$

begin

"i,1,k" "i,i" "i,k>/("("0,i> "" "< "0,k»

<u>end</u>

end

APPENDIX II

Theorem

Let $\not p \in \Omega$. If the function u_0 appearing in the definition of the set Ω (property 4) is continuous, then $u_0(x) = x$, for all x.

Proof

Consider the functions u_0, \dots, u_k in (2.1). Let $f \in D$, $x \in I_{\not D, f}^0$. Let $y_{i+1}^j(x)$, $z_j(x)$ be defined as follows:

$$z_{0}(x) = u_{0}(x),$$

$$y_{i+1}^{j}(x) = f^{(i)}(z_{j}(x)),$$

$$z_{j+1}(x) = u_{j+1}(x; y_{1}^{0}(x), \dots, y_{d_{0}+1}^{0}(x); \dots; y_{1}^{j}(x), \dots, y_{d_{j}+1}^{j}(x)),$$

for j=0,...,k-1, i=0,...,d. Then

(A.2)
$$\phi(f)(x) = u_k(x; y_1^0(x), \dots, y_{d_0+1}^0(x); \dots; y_1^{k-1}(x), \dots, y_{d_{k-1}+1}^{k-1}(x)).$$

Since $\phi(f)(\alpha_f) = \alpha_f$ by Property 2 (Section 2),

$$(A,3) \quad \alpha_{\rm f} = {\rm u_k}(\alpha_{\rm f};y_1^0(\alpha_{\rm f}),\ldots,y_{\rm d_{k-1}+1}^{k-1}(\alpha_{\rm f})).$$

Suppose that $u_0(x) \not\equiv x$. Then there exists w_0 such that $u_0(w_0) \not\equiv w_0$. By the continuity of u_0 , $u_0(\omega) \not\equiv \omega$ in an open interval I_0 containing w_0 . We shall show that $\phi(f)(\omega) = \omega$ for all ω in $I_0 \cap I_{\phi,f}^0$. For any fixed $f \in D$ and $\omega \in I_0 \cap I_{\phi,f}^0$ define $y_{i+1}^j(\omega)$, $z_j(\omega)$ by setting $x = \omega$ in (A.1). Then by (A.2)

$$(A.4) \quad \not D(f)(\omega) = u_k(\omega; \ y_1^0(\omega), \dots, y_{d_{k-1}+1}^{k-1}(\omega)).$$

Since $u_0(w) \neq w$, there exists a polynomial q such that

$$q(\omega) = 0, q'(\omega) = 1,$$

$$q^{(i)}(z_{i}(\omega)) = y_{i+1}^{j}(\omega), j=0,...,k-1, i=0,...,d_{j}.$$

Certainly, $q \in D$ and $\alpha_q = \omega$. By (A.3)

$$(\text{A.5}) \quad \omega = \, \text{u}_{k}(\omega; \, \, \text{y}_{1}^{0}(\omega), \ldots, \text{y}_{d_{k-1}+1}^{k-1}(\omega)) \, .$$

Equations (A.4) and (A.5) imply that $\phi(f)(w) = w$ for all $f \in D$ and for all $w \in I_0 \cap I_{\phi,f}^0$. There exists a $g \in D$ such that $\alpha_g = \omega_0$. Since $\phi \in \Omega$, there exists an open interval $I_{\phi,g}^0$ containing ω_0 such that if $x_{i+1} = \phi(g)(x_i)$, then $\lim_i x_i = \omega_0$ whenever $x_0 \in I_{\phi,g}^0$. This is a contradiction since for all $x_0 \in I_0 \cap I_{\phi,g}^0$ ($x_0 \neq \omega_0$), $\phi(g)(x_0) = x_0$, which does not converge to ω_0 . QED

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Jarratt [69]	Jarratt, P., Some Efficient Fourth Order Multi- point Methods for Solving Equations. BIT 9 (1969), 119-124.
King [73]	King, R. R., A Family of Fourth-Order Methods for Nonlinear Equations. To appear.
Krough [70]	Krough, F. T., Efficient Algorithms for Polynomial Interpolation and Numerical Differentiation. Math. Comp. 24 (1970), 185-190.
Kung and Traub [73]	Kung, H. T. and Traub, J. F., Computational Complexity of One-Point and Multipoint Iteration. To appear.
Ostrowski [66]	Ostrowski, A. M., Solution of Equations and Systems of Equations, second edition. Academic Press, 1966.
Traub [61]	Traub, J. F., On Functional Iteration and the Calculation of Roots. Proceedings 16th National ACM Conference, 5A-1 (1961), 1-4.
Traub [64]	Traub, J. F., Iterative Methods for the Solution of Equations. Prentice-Hall, 1964.
Traub [72] .	Traub, J. F., Computational Complexity of Iterative Processes. SIAM Journal Computing, Vol. 1, No. 2, (1972), 167-179.
Winograd and Wolfe [71]	Winograd, S. and Wolfe, P., Optimal Iterative Processes. IBM Report, RC3511 (#15883), 1971.