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MENACHEM KOJMAN AND SAHARON SHELAH

Abstract. We give an elementary proof of the fact that regressive Ramsey numbers are Ackermannian. This fact was first proved b
y Kanamorij and McAloon with mathematical logic techniques.

Nous vivons encore sous le règne de la logique, voilà, bien entendu, à quoi je voulais en venir. Mais les procédés logiques, de nos jours, ne s'appliquent plus qu'à la résolution de problèmes d'intérêt secondaire. [1, 1924, p. 13] is

## 1. Introduction

Definition 1. 1. let $A$ be a set of natural numbers. A coloring $c$ $[A]^{e} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ of unordered e-tuples from $A$ is regressive if $c(x)<$ $\min x$ for all $x \in[A]^{e}$.
2. A subset $B \subseteq A$ is min-homogeneous for a coloring $c$ of $[A]^{e}$ if for all $x \in[A]^{e}$ the color $c(x)$ depends only on $\min x$.

Theorem 2 (Kanamori and McAloon). 1. For every $k$ and $e$ there exists $N$ such that for every regressive pair coloring on $\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ there exists a min-homogeneous subset of size $k$.
2. The statement in (1) cannot be proved from the axioms of Peano Arithmetic (although it can be phrased in the language of $P A$ )
3. Let $\nu(k)$ be the least $N$ which satisfies 1 for $e=2$. The function $\nu$ eventually dominates every primitive recursive function.

Part (3) of Kanamori and McAloon's result [3] was proved with mathematical logic methods. We present below an elementary proof of 3 .

## 2. The Lower bound

Define a sequence of (strictly increasing) integer functions $f_{i}, i \geq 1$ as follows:
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$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}(n) & =n+1  \tag{1}\\
f_{i+1}(n) & =f_{i}^{(l \sqrt{n}))}(n) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Fix an integer $k>2$. Define a sequence of semi-metrics $\left\langle d_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\rangle$ on $\left\{n: n \geq k^{2}\right\}$ by putting, for $k^{2} \leq m \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}(m, n)=\left|\left\{l \in \mathbb{N}: m \leq f_{i}^{(l)}\left(k^{2}\right)<n\right\}\right| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $i(m, n)$, for $k^{2} \leq m<n$, be the greatest $i$ for which $d_{i}(m, n)$ is positive, and $d(m, n)=d_{i(m, n)}(m, n)$.
Claim 3. For all $n \geq m \geq k^{2}, d(m, n) \leq \sqrt{m}$.
Proof. Trivial.
Let us fix the following (standard) pairing function $\operatorname{Pr}$ on $\mathbb{N}^{2}$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(m, n)=\binom{m+n}{2}+n
$$

$\operatorname{Pr}$ is a bijection between $[\mathbb{N}]^{2}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ and is monotone in each variable. Observe that if $m, n \leq l$ then $\operatorname{Pr}(m, n)<l^{2}$ for all $l>3$.

Define a pair coloring $c$ on $\left\{n: n \geq k^{2}\right\}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(m, n)=\operatorname{Pr}(i(m, n), d(m, n)) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 4. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, every sequence $x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{i}$ that satisfies $d_{i}\left(x_{0}, x_{i}\right)=0$ is not min-homogeneous for $c$.

Proof. The claim is proved by induction on $i$. If $i=1$ then there are no $x_{0}<x_{1}$ with $d_{1}(x, y)=0$ at all. Suppose to the contrary that $i>1$, that $x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{i}$ is min-homogeneous with respect to $c$ and that $d_{i}\left(x_{0}, x_{i}\right)=0$. Necessarily, $i\left(x_{0}, x_{j}\right)=j<i$. By min-homogeneity, $i\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=j$ as well, and $d_{j}\left(x_{0}, x_{i}\right)=d_{j}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$. Hence, $\left\{x_{1}, x, \ldots x_{i}\right\}$ is min-homogeneous with $d_{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{i}\right)=0-$ contrary to the induction hypothesis.
Claim 5. The coloring c in (4) is regressive on the interval $\left[k^{2}, f_{k}\left(k^{2}\right)\right)$.
Proof. Clearly, $d_{k+1}(m, n)=0$ for $k^{2} \leq m<n<f_{k}\left(k^{2}\right)$ and therefore $i(m, n) \leq k<\sqrt{m}$. From Claim ?? we know that $d(m, n) \leq$ $\sqrt{m}$. Thus, $c(m, n)=\operatorname{Pr}(i(m, n), d(m, n)) \leq \operatorname{Pr}(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{m})<m$, since $\sqrt{m}>3$.

We show that $f_{k}\left(k^{2}\right)$ grows eventually faster than every primitive recursive function by comparing the functions $f_{i}$ with the usual approximations of Ackermann's function. It is well known that every primitive recursive function is dominated by some approximation of Ackermann's function (see, e.g. [2]).
Let $A_{i}(n)$ be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1}(n) & =n+1  \tag{5}\\
A_{i+1}(n) & =A_{i}^{(n)}(n) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The $A_{i}$-s are the usual approximations to Ackermann's function, which is defined by $\operatorname{Ack}(n)=A_{n}(n)$.
Claim 6. 1. $f_{i}(n) \geq 4 n^{2}$ for $i, n \geq 4$.
2. $A_{i}(n) \leq f_{i+4}\left(4 n^{2}\right) \leq f_{i+4}^{(2)}(n)$ for all $i$ and $n \geq 4$.
3. $A_{i}(n) \leq f_{i+5}(n)$ for all $i$ and $n \geq 4$.

Proof. The first item is verified directly. The second inequality in the second item is by 1 . The first inequality is proved by induction on $i$. Suppose $A_{i}(n) \leq f_{i+4}\left(4 n^{2}\right)$. Since $A_{i}(n) \leq f_{i+4}^{(2)}(n)$, iterating $n$ times yields $A_{i}^{(n)}(n) \leq f_{i+4}^{(2 n)}(n)$, which is $\leq f_{i+4}^{(2 n)}\left(4 n^{2}\right)=f_{i+5}\left(4 n^{2}\right)$. Thus $A_{i+1}(n) \leq f_{i+5}\left(4 n^{2}\right)$.

The last item follows now trivially: $A_{i}(n) \leq f_{i+4}^{(2)}(n) \leq f_{i+5}(n)$ (as $n \geq 4$ ).
Corollary 7. The function $\nu(k)$ eventually dominates every primitive recursive func tion.

## 3. Discussion

3.1. Other Ramsey numbers. Paris and Harrington [8] published in 1976 the first finite Ramsey-type statement that was shown to be independent over Peano Arithmetic. Soon after the discovery of the Paris-Harrington result, Erdős and Mills studied the Ramsey-ParisHarrington numbers in [7]. Denoting by $R_{c}^{e}(k)$ the Ramsey-ParisHarrington number for exponent $e$ and $c$ many colors, Erdős and Mills showed that $R_{2}^{2}(k)$ is double exponential in $k$ and that $R_{c}^{2}(k)$ is Ackermannian as a function of $k$ and $c$. In the same paper, several small Ramsey-Paris-Harrington numbers were computed. Later Mills tighten ed the double exponential upper bound for $R_{2}^{2}(k)$ in [5].

Canonical Ramsey numbers for pair colorings were treated in [4] and were also fond to be double exponential.

The second author showed that van der Waerden numbers are primitive recursive, refuting the conjecture that they were Ackermannian, in [9] (see also [6]).

We remark that an upper bound for regressive Ramsey numbers for pairs is $R_{2}^{3}(k)$ - the Ramsey-Paris-Harrington number for triples. Let $N$ be large enough and suppose that $c$ is regressive on $\{1,2, \ldots, N-1\}$. Color a triple $x<y<z$ red if $c(x, y)=c(x, z)$ and blue otherwise. Find a homogeneous set $A$ of size at least $k$ and so that $|A|>\min A+1$. The homogeneous color on $A$ cannot be red for $k>5$, and therefore $A$ is min-homogeneous for $c$.
3.2. Problems. The following two problems about regressive Ramsey numbers remain open:

Problem 8. 1. Find a concrete upper bound for regressive Ramsey numbers.
2. Compute small regressive Ramsey numbers
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