

**NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS:**

The copyright law of the United States (title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Any copying of this document without permission of its author may be prohibited by law.

# A Proof of Shelah's Theorem

by

M. Kojman

Department of Mathematics  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Research Report No. 95-175<sub>2</sub>  
January, 1995

510.6  
C28R  
95-175

## A PROOF OF SHELAH'S PARTITION THEOREM

Menachem Kojman, Carnegie-Mellon University

The following is self contained presentation of Shelah's recent proof of the partition relation  $\binom{\mu^+}{\mu} \rightarrow \binom{\mu^+}{\mu}^{1,1}_{<cf\mu}$  for a singular strong limit  $\mu$  violating the GCH. The notation  $\binom{\mu^+}{\mu} \rightarrow \binom{\mu^+}{\mu}^{1,1}_{<cf\mu}$  means: for every coloring  $c$  of  $\mu^+ \times \mu$  by less than  $cf\mu$  many colors there are  $A \subseteq \mu^+$  with  $otp A = \mu + 1$  and  $B \subseteq \mu$  with  $otp B = \mu$  such that  $c$  is constant on  $A \times B$ .

The proof here is re-arranged slightly differently than the proof in the forthcoming [Sh 513] so that no use of other results of Shelah is made, except for Fact 2 below, which comes from pcf theory. In other words, we avoid here using the ideal  $I[\lambda]$  from [Sh 420] and the tools from [Sh 108]; now it is not that reading those two papers is a bad idea — on the contrary, I have been intending to do so myself for a number of years now. It is only that the proof is accessible directly.

The pcf theory needed to obtain 2 below will be available also in a survey paper [K] on pcf and  $I[\lambda]$ .

**0.1 Theorem:** Suppose that  $\mu$  is a strong limit singular cardinal and  $2^\mu > \mu^+$ . Then

$$\binom{\mu^+}{\mu} \rightarrow \binom{\mu^+}{\mu}^{1,1}_{<cf\mu}$$

*Proof:* We prove actually a stronger claim: for every function  $c : (\mu^+ \times \mu) \rightarrow \theta$ , for  $\theta < cf\mu$ , there are  $A \subseteq \mu^+$  and  $B \subseteq \mu$  with  $otp A = \mu + 1$  and  $otp B = \mu$  such that the function  $c|(A \times B)$  does not depend on the first coordinate. This clearly implies the theorem.

Let  $\kappa$  denote  $cf\mu$ . Fix an increasing sequence  $\bar{\mu} = \langle \mu_i : i < \kappa \rangle$  cofinal in  $\mu$  such that  $\mu_0 > \kappa$ . The assumptions we made about  $\mu$  imply the following:

**0.2 Pcf Fact:** There is an increasing sequence of regular cardinals  $\bar{\lambda} = \langle \lambda_i : i < \kappa \rangle$  with  $\sup \bar{\lambda} = \mu$  such that  $\Pi_i \lambda_i / J_\kappa^{bd}$  is  $\mu^{++}$ -directed, where  $J_\kappa^{bd}$  is the ideal of bounded subsets of  $\kappa$ .

This Fact follows from  $\mu$  being a strong limit and  $2^\mu > \mu^+$  via pcf theory. For details see chapter 8 of Shelah's book or [K].

We may thin out  $\bar{\lambda}$  and assume that  $\lambda_i > 2^{\mu_i^+}$ .

Suppose that  $c : (\mu^+ \times \mu) \rightarrow \theta$  is given for some  $\theta < \kappa$ . We need to produce  $A$  and  $B$  as above. These sets will be constructed in  $\kappa$  many approximations, after some preparation.

Fix a function  $F$  from  $[\mu^+]^2$  to  $\kappa$  such that for all  $i < \kappa$  the set  $a_i^\alpha := \{\beta < \alpha : F(\alpha, \beta) \leq i\}$  has cardinality at most  $\mu_i^+$ . Thus  $\alpha = \bigcup_{i < \kappa} A_i^\alpha$ .

Let  $\chi$  be a sufficiently large regular cardinal. We define by double induction of  $\mu^+ \times \kappa$  a matrix  $\{M_{\alpha, i} : \alpha < \mu^+, i < \kappa\}$  of elementary submodels of  $(H(\chi), \in)$ , satisfying:

- (0)  $M_{\alpha, i} \prec (H(\chi), \in)$ ,  $\|M_{\alpha, i}\| = 2^{\mu_i^+}$  and  $\mu_i^+ M_{\alpha, i} \subseteq M_{\alpha, i}$  ( $M_{\alpha, i}$  is closed under sequences of length  $\mu_i^+$ ).
- (1)  $\alpha, c, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\lambda}$  and  $F$  belong to  $M_{\alpha, i}$  and  $\{M_{\beta, j} : (\beta, j) <_{lx} (\alpha, i)\}$  belongs to  $M_{\alpha, i}$ .

There is no problem to choose  $M_{\alpha, i}$  so that it satisfies the conditions above.

We make a few simple observations about this array of models:

### 0.3 Fact:

- (0) If  $(\beta, j) <_{lx} (\alpha, i)$  and  $\beta \in M_{\alpha, i}$  then  $M_{\beta, j} \in M_{\alpha, i}$ .
- (1) If  $M_{\beta, j} \in M_{\alpha, i}$  and  $j \leq i$  then  $M_{\beta, j} \subseteq M_{\alpha, i}$  and hence  $M_{\beta, j} \prec M_{\alpha, i}$ .
- (2)  $M_{\alpha, j} \prec M_{\alpha, i}$  for all  $\alpha < \mu^+$  and  $j < i < \kappa$ .
- (3)  $\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_i M_{\alpha, i}$  for all  $\alpha < \mu^+$
- (4) For all  $\beta < \alpha < \mu^+$  for an end segment of  $i < \kappa$  it holds that  $M_{\beta, i} \subseteq M_{\alpha, i}$  and hence  $M_{\beta, i} \prec M_{\alpha, i}$ .

*Proof:* Clause (0) follows from the demand that  $\{M_{\beta, j} : (\beta, j) <_{lx} (\alpha, i)\} \in M_{\alpha, i}$  and the fact that  $\kappa \subseteq \mu_i \subseteq M_{\alpha, i}$ , so  $i \in M_{\alpha, i}$ , and therefore  $M_{\beta, j}$  is definable from parameters in  $M_{\alpha, i}$ . Being an elementary submodel,  $M_{\alpha, i}$  contains every set definable from parameters in  $M_{\alpha, i}$ .

To see clause (1) suppose that  $M_{\beta, j} \in M_{\alpha, i}$  and that  $j \leq i$ . By elementarity of  $M_{\alpha, i}$  there is a bijection  $\varphi : 2^{\mu_i^+} \rightarrow M_{\beta, j}$  in  $M_{\alpha, i}$ . As  $2^{\mu_i^+} \subseteq M_{\alpha, i}$ , also  $\text{ran} \varphi \subseteq M_{\alpha, i}$  and hence  $M_{\beta, j} \subseteq M_{\alpha, i}$ . Since also  $M_{\beta, j} \prec (H(\chi), \in)$  and  $M_{\alpha, i} \prec (H(\chi), \in)$ , necessarily  $M_{\beta, j} \prec M_{\alpha, i}$  and (1) holds.

Clause (2) follows from the previous two and the fact that  $\alpha \in M_{\alpha, i}$ .

To prove (3) use the fact that  $a_i^\alpha \in M_{\alpha,i}$  and also  $a_i^\alpha \subseteq M_{\alpha,i}$  for all  $i < \kappa$ . Therefore for all  $i \geq F(\alpha, b)$  it holds that  $\beta \in M_{\alpha,i}$ . Thus (3) holds.

The last clause follows from the previous ones.

A conclusion of those facts is the following:

**0.4 Fact:** The sequence  $\overline{M}_\alpha = \langle M_{\alpha,i} : i < \kappa \rangle$  is increasing in  $\prec$ ,  $\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_i M_{\alpha,i}$  and if  $\beta < \alpha < \mu^+$  then  $\overline{M}_\beta \in_{J_\kappa^{bd}} \overline{M}_\alpha$ ,  $\overline{M}_\beta \subseteq_{J_\kappa^{bd}} \overline{M}_\alpha$ , and even  $\overline{M}_\beta \prec_{J_\kappa^{bd}} \overline{M}_\alpha$ , namely for all sufficiently large  $i < \kappa$  we have that  $M_{\beta,i} \in M_{\alpha,i}$ ,  $M_{\beta,i} \subseteq M_{\alpha,i}$  and  $M_{\beta,i} \prec M_{\alpha,i}$ .

For every  $\alpha < \mu^+$  and  $i < \kappa$  define  $f_\alpha(i) = \sup M_{\alpha,i} \cap \lambda_i$ . As we assumed that  $\lambda_i > 2^{\mu^+} = \|M_{\alpha,i}\|$ , it follows by the regularity of  $\lambda_i$  that  $f_\alpha(i) \in \lambda_i$ , for all  $i < \kappa$  and therefore  $f_\alpha \in \Pi \lambda_i$  for all  $\alpha < \mu^+$ .

Furthermore, if  $\beta < \alpha < \mu^+$  then from some  $i_{\alpha,\beta} < \kappa$  onwards  $M_{\beta,i} \in M_{\alpha,i}$  and therefore (as  $\overline{\lambda} \subseteq M_{\alpha,i}$ )  $f_\beta(i) \in M_{\alpha,i}$  and hence  $f_\beta(i) < f_\alpha(i)$  on an end segment of  $\kappa$ , or  $f_\beta <_{J_\kappa^{bd}} f_\alpha$ . Thus  $\overline{f} = \langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$  is increasing in  $<_{J_\kappa^{bd}}$ .

Use Fact 0.2 above to find a bound  $f^* \in \Pi \lambda_i$  to  $\overline{f}$  in  $\leq_{J_\kappa^{bd}}$ .

Using  $f^*$  and the coloring  $c$ , define  $g_\alpha(i) = c(\alpha, f^*(i))$  for all  $\alpha < \mu^+$  and  $i < \kappa$ . The function  $g_\alpha$  specifies the  $c$ -type of  $\alpha$  over the sequence  $\langle f^*(i) : i < \kappa \rangle$ .

As there are only  $\theta^\kappa < \mu^+ = \text{cf} \mu^+$  many possible such types, we find a function  $g^* : \kappa \rightarrow \theta$  so that  $A := \{\alpha < \mu^+ : g_\alpha = g^*\}$  is unbounded in  $\mu^+$ .

Let us find now by induction on  $\zeta < \mu^+$  an increasing continuous chain of elementary submodels  $\overline{N} = \langle N_\alpha : \zeta < \mu^+ \rangle$  satisfying:

- (0)  $\mu \subseteq N_\zeta \prec (H(\chi, \epsilon))$  and  $\|N_\zeta\| = \mu$
- (1)  $A, g^*$  and  $\{M_{\alpha,i} : \alpha < \mu^+, i < \kappa\}$  belong to  $N_0$

Let  $E = \{\zeta < \mu^+ : \zeta = N_\zeta \cap \mu^+\}$ . This is a club of  $\mu^+$ .

By induction on  $i < \kappa$  we choose a strictly increasing sequence of ordinals  $\delta_i < \mu^+$  satisfying:

- (a)  $\delta_i \in \text{acc } E$  (that is,  $\delta_i$  is an accumulation point of  $E$ ) and
- (b)  $\text{cf} \delta_i = \mu_i^+$ .

Observe that  $\delta_i > \sup\{\delta_\nu : \nu < i\}$  for all  $i < \kappa$ , because  $\text{cf} \delta_i = \mu_i^+$ . This enables us to choose  $\alpha(i) \in \delta_i \setminus \sup\{\delta_\nu : \nu < i\}$  for every  $i < \kappa$ .

We also observe that if  $\alpha \in N_\zeta$  then  $M_{\alpha,i} \prec N_\zeta$  for  $i < \kappa$ . Therefore, if  $\zeta \in E$ , then  $M_{\alpha,i} \prec N_\zeta$  for all  $\alpha < \zeta$  and  $i < \kappa$ .

Pick  $\alpha(*) \in A \setminus \sup\{\delta_i : i < \kappa\}$ .

We define now by induction on  $i < \kappa$  sets  $A_i, B_i$  and an index  $j(i) < \kappa$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (a)  $j(i) > i$  and  $i_1 < i_2 \Rightarrow \lambda_{j(i_1)} < \mu_{j(i_2)}$
- (b) For any two ordinals  $\sigma < \tau$  in the set  $\{\delta_\nu : \nu \leq i\} \cup \{\alpha_\nu : \nu \leq i\} \cup \{\alpha(*)\}$  it holds that  $\overline{M}_\sigma \prec \overline{M}_\tau$  and  $f_\sigma < f_\tau$  on the end segment  $(j(i), \kappa)$  of  $\kappa$ .
- (c)  $A_i \subseteq A \cap \delta_i$ ,  $\text{otp } A_i = \mu_i^+$  and  $A_i \in M_{\delta_i, j(i)}$ .
- (d)  $B_i \subseteq \lambda_{j(i)} \setminus \sup\{\lambda_{j(\nu)} : \nu < i\}$ ,  $\text{otp } B_i = \lambda_{j(i)}$  and  $B_i \in M_{\delta_i, j(B_i)}$  for some  $j(B_i) < \kappa$ .  
Also,  $B_\nu \in M_{\delta_i, j(i)}$  for all  $\nu < i$ .
- (e) If  $\alpha \in \bigcup_{\nu \leq i} A_i \cup \{\alpha(*)\}$  and  $\beta \in B_\nu$  for some  $\nu \leq i$  then  $c(\alpha, \beta) = g^*(j(\nu))$ .

If the induction is carried out successfully, then by (e) it follows that if  $\alpha \in A = \bigcup_{i < \kappa} A_i \cup \{\alpha(*)\}$  and  $\beta \in B = \bigcup_{i < \kappa} B_i$  then  $c(\alpha, \beta) = g^*(j(i))$  for the (unique) first  $i$  satisfying  $\lambda_{j(i)} > \beta$ . From (c) and (d) it follows that  $\text{otp } A = \mu + 1$  and  $\text{otp } B = \mu$ . Thus  $A, B$  are as required by the theorem.

Suppose, then, that  $A_\nu, B_\nu$  and  $j(\nu)$  are defined for all  $\nu < i$  and satisfy the conditions above.

Since  $\alpha(i) > \nu$  for every  $\nu < i$ , there is some  $j(\nu) < \kappa$  such that  $B_\nu, A_\nu, j(\nu) \in M_{\alpha(i), j}$  for  $j \geq j(\nu)$ . Let  $j_0 < \kappa$  be large enough so that  $B_\nu, A_\nu, j(\nu) \in M_{\alpha(i), j_0}$  for all  $\nu < i$  and so that  $\mu_{j_0} > \lambda_{j(\nu)}$  for all  $\nu < i$ . This can be done as there are less than  $\kappa$  many  $\nu$ -s.

We have, then,  $B_\nu \in M_{\alpha(i), j_0}$  for all  $\nu < i$  or  $\{B_\nu : \nu < i\} \subseteq M_{\alpha(i), j_0}$ . As  $M_{\alpha(i), j_0}$  is closed under sequences of length at most  $\mu_{j_0}^+ > \kappa$  we also have that  $\langle B_\nu : \nu < i \rangle \in M_{\alpha(i), j_0}$ . Similarly,  $\langle A_\nu : \nu < i \rangle \in M_{\alpha(i), j_0}$  and  $\langle j(\nu) : \nu < i \rangle \in M_{\alpha(i), j_0}$ .

Since  $\delta_i$  is an accumulation point of  $E$  and has cofinality  $\mu_i^+$ , we can find an increasing sequence  $\langle \zeta_\epsilon : \epsilon < \mu_i^+ \rangle$  of elements of  $E$  with  $\zeta_0 > \alpha(i)$ .

For every  $\zeta_\epsilon$  in the sequence we chose,  $\alpha(i) \in \zeta_\epsilon \subseteq N_{\zeta_\epsilon}$ , and therefore  $M_{\alpha(i), j_0} \prec N_{\zeta_\epsilon}$  and hence  $\langle B_\nu : \nu < i \rangle, \langle j(\nu) : \nu < i \rangle \in N_{\zeta_\epsilon}$ .

For every  $\epsilon < \mu_i^+$  the ordinal  $\alpha(*)$  satisfies in  $(H(\chi, \epsilon))$  the following formula  $\varphi(x, \zeta_\epsilon)$  (when substituted for  $x$ ):

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x, \zeta_\epsilon) := x \in A \ \& \ x > \zeta_\epsilon \ \& \ (\forall \nu < i)(\beta \in B_\nu \Rightarrow c(x, \beta) = g^*(j(\nu)))$$

Since all the parameters in this sentence — namely  $A$ ,  $\langle B_\nu : \nu < i \rangle$ ,  $\langle j(\nu) : \nu < i \rangle$ ,  $c$ ,  $g^*$  and  $\zeta_\epsilon$  — belong to  $N_{\zeta_{\epsilon+1}}$  and the latter is an elementary submodel of  $(H(\chi), \in)$ , there is an ordinal  $\gamma_\epsilon \in N_{\zeta_{\epsilon+1}}$  such that  $\varphi(\gamma_\epsilon, \zeta_\epsilon)$  holds. Clearly,  $\zeta_\epsilon < \gamma_\epsilon < \zeta_{\epsilon+1} < \delta_i$ .

Let  $A'_i := \{\gamma_{\epsilon+1} : \epsilon < \mu_i^+\}$ . We have shown that  $A'_i \subseteq A \cap (\alpha(i), \delta_i)$  and every  $\alpha \in A'_i$  satisfies that  $c(\alpha, \beta) = g^*(j(i))$  for the first  $i$  such that  $\lambda_{j(i)} > \beta$ . Each member of  $A'_i$  belongs to  $M_{\delta_i, j}$  for some  $j < \kappa$ , since  $\delta_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j < \kappa} M_{\delta_i, j}$ . Because  $\mu_i^+ > \kappa$  is regular, there must be some index  $j_1 < \kappa$  such that  $A(i) = A'(i) \cap M_{\delta_i, j_1}$  has cardinality  $\mu_i^+$ . Let  $A(i)$  be the set  $A_i$  we need to define. This takes care of the first two parts in (c).

Let  $j(i) \geq \max\{j_1, j_0\}$  be large enough so that  $A_i \in M_{\delta_i, j_1}$  and  $M_{\delta_i, j(i)} \prec M_{\alpha(*), j(i)}$ , and also such that  $f_{\delta_i}(j(i)) < f^*(j(i))$ . Now the remaining part of (c), (a) and (b) are also satisfied.

Work now in  $M_{\alpha(*), j(i)}$ . We know that  $\langle A_\nu : \nu < i \rangle, A_i, \alpha(*) \in M_{\alpha(*), j(i)}$  and that also the function  $\nu \mapsto j(\nu)$  for  $\nu < \kappa$  belongs to  $M_{\alpha(*), j(i)}$ , because all functions from  $\kappa$  to  $\kappa$  belong to it.

Therefore the following set is definable in  $M_{\alpha(*), j(i)}$ :

$$(2) \quad B := \{\beta < \lambda_{j(i)} : c(\alpha, \beta) = g^*(j(i)) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \bigcup_{\nu \leq i} A_\nu \cup \{\alpha(*)\}\}$$

Observe that  $f^*(j(i))$  belongs to the set  $B$  defined in (2) because  $\bigcup_{\nu \leq i} A_\nu \cup \{\alpha(*)\} \subseteq A$ , but that since  $f^*(j(i)) > f_{\delta_i}(j(i)) = \sup M_{\delta_i, j(i)} \cap \lambda_{j(i)}$  it does not belong to  $M_{\delta_i, j(i)}$ . This shows that  $B$  has no bound in  $M_{\delta_i, j(i)} \cap \lambda_{j(i)}$ . We conclude, then, that  $B$  is unbounded below  $\lambda_{j(i)}$ : being definable in  $M_{\delta_i, j(i)}$ , if there were a bound to  $B$  below  $\lambda_{j(i)}$  there would be one in  $M_{\delta_i, j(i)}$ ; but there is not.

Using the same argument as before, we find some  $j(B) < \kappa$  such that  $B_i = B \cap M_{\delta_i, j(B)} \setminus \sup\{\lambda_{j(\nu)} : \nu < i\}$  belongs to  $M_{\delta_i, j(B)}$  and has cardinality  $\lambda_{j(i)}$ . Now (d) and (e) are also satisfied.

This completes the induction, and the proof as well.

## References

[K] M. Kojman, *PCF and  $I[\lambda]$* , in preparation

[Sh 108] S. Shelah, *On successors of singular cardinal* in Logic Colloquium 78, volume 97 of Stud. Logic. Foundations Math, pp. 357–380, North Holland 1979

[Sh 420] S. Shelah, *Advances in Cardinal Arithmetic* in Proceedings of the Banff Conference in algebra.

[Sh 513] S. Shelah *pcf and infinite free subsets in an algebra*, in preparation.



APR 15 2004

Carnegie Mellon University Libraries



3 8482 01379 3498