

MULTICOLORED TREES IN
RANDOM GRAPHS

by

Alan Frieze

Department of Mathematics
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA U.S.A.

and

Brendan D. McKay

Department of Computer Science,
Australian National University
Canberra, Australia

Research Report No. 92-140₂

January, 1992

MULTICOLOURED TREES IN RANDOM GRAPHS

Alan Frieze*

Department of Mathematics,
Carnegie Mellon University,
Pittsburgh, U.S.A.

and

Brendan D. McKay
Department of Computer Science,
Australian National University
Canberra, Australia

January 2, 1992

1 INTRODUCTION

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph in which the edges are coloured. A set $S \subseteq E$ is said to be *multicoloured* if each edge of S is a different colour. A spanning tree of G is said to be multicoloured if its edge set is. In this paper we study

*Supported by NSF grant CCR-9024935

the existence of a multicoloured spanning tree (MST) in a randomly coloured random graph.

In fact, our main result will concern a randomly coloured graph process. Here e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N is a random permutation of the edges of the complete graph K_n and so $N = \binom{n}{2}$. Each edge e independently chooses a random colour $c(e)$ from a given set of colours W , $|W| \geq n - 1$.

The graph process consists of the sequence of random graphs $G_m, m = 1, 2, \dots, N$, where $G_m = ([n], E_m)$ and $E_m = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$. We identify the following events:

$$\mathcal{C}_m = \{G_m \text{ is connected}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{N}_m = \{|c(E_m)| \geq n - 1\}, \text{ where } c(E_m) \text{ is the set of colours used by } E_m.$$

$$\mathcal{MT}_m = \{G_m \text{ has a multicoloured spanning tree}\}.$$

Let \mathcal{E}_m stand for one of the above three sequences of events and let

$$m_{\mathcal{E}} = \min\{m : \mathcal{E}_m \text{ occurs}\},$$

provided such an m exists. Clearly, if $m_{\mathcal{MT}}$ is defined,

$$m_{\mathcal{MT}} \geq \max\{m_{\mathcal{C}}, m_{\mathcal{N}}\},$$

and the main result of the paper is

Theorem 1 *In almost every (a.e.) randomly coloured graph process*

$$m_{\mathcal{MT}} = \max\{m_{\mathcal{C}}, m_{\mathcal{N}}\}.$$

□

To establish the existence of an MST we use a result of Edmonds [2] on the matroid intersection problem. In this scenario M_1, M_2 are matroids over a common ground set E with rank functions r_1, r_2 respectively. Edmonds' general theorem on this problem is

$$\max(|I| : I \text{ is independent in both matroids}) = \min_{E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset} (r_1(E_1) + r_2(E_2)) \quad (1)$$

For us M_1 is the cycle matroid of a graph $G = G_m$ and M_2 is the partition matroid associated with the colours. Thus for a set of edges E , $r_1(E) = n - K(S)$ where $K(S)$ is the number of components of the graph $G_S = ([w], S)$ and $r_2(S)$ is the number of distinct colours occurring in S . If $i \in W$ then C_i denotes the set of edges of colour i and for $I \subseteq W$, $C_I = \bigcup_{i \in I} C_i$. We will use Edmonds' theorem in the following form:

Theorem 2 *Suppose $|W| = n - 1$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an MST is that*

$$K(d) \leq n - |I| \quad \text{for all } I \subseteq W. \quad (2)$$

[To see this, w.l.o.g. restrict attention in (1) to E_2 of the form C_I and then take $E_1 = W \setminus I$ in (2).]

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Observe first that if $u = u(n) \rightarrow \infty$ slowly, then in a.e. randomly coloured graph process

$$m_C \geq m_0 = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}n(\ln n - \omega) \rfloor \text{ and } m_N \leq m_1 = \lceil n(\ln n + \omega) \rceil.$$

We will start by justifying a concentration on the case $|W| = n - 1$. We will describe a coupled process in which there are never more than $n - 1$ colours used: from $m_{\mathcal{N}}$ onwards, the colours that have not yet been used are randomly changed to one of the $n - 1$ colours that have appeared so far. The relevant properties of this coupled process are

1. For each $m \in [m_0, m_1]$ the edges of G_m are independently randomly coloured from a choice of $n - 1$ colours.
2. If $m_{\mathcal{MT}} > \max\{m_{\mathcal{C}}, m_{\mathcal{N}}\}$ holds for the original process then it also holds for the coupled process.

Thus to prove our theorem we need only prove that

$$\Pr(m_{\mathcal{MT}} > \max\{m_{\mathcal{C}}, m_{\mathcal{N}}\}) = o(1).$$

where \Pr refers to the coupled process.

Fix some m in the range $[m_0, m_1]$. We define the event

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \{\exists I \subseteq W, |I| = k : \kappa(C_I) \geq n - |I| + 1\}.$$

We know that if $|W| = n - 1$, G_m is connected and each colour is used at least once and there is no MST then \mathcal{A}_k occurs for some $k \in [3, n - 2]$ ($\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ cannot occur if all $n - 1$ colours are used and \mathcal{A}_{n-1} cannot occur if G_m is connected.) Take a minimal k , corresponding set I and let $S = C_I$.

Claim 1 G_S has no bridges.

Proof If there is a bridge, remove it and all edges of the same colour. Clearly \mathcal{A}_{k-1} occurs, contradicting the minimality of k . \square



With the notation of Claim 1 suppose then that G_S has i isolated vertices and $n - k + x - i$ non-trivial components, $x \geq 1$. Since non-trivial components without bridges have at least three vertices,

$$i + 3(n - k + x - i) \leq n \tag{3}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} i &\geq n - k + 2x \\ &\geq n - \frac{3}{2}k + \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned}$$

So now let B_k denote the event

$$\{3 \subseteq W, |V| = k, T \subseteq [n] : |T| \leq 3(k-1)/2, \text{ all edges coloured with } / \text{ are contained in } T, \text{ there are } u \geq \max\{fc, i\} \text{ } / \text{-coloured edges}\}.$$

Here T is the set of vertices in the non-trivial components of G_{C_7} . Thus if $|W| = n - 1$,

$$M_m n A_k \subseteq \bigcup_{i=3}^k B_i \quad \text{for } k \geq 3. \tag{4}$$

For $k \geq 9n/10$ we consider a slightly different event.

We first rephrase (2) as

$$K(C_W/J) \leq |V| + 1 \quad \text{for all } J \subseteq W. \tag{5}$$

So if $|W| = n - 1$ and there is no MST then there exist $\ell \geq 1$ colours whose deletion produces $A \geq \ell + 2$ components of sizes n_1, \dots, n_ℓ .

Claim 2 *Some subsequence of the n_i 's sums to between $\ell + 1$ and $n/2$.*

Proof Assume $n \leq \ell + 1$.

If $n \geq \ell + 1$, one of $n_1, \dots, n_{\ell+1}$ and $n_{\ell+2}$ suffices.

Suppose then that $n_i \leq \ell$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Choose r such that

$$n_1 + \dots + n_r \leq n/2, \quad n_1 + \dots + n_{r+1} > n/2$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 + \dots + n_r &> n/2 - n_{r+1} \\ &\geq n/2 - \ell \\ &\geq \ell. \end{aligned}$$

and we can take n_1, \dots, n_r .

Note next that if J is minimal in (5) then each colour in J appears at least twice as an edge joining components of $G_{W \setminus J}$.

So if G_m is connected and there is no MST and A_k does not occur for $k \leq 9n/10$ then there is a set L of $1 \leq \ell < n/10$ colours and a set S of size s , $\ell + 1 \leq s \leq n/2$ such that (i) all $t = r(5) = |(S : \bar{S})| \geq 1$ edges are L -coloured, $((S^* : \bar{S})$ is the set of edges joining S^* and $\bar{S} = V \setminus S$), (ii) the lexicographically first $\max\{2^{\ell-1}, 0\}$ non- $(S^* : \bar{S})$ edges joining up components (of the $W \setminus L$ coloured edges) are also L -coloured. Let $T > \ell$ denote this event.

Then

$$\mathcal{C}_m \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=9n/10}^{n-2} \mathcal{A}_k \right) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n/10} (J \text{ PT}_m(V_\ell)). \quad (6)$$

It follows from (4) and (6) that

$$\Pr(m_{\mathcal{MT}} > \max\{m_{\mathcal{N}}, m_{\mathcal{C}}\}) \leq o(1) + \sum_{m=m_0}^{m_1} \left[\sum_{k=3}^{9n/10} \Pr_m(\mathcal{B}_k) + \sum_{\ell=2}^{n/10} \Pr_m(\mathcal{D}_\ell) \right] + \Pr \left(\bigcup_{m=m_0}^{m_1} (\mathcal{C}_m \cap \mathcal{A}_{n-2}) \right). \quad (7)$$

Here \Pr_m denotes probability w.r.t. G_m and the $o(1)$ term is the probability that G_{m_0} is connected or that $m_{\mathcal{N}} > m_1$. (Our calculations force us to separate out \mathcal{A}_{n-2} .)

We must now estimate the individual probabilities in (7). It is easier to work with the independent model G_p , $p = m/N$, where each edge occurs independently with probability p and is then randomly coloured. For any event \mathcal{E} we have (see Bollobás [1] Chapter II) the simple bound

$$\Pr_m(\mathcal{E}) \leq 3\sqrt{n \ln n} \Pr_p(\mathcal{E}). \quad (8)$$

where \Pr_p denotes probability w.r.t. the model G_p .

Now, where $p = \alpha \ln n/n$, $1 - o(1) \leq \alpha \leq 2 + o(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_p(\mathcal{B}_k) &\leq \sum_{t=1}^{3(k-1)/2} \sum_{u=\max\{t,k\}}^{\binom{t}{2}} \binom{n}{t} \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{\binom{t}{2}}{u} \left(1 - \frac{kp}{n-1}\right)^{\binom{n}{2}-u} \left(\frac{kp}{n-1}\right)^u \\ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^{3(k-1)/2} \sum_{u=\max\{t,k\}}^{\binom{t}{2}} \frac{n^t e^t n^k e^k}{t^t k^k} \left(\frac{t^2 e}{2u}\right)^u n^{-k\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-o(1))} \left(\frac{\alpha k \ln n}{n^2}\right)^u. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Case 1: $3 \leq k \leq k_0 = n/(3 \ln n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_p(\mathcal{B}_k) &\leq \sum_{t=1}^{3(k-1)/2} \sum_{u=\max\{t,k\}}^{\binom{t}{2}} \left(\frac{e^3 n^{1-\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-o(1))}}{k}\right)^k \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{2u-t} \left(\frac{\alpha e k \ln n}{2u}\right)^u \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{3(k-1)/2} \sum_{u=\max\{t,k\}}^{\binom{t}{2}} \left(\frac{e^3 n^{1-\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-o(1))}}{k}\right)^k \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{u-t} \left(\frac{\alpha e k t \ln n}{2un}\right)^u \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{t=1}^{3(k-1)/2} \sum_{u=\max\{t,k\}}^{\binom{t}{2}} \left(\frac{e^3 n^{1-\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-o(1))} \alpha \epsilon k \ln n}{2kn} \right)^k \left(\frac{t}{n} \right)^{u-t} \left(\frac{\alpha \epsilon k \ln n}{2n} \right)^{u-k} \\
&= O\left(\left(\frac{\ln n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-o(1)}} \right)^k \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from this and (8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=m_0}^{m_1} \sum_{k=4}^{k_0} \Pr_m(\mathcal{B}_k) &= O((n \ln n)(\sqrt{n \ln n})((\ln n)^4/n^{2-o(1)})) \\
&= o(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

For $k = 3$ we compute $\Pr_m(\mathcal{B}_3)$ directly, but since now $u = t = k = 3$ is forced,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr_m(\mathcal{B}_3) &\leq \binom{n}{3}^2 \left(1 - \frac{3}{n-1}\right)^{m-3} \left(\frac{3}{n-1}\right)^3 \frac{\binom{N-3}{m-3}}{\binom{N}{m}} \\
&= O(e^{3\omega} (\ln n)^3 n^{-3/2})
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\sum_{m=m_0}^{m_1} \Pr_m(\mathcal{B}_3) = o(1). \tag{11}$$

Case 2: $k_0 < k \leq n/2$.

We now write (9) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr_p(\mathcal{B}_k) &\leq \sum_{t=1}^{3(k-1)/2} \sum_{u=\max\{t,k\}}^{\binom{t}{2}} \left(\frac{e^3 n^{1-\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-o(1))}}{k} \right)^k \left(\frac{t}{n} \right)^{u-t} \left(\frac{\alpha \epsilon k t \ln n}{2un} \right)^u \\
&\leq \sum_{t=1}^{3(k-1)/2} \sum_{u=\max\{t,k\}}^{\binom{t}{2}} \left(\frac{e^3 n^{1-\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-o(1))}}{k} \right)^k \left(\frac{t}{n} \right)^{u-t} n^{\frac{\alpha t k}{2n}}
\end{aligned}$$

(after maximising the last term over u)

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{3(k-1)/2} \sum_{u=\max\{t,k\}}^{\binom{t}{2}} \left(\frac{e^3 n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}(1-\frac{t}{n}-\alpha(1))}}{k} \right)^k \left(\frac{t}{n} \right)^{u-t} \quad (12)$$

$$\leq \sum_{t=1}^{3(k-1)/2} \sum_{u=\max\{t,k\}}^{\binom{t}{2}} \left(\frac{e^3 n^{1-\alpha(\frac{1}{8}-\alpha(1))}}{k} \right)^k \quad (13)$$

since $t \leq 3(k-1)/2 \leq 3n/4$.

(13) and (8) clearly imply

$$\sum_{m=m_0}^{m_i} \sum_{k=k_0}^{n/2} \Pr_m(\mathcal{B}_k) = o(1). \quad (14)$$

Case 3: $n/2 < A \leq 9n/10$

Claim 3 Choose any constant $A > 0$. Then, in a.e. process, simultaneously for each $m \in [m_0, m_i]$, the sets of $s \leq A$ vertices of G_m which span at least s edges together contain at most $(\ln n)^{A+1}$ vertices.

Proof We need only prove this for G_{m_i} and since the property is monotone decreasing we need only prove it for G_{p_i} , $p_i = m_i/n$ ([1], Chapter II).
But

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p_i}(\text{number of vertices}) &\leq \sum_{k=3}^A \binom{U}{k} \binom{\binom{k}{2}}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} P_i^k \\ &= O(e^{2A} (\ln n)^A). \end{aligned}$$

Now use the Markov inequality. Q

It follows that we may rewrite (3) as

$$i + 3(\ln n)^{A+1} + (A+1)(n-k+x-i) \leq n$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} i &\geq n - \frac{A+1}{J} k - O((\ln n)^{A+1}) \\ &\geq n - \frac{A}{A-1} k. \end{aligned}$$

By making A sufficiently large we see that if $k \leq 9n/10$ then $t \leq 19n/20$ in (12) and consequently

$$\sum_{m=m_0}^{\min\{9n/10, t\}} \sum_{fc=n/2} \hat{m}(B_k) = o(i). \quad (15)$$

Case 4: $A \geq 9n/10$

$\Pr_p(\mathcal{D}_\ell) \leq$

$$\sum_{\ell} \binom{n}{s} \binom{n-1}{n-s} \frac{s^{\binom{n-s}{s}}}{s^{\binom{n-s}{s}}} \binom{s(n-s)}{t} e_p \mathbf{V} \dots \ell^{-\max\{2\ell-t, 0\}}$$

Let $u(s, t, t)$ denote the summand in the above and let $p = a \ln n/n$ and note that $a \in [1 - UJ/\ln n, 2 + u/\ln n]$.

Case 4.1: $i \leq 2\ell$

It will generally be convenient to split s into two ranges:

Case 4.1.1: $s \leq n^{1/10}$

$$\begin{aligned} u(s, \ell, t) &= \binom{n}{s} \binom{n-1}{\ell} \binom{s(n-s)}{t} p^t (1-p)^{s(n-s)-t} \left(\frac{\ell}{n-1}\right)^{2\ell} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{ne}{s}\right)^s \left(\frac{(n-1)e}{\ell}\right)^\ell \left(\frac{s(n-s)e^{1+p\alpha \ln n}}{tn}\right)^t n^{-\alpha s(n-s)/n} \left(\frac{\ell}{n-1}\right)^{2\ell} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n^{1-\alpha+\alpha s/n} e}{s}\right)^s \left(\frac{\ell e}{n-1}\right)^\ell \left(\frac{e^2 s(n-s) \ln n}{Tn}\right)^t \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n^{1-\alpha+\alpha s/n} e}{s}\right)^s \left(\frac{e^4 s^2 (n-s)^2 (\ln n)^2}{n^3 \ell}\right)^\ell. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Now

$$n^{l-a+as/n} \leq \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} o(1) e^{\omega} \quad (17)$$

where $a > 1 - u/\ln n$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$ slowly.

So if $s \leq 3e^n$ then (16) implies that

$$u(s, \ell, t) \leq n^{-(1-o(1))\ell},$$

and if $s > 3e^n$

$$\begin{aligned} U(S, J, A) &\leq \left(e^{l - \frac{(s - \ell)^2 (\ln n)^2}{nH}} \right)^\ell \\ &= O\left(\left(\frac{s}{n^{1-o(1)}} \right)^\ell \right). \end{aligned}$$

Case 4.1.2: $s > n^{1/10}$.

Claim 4 /n a.e. process, every G_m , $m \in [m_0, m_j]$ is 5wci that $TJ(S) \geq \gamma|S| \ln n$ /or $|S|^{1/10} \leq |S| \leq n/2$; where $\gamma > 0$ is some absolute constant.

Proof (outline) For $|S| \geq n^{2/3}$ one can use the Chernoff bounds on the tails of the binomial $r_j(S)$. If $|S| \leq n^{2/3}$ we use the fact that with high probability (i) G_{m_0} has n^{ϵ} vertices of degree $\leq 6 \ln n$ where $\epsilon = \epsilon(n) \rightarrow 0$ with n , and (ii) in G_{m_i} no set S of size $\leq n/(\ln n)^2$ contains 3151 edges.

So if $s \geq n^{1/10}$ then we can take $t \geq 7s \ln n > 2\ell$ for some constant $7 > 0$ and this case is vacuous.

Case 4.2 : $t > 2\ell$.

$$u(s, \ell, t) \leq \left(\frac{ne}{s} \right)^s \left(\frac{(n-1)e}{\ell} \right)^\ell \left(\frac{s(n-s)e^{1+p\alpha\ell \ln n}}{tn(n-1)} \right)^t n^{-\alpha s(n-s)/n}$$

$$= \left(\frac{n^{1-\alpha+\alpha s/n} e^{\omega}}{V} \right)^J \left(\frac{f(n-l)e^{\omega}}{L} \right)^s \left(\frac{fs(n-s)e^{\omega} + \text{annn}}{tn(n-l)} \right)^t \quad (18)$$

Case 4.2.1: $t \leq 2n$ and so $((n-l)e/t)^t \leq (2ne/\ll)^{t/2}$.

$$u(s, \ell, t) \leq \left(\frac{n^{1-\alpha+\alpha s/n} e^{\omega}}{s} \right)^J \left(\frac{20s\ell \ln n}{t^{3/2} n^{1/2}} \right)^t. \quad (19)$$

Case 4.2.1.1: $s < n^{1/10}$. Now (17) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{n^{1-\alpha+\alpha s/n} e^{\omega}}{s} \right)^s &\leq \left(\frac{(1+o(1))e^{\omega+1}}{s} \right)^s \\ &\leq e^{(1+o(1))e^{\omega}} \\ &= e^{\hat{\omega}}, \text{ say,} \end{aligned}$$

and so (19) implies

$$u(s, \ell, t) \leq \left(\frac{s^{\hat{\omega}}}{s^{1-\alpha(1)}} \right)^t. \quad (20)$$

Case 4.2.1.2: $s \geq n^{1/10}$.

Using Claim 4 and (19),

$$u(s, \ell, t) \leq n^{-s/11} \left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2-\alpha(1)} \sqrt{s}} \right)^t.$$

Case 4.2.2: $t \geq 2n$ and so $(ne/\ell)^e \leq e^n \leq e^{t/2}$.

From (18),

$$u(s, \ell, t) \leq \left(\frac{(1+o(1))e^{\omega+1}}{V} \right)^J \left(\frac{20s\ell \ln n}{tn} \right)^t.$$

Case 4.2.2.1: $s < n^{1/10}$.

Arguing as in (20),

$$u(s, \ell, t) \leq \left(\frac{s}{n^{1-o(1)}} \right)^t.$$

Case 4.2.2.2: $s \geq n^{1/10}$.

From Claim 4

$$u(s, \ell, t) \leq \left(\frac{(1+o(1))e^{\omega+1}}{s} \right)^s \left(\frac{A\ell}{n} \right)^t.$$

for some constant $A > 0$. Now this clearly implies

$$u(s, \ell, t) = O(2^{-n}) \tag{21}$$

for $\ell \leq n/(3A)$. For $\ell > n/(3A)$ we have $s \geq \ell$ and

$$u(s, \ell, t) \leq n^{-s/2} A^n$$

and so (21) holds here also.

Summarising,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\mathcal{D}_\ell) &= O \left(\sum_{t=1}^{2\ell} \sum_{s=\ell+1}^{n^{1/10}} \left(\frac{s}{n^{1-o(1)}} \right)^\ell + \sum_{t=2\ell+1}^{2n} \sum_{s=\ell+1}^{n^{1/10}} \left(\frac{s}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-o(1)}} \right)^t \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=2\ell+1}^{2n} \sum_{s=n^{1/10}}^{n/2} \left(\frac{s}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-o(1)}\sqrt{s}} \right)^t + \sum_{s=1}^{n^{1/10}} \sum_{t=2n+1}^{s(n-s)} \left(\frac{s}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-o(1)}} \right)^t \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s=n^{1/10}}^{n/2} \sum_{t=2n+1}^{s(n-s)} 2^{-n} \right) \\ &= O(\ell n^{-(.9-o(1))\ell}). \end{aligned}$$

where the double summations correspond to the five cases enumerated above.

Thus, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=m_0}^{m_1} \sum_{\ell=2}^{n/10} \Pr_m(\mathcal{D}_\ell) &= O((n \ln n)(\sqrt{n \ln n})n^{-1.7}) \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

We are thus left with $\Pr\left(\bigcup_{m=m_0}^{m_1} (C_m \cap \mathcal{A}_{n-2})\right)$.

We consider G_{m_0} . We know that a.e. G_{m_0} consists of a giant connected component C plus $O(e^\omega)$ isolated vertices T . If $\bigcup_{m=m_0}^{m_1} (C_m \cap \mathcal{A}_{n-2})$ occurs at some time during the process then either

(i) there exist $u, v \in T$ such that the first edges of the process that are incident with each of u and v are the same colour,

OR

(ii) there exists a colour c and a set S , $2 \leq |S| \leq n/2$ such that in G_{m_0} the $t \geq 2$ ($S : \bar{S}$) edges are all of colour c .

(Suppose that deleting the edges of colour c from G_m produces at least three components. If colour k has not occurred by time m_0 then two of these components must be vertices from T , contradicting (i). If G_{m_0} has edges of colour c then deleting these edges must break C into at least three pieces.)

Clearly

$$\Pr((i)) = o(1) + O(e^{2\omega}/n) = o(1).$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_p((ii)) &\leq \sum_{s=2}^{n/2} \binom{n}{s} n^s \sum_{t=2}^{s(n-s)} \binom{s(n-s)}{t} \left(\frac{p}{n}\right)^t (1-p)^{s(n-s)-t} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{s=2}^{n/2} \binom{n}{s} n^s \sum_{t=2}^{10 \ln n} \frac{(s(n-s))^t}{t!} \left(\frac{\alpha \ln n}{n^2}\right)^t n^{-\alpha s} \\ &\leq n \sum_{s=2}^{n/2} \left(\frac{n^{1-\alpha}}{s}\right)^s \sum_{t=2}^{10 \ln n} \left(\frac{s\alpha \ln n}{n}\right)^t \\ &= O(n^{-(1-o(1))}). \end{aligned}$$



The upper bound is good enough to apply (8) and so $\Pr_{m_0}((ii)) = o(1)$. Thus

$$\Pr \left(\bigcup_{m=m_0}^{m_1} (\mathcal{C}_m \cap \mathcal{A}_{n-2}) \right) = o(1). \quad (23)$$

Our theorem now follows from (7),(10),(11),(14),(15),(22) and (23).

References

- [1] B.Bollobás, *Random graphs*, Academic press, 1985.
- [2] J.Edmonds, *Submodular functions, matroids and certain polyhedra*, in *Combinatorial Structures and their Applications*, R.Guy et al, eds., Gordon and Breach, 1970, pp69-87.

