

**NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS:**  
The copyright law of the United States (title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Any copying of this document without permission of its author may be prohibited by law.

**NAMT**

**93-019**

**The Existence of Ginzburg-Landau  
Solution on the Plane by a Direct  
Variational Method**

**Y. Yang  
Carnegie Mellon University**

**Research Report No. 93-NA-019**

**June 1993**

**Sponsors**

**U.S. Army Research Office  
Research Triangle Park  
NC 27709**

**National Science Foundation  
1800 G Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20550**

TMAU  
P10-EP

# The Existence of Ginzburg–Landau Solution on the Plane by a Direct Variational Method

YISONG YANG \*

## Abstract

This paper studies the existence and the minimization problem of the solutions of the Ginzburg–Landau equations in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  coupled with an external magnetic field or a source current. The lack of a suitable Sobolev inequality makes it necessary to consider a variational problem over a special admissible space so that the space norms of the gauge vector fields of a minimization sequence can be controlled by the corresponding energy upper bound and a solution may be obtained as a minimizer of a modified energy of the problem. Asymptotic properties and flux quantization are established for finite-energy solutions. Besides, it is shown that the solutions obtained also minimize the original Ginzburg–Landau energy when the admissible space is properly chosen.

## I. Introduction.

Let  $\phi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a complex scalar field and  $A : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  a vector field, where  $n = 2, 3, 4$ . The Ginzburg–Landau (GL) energy density in the presence of an external magnetic field  $F_{jk}^{\text{ex}}$  ( $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) takes the form

$$\mathcal{E}(\phi, A) = \frac{1}{4}F_{jk}F_{jk} + \frac{1}{2}(D_j^A \phi)^*(D_j^A \phi) + \frac{\lambda}{8}(|\phi|^2 - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}F_{jk}F_{jk}^{\text{ex}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $F_{jk} = \partial_j A_k - \partial_k A_j$  is the magnetic field induced from  $A = (A_j)$  – called the gauge vector field,  $D_j^A \phi = \partial_j \phi - iA_j \phi$  is the gauge-covariant derivative of  $\phi$ ,  $*$  denotes the complex conjugate, and the summation convention is observed on repeated indices. There is a local gauge symmetry in (1):

$$\phi \mapsto \phi e^{i\omega}, \quad A \mapsto A + \nabla \omega, \quad \omega : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}. \quad (2)$$

---

\*Department of Mathematics and Center for Nonlinear Analysis, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

When  $n = 2, 3$ , (1) defines the well-known GL model of superconductivity, while, when  $n = 4$ , it defines the Euclidean abelian Higgs model in classical field theory [DH]. Varying the energy  $E(\phi, A) = \int \mathcal{E}(\phi, A) dx$  leads to the following GL equations

$$D_j^A D_j^A \phi + \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 - |\phi|^2)\phi = 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (3)$$

$$\partial_k F_{kj} + \frac{i}{2}(\phi[D_j^A \phi]^* - \phi^*[D_j^A \phi]) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_k(F_{kj}^{\text{ex}} - F_{jk}^{\text{ex}}),$$

A basic problem is: Prove the existence of a finite-energy solution for the GL system (3) assuming that the external field  $F_{jk}^{\text{ex}}$  carries a finite energy

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} F_{jk}^{\text{ex}} F_{jk}^{\text{ex}} dx < \infty, \quad (4)$$

and, show that there are solutions which minimize the GL energy.

In the earlier work [Y1, Y2], existence and minimization theorems have been established for the dimensions  $n = 3, 4$ . Our solution of the problem there depends on a modification of the energy density (1) and the following Poincaré type inequality in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  ( $n > 2$ ) (see [B, GT]):

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C(n)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad u \in C_0^1(\mathbf{R}^n). \quad (5)$$

When  $n = 2$ , (5) fails and the techniques of [Y1, Y2] break down. However, the case  $n = 2$  is actually a more interesting situation of the model because it gives rise to vortex-like or mixed-state solutions which is a fundamental phenomenon in superconductivity physics. The purpose of the present paper is to solve the  $n = 2$  case. Our approach here is based on an inequality which can control the local  $L^2$  norm by the Dirichlet type norm on the right-hand side of (5), of a function vanishing in a small ball. We are led to introducing a special but natural function space for the vector gauge vector  $A$  so that the minimizing sequence of the modified GL energy is weakly compact in the space  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  for any bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Thus the major difficulty in the early attempts in solving the problem in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  is overcome. A solution over the full plane can then be obtained by passing to the limit from bounded domains. The solutions found are all in the global Coulomb gauge. The flux quantization problem, typical in two dimensions, will also be briefly studied. It is shown that, when the external field has power-type decay estimate at infinity, so will the excited fields, which leads to a quantized magnetic flux. We will also show that the solutions obtained minimize the original GL energy among all field configurations in the Coulomb gauge when the admissible space of the gauge vector fields is properly

chosen to allow a convenient disposal of the boundary terms arising from our energy comparison.

## II. Existence by the Calculus of Variations.

We shall first find a solution of (3) in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  by looking for a critical point of an energy stronger than  $E$  (see the discussion to follow). Analysis shows that the failure of (5) in the case  $n = 2$  makes it difficult to control the local  $W^{1,2}$  norms of a pair of field configurations in terms of its energy. However, it turns out that we can use the following inequality to tackle our problem here.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $u(x)$  ( $x \in \mathbf{R}^2$ ) be a differentiable function with support contained in  $B^c = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid 1 < |x| < \infty\}$ . Then*

$$\int_{|x|>1} \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx \leq 4 \int_{|x|>1} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^2. \quad (6)$$

**Proof.** The above inequality can be found in [L] where the function  $u$  is assumed to be compactly supported in  $B^c$ . However, this result is also valid for functions in our generality which will be used in the study of (3) in two dimensions. We proceed as follows.

First, it is easily checked that there holds the identity

$$\nabla \cdot \left( \frac{u^2}{|x|^2 \ln |x|} x \right) = - \frac{u^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} + \frac{\nabla(u^2) \cdot x}{|x|^2 \ln |x|}, \quad x \in B^c. \quad (7)$$

Integrating (7) on  $B_R^c = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid 1 < |x| < R\}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^c} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx &= 2 \int_{B_R^c} \frac{u \nabla u \cdot x}{|x|^2 \ln |x|} dx - \int_{|x|=R} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2 \ln |x|} x \cdot n ds \\ &\leq 2 \left( \int_{B_R^c} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_R^c} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (6) follows from taking  $R \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of vector fields on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with differentiable components and finite  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ -norm. Here, for  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_{|x|<3} \{(\partial_j A_k)(\partial_j A_k) + |A|^2\} dx + \int_{|x|>1} (\partial_j[\rho A_k])(\partial_j[\rho A_k]) dx,$$

where  $\rho$  is a smooth function over  $\mathbf{R}^2$  so that

$$0 \leq \rho \leq 1, \quad \rho(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } |x| > 2, \quad \text{supp}(\rho) \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid |x| > 1\}.$$

The completion of  $\mathcal{B}$  under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space.

**Lemma 2.** For any  $A = (A_j) \in H$ , there holds

$$\int_{|x|>1} \frac{\rho^2 |A|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx \leq 4 \int_{|x|>1} [\partial_j(\rho A_k)] [\partial_j(\rho A_k)] dx. \quad (8)$$

**Proof.** For  $A \in W$ , there is a sequence  $\{A^n\} \subset B$  so that  $\|A - A^n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By Lemma 1, we know that (8) is valid for any element of  $B$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x|>1} \frac{\rho^2 |A^m - A^n|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx &\leq 4 \int_{|x|>1} (\partial_j[\rho(A_k^m - A_k^n)])(\partial_j[\rho(A_k^m - A_k^n)]) dx \\ &\leq 4 \|A^m - A^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\int_{|x|>1} \frac{\rho^2 |A - A^n|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx \leq 4 \|A - A^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \quad (9)$$

As a consequence of the Minkowski inequality and (9), we have

$$\left( \int_{|x|>1} \frac{\rho^2 |A - A^n|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2 \|A - A^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} + 2 \left( \int_{|x|>1} (\partial_j[\rho A_k^n])(\partial_j[\rho A_k^n]) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we arrive at (8).

We are now ready to solve (3). For simplicity, we assume that the external field is smooth. We rewrite the GL energy density (1) in the form

$$\mathcal{E}(h, A) = \mathcal{E}(h, A) - \frac{1}{2} F_{jk} F_{jk}^* \quad j, k = 1, 2. \quad (10)$$

The main result of this section is

**Theorem 3.** In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the GL system (3) has a finite-energy smooth solution  $(\langle \cdot \rangle, A)$  in the Coulomb gauge  $d_j A_j = 0$  and  $E_0(\langle f \rangle, A) = \int \mathcal{E}_0(\langle f \rangle, A) dx < \infty$ .

**Proof.** The original energy density (10) is not good enough to work on. As in [YI], we start from the modified density functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_0(\phi, A) &= \frac{1}{2} j_i d_j A_k (\partial_j A_k) + \frac{1}{2} (D_j^A \phi)^* (D_j^A \phi) + \frac{\lambda}{8} (|\phi|^2 - 1)^2, \\ \mathcal{I}(\phi, A) &= \mathcal{I}_0(\phi, A) - \frac{1}{2} F_{jk} F_{jk}^{\text{ex}}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

which are obviously stronger than  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_0$ .

Set  $I = \int \mathcal{I} dx$  and  $I_0 = \int \mathcal{I}_0 dx$  over  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Consider the variational problem

$$I_{\min} \equiv \min\{I(\phi, A) \mid (\phi, A) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{H}\}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the set of complex-valued functions on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with components lying in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ . Since  $F_{jk}^{\text{ex}} \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$  (see (4)), it is easily checked that  $I_{\min}$  in (12) is finite.

Next, it is clear that the functions in (11) are no longer invariant under the general gauge symmetry (2). However, they are invariant if  $\omega$  in (2) is a linear function of  $x_1, x_2$ . Thus, for a pair  $(\phi, A) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{H}$  with  $I_0(\phi, A) < \infty$ , define  $(\phi', A')$  so that

$$\phi' = \phi e^{i\omega}, \quad A' = A + \nabla\omega,$$

$$\omega = -a_1 x_1 - a_2 x_2 \quad \text{where } (a_1, a_2) = \frac{1}{9\pi} \int_{|x|<3} A(x) dx.$$

Then the average of  $A'$  on  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid |x| < 3\}$  vanishes, i. e.,

$$\underline{A}' \equiv \frac{1}{9\pi} \int_{|x|<3} A'(x) dx = 0.$$

Moreover, the linearity of  $\omega$  in the variables  $x_1, x_2$  implies that  $A' \in \mathcal{H}$  because  $A'$  is a translation of  $A$  by a constant vector.

Let  $\{(\phi^n, A^n)\}$  be a minimizing sequence of the problem (12). The Schwarz inequality implies that

$$CI_0(\phi^n, A^n) \leq \sup_n I(\phi^n, A^n) + \|F_{jk}^{\text{ex}}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}^2. \quad (13)$$

Here, and in the sequel,  $C > 0$  is an irrelevant constant. From (13) and the definition of  $I_0$ , we see the finiteness of the quantity

$$M = \sup_n \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\partial_j A_k^n)(\partial_j A_k^n) dx. \quad (14)$$

By the above discussion, we may also assume that  $\underline{A}^n = 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus the Poincaré inequality applied on  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid |x| < 3\}$  implies

$$\sup_n \int_{|x|<3} \{(\partial_j A_k^n)(\partial_j A_k^n) + |A^n|^2\} dx \leq CM. \quad (15)$$

Recall that, in the definition of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ , the truncation function satisfies  $\rho(x) = 1$  for  $|x| > 2$ . As a consequence, the combination of (14) and (15) gives us the boundedness of  $\{A^n\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Using Lemma 2 and the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ , we conclude that the components of  $\{A^n\}$  are bounded sequences in  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  for any bounded



domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus  $\{A^n\}$  is also bounded in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for any  $p \geq 1$ . On the other hand, the definition of the gauge-covariant derivative gives

$$|D_j^{A^n} \phi^n|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |\partial_j \phi^n|^2 - C(|A^n|^4 + |\phi^n|^4 + 1).$$

Thus  $\{\phi^n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  as well for any bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Using the compact embedding  $W^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) and by passing to a subsequence if necessary (a diagonal subsequence argument), we may assume the existence of a pair  $(\phi, A) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi^n, A^n) &\rightarrow (\phi, A) \quad \text{weakly in } W^{1,2}(\Omega), \\ (\phi^n, A^n) &\rightarrow (\phi, A) \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(\Omega) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

for every bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and any  $p \geq 1$ . Of course  $A$  is also the weak limit of  $\{A^n\}$  in the space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus  $(\phi, A) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{H}$ . We are left to show that  $(\phi, A)$  solves the variational problem (12).

Let  $\mathcal{I}_0, \mathcal{I}$  be as defined in (11) and  $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x| < R\}$  ( $R > 0$ ). The functionals  $I_0(\phi, A; R) = \int \mathcal{I}_0(\phi, A) dx$  and  $I(\phi, A; R) = \int \mathcal{I}(\phi, A) dx$  are integrals over  $B_R$ . The condition (4) says that for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $R_0 > 0$  to ensure

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 - B_R} F_{jk}^{\text{ex}} F_{jk}^{\text{ex}} dx < \varepsilon^2 \quad \text{whenever } R > R_0.$$

Thus for  $R > R_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\phi^n, A^n; R) &\leq I(\phi^n, A^n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 - B_R} F_{jk}^n F_{jk}^{\text{ex}} dx \\ &\leq I(\phi^n, A^n) + \varepsilon \sqrt{M}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M \geq 0$  is as given in (14). Using (16), the weak lower semicontinuity of the terms in  $I(\cdot, \cdot; R)$ , and  $I(\phi^n, A^n) \rightarrow I_{\min}$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), we arrive at

$$I(\phi, A; R) \leq I_{\min} + \varepsilon \sqrt{M}, \quad R > R_0.$$

Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(\phi, A; R) &\leq I_{\min} + \varepsilon \sqrt{M} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_{jk} F_{jk}^{\text{ex}} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 - B_R} F_{jk} F_{jk}^{\text{ex}} dx \\ &\leq I_{\min} + 2\varepsilon \sqrt{M} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_{jk} F_{jk}^{\text{ex}} dx, \quad R > R_0. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  in the above, we get  $I(\phi, A) \leq I_{\min}$  by the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Hence  $I(\phi, A) = I_{\min}$  and (12) is solved.

Finally, varying the modified energy  $I$  in  $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{H}$  by compactly supported test functions around the obtained minimizer  $(\phi, A)$  of (12) and using the standard elliptic regularity theory, we see that  $(\phi, A)$  is a smooth solution of the system

$$\begin{aligned} D_j^A D_j^A \phi + \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 - |\phi|^2)\phi &= 0, \\ \nabla^2 A_j + \frac{i}{2}(\phi [D_j^A \phi]^* - \phi^* [D_j^A \phi]) &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_k (F_{kj}^{\text{ex}} - F_{jk}^{\text{ex}}), \end{aligned} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (17)$$

We can use the two equations in (17) to show that  $\partial_j A_j$  is harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . However, since  $\partial_j A_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , the Liouville theorem says that  $\partial_j A_j \equiv 0$ . As a consequence, it follows that  $\nabla^2 A_j = \partial_k \partial_k A_j = \partial_k (\partial_k A_j - \partial_j A_k) = \partial_k F_{kj}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . In other words, (17) recovers the GL equations (3) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus  $(\phi, A)$  solves (3) as well and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

### III. The Source Current Case.

In our discussion of the GL theory in Section 2, the external field is a magnetic field,  $F_{jk}^{\text{ex}}$ . A more general situation is that the external field may be coupled into the model through the form of a smooth source current density,  $J^{\text{ex}} = (J_j^{\text{ex}})$  (say). In this case the energy density takes the following form

$$\mathcal{E}(\phi, A) = \mathcal{E}_0(\phi, A) + A_j J_j^{\text{ex}}, \quad (18)$$

and the corresponding GL equations are

$$\begin{aligned} D_j^A D_j^A \phi + \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 - |\phi|^2)\phi &= 0, \\ \partial_k F_{kj} + \frac{i}{2}(\phi [D_j^A \phi]^* - \phi^* [D_j^A \phi]) &= J_j^{\text{ex}}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In order to observe consistency in (19), we must impose the current conservation law on  $J^{\text{ex}}$ :

$$\partial_j J_j^{\text{ex}} = 0. \quad (20)$$

For  $n = 3, 4$ , the existence of solutions of (19) as minimizers of the GL energy has been established in [Y1, Y2] under the condition (20) and

$$J_j^{\text{ex}} \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad n = 3, 4.$$

An obvious reason for imposing the above condition is due to the Poincaré type inequality (5) so that the GL energy is ensured to be bounded from below. For the

case  $n = 2$ , since (5) is no longer valid, we need some new conditions on  $J^{\text{ex}}$  to solve (19). In this section, we assume in addition to (20) that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |x|^2 \ln^2 |x| |J^{\text{ex}}|^2 dx < \infty, \quad (21)$$

$$R x_j J_j^{\text{ex}}(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| = R \rightarrow \infty, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (22)$$

**Theorem 4.** *Assume that (20)–(22) hold. The GL equations (19) over  $\mathbf{R}^2$  subject to the general source current  $J^{\text{ex}} = (J_j^{\text{ex}})$  have a finite-energy smooth solution  $(\phi, A)$  in the Coulomb gauge,  $\partial_j A_j \equiv 0$ .*

**Proof.** Again the energy density (18) is not good enough to work on and we have to use the modified energy  $I_0 = \int \mathcal{I}_0 dx$  where  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is as defined in (11). Set  $I = I_0 + \int A_j J_j^{\text{ex}} dx$ . Consider the problem

$$I_{\min} = \min\{I(\phi, A) \mid (\phi, A) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{H}, I_0(\phi, A) < \infty\}. \quad (23)$$

Since for  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\int_{|x| \geq 2} \frac{|A|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx \leq C \|A\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,$$

therefore the Schwarz inequality and (21) imply that  $A_j J_j^{\text{ex}} \in L(\mathbf{R}^2)$ .

We first show that  $I$  is invariant under the gauge transformation (2) when  $\omega(x) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2$  is a linear function. In fact, we have already observed the invariance of  $I_0$ . On the other hand, from (20) and the divergence theorem, we have for  $A' = A + \nabla \omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} A_j J_j^{\text{ex}} dx &= \int_{B_R} (A'_j - \partial_j \omega) J_j^{\text{ex}} dx \\ &= \int_{B_R} A'_j J_j^{\text{ex}} dx - \frac{1}{R} \int_{|x|=R} \omega x_j J_j^{\text{ex}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (22) and letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} A_j J_j^{\text{ex}} dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} A'_j J_j^{\text{ex}} dx. \quad (24)$$

Namely, the invariance of  $I$  holds as well.

We next show that  $I_{\min}$  in (23) is finite. For given  $(\phi, A)$  in the admissible set of (23), we obtain as in Sect. II a modified pair  $(\phi', A')$  so that  $\phi' = \phi e^{i\omega}$ ,  $A' = A + \nabla \omega$ ,  $\omega$  is a linear function, and the average of  $A'$  on  $B_3 = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid |x| < 3\}$  is zero. Using the Poincaré inequality on  $B_3$  and Lemma 2, we have

$$\int_{|x| < 3} |A'|^2 dx + \int_{|x| > 2} \frac{|A'|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx \leq C_1 + C_2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \partial_j A'_k \partial_j A'_k dx. \quad (25)$$

On the other hand, there holds for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A'_j J_j^{\text{ex}} dx &> -\varepsilon \left( \int_{|x|<3} |A'|^2 dx + \int_{|x|>2} \frac{|A'|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx \right) \\ &- \varepsilon^{-1} \left( \int_{|x|<3} |J^{\text{ex}}|^2 dx + \int_{|x|>2} |x|^2 \ln^2 |x| |J^{\text{ex}}|^2 dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Inserting (25) and (26) into (24) yields

$$I(\phi, A) \geq C_1 I_0(\phi, A) - C_2, \quad (27)$$

where  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  are constants so that  $C_2$  depends only on  $J^{\text{ex}}$ . In particular, the finiteness of  $I_{\min}$  follows.

Let  $\{(\phi^n, A^n)\}$  be a minimizing sequence of (23). Our earlier discussion on the invariance of the terms in the energy functional allows us to assume, after a suitable gauge transformation, that  $A^n$  has zero average on  $B_3$ . Hence  $A^n$  verifies (25). Consequently (27) implies that  $\{A^n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ . So it follows by passing to a subsequence if necessary that we may assume (16) for  $\{(\phi^n, A^n)\}$ .

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $R_0 > 2$  be such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 - B_R} |x|^2 \ln^2 |x| |J^{\text{ex}}|^2 dx < \varepsilon^2, \quad R > R_0.$$

Then the integral  $I(\phi^n, A^n; R) = \int (\mathcal{I}_0(\phi^n, A^n) + A_j^n J_j^{\text{ex}}) dx$  over  $B_R$  satisfies

$$I(\phi^n, A^n; R) \leq I(\phi^n, A^n) + \varepsilon \sqrt{M}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$M = \sup_n \int_{|x|>2} \frac{|A^n|^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2 |x|} dx,$$

which is finite according to (25) and (27). We can then duplicate the steps in proving Theorem 3 to show that  $(\phi, A)$  is a minimizer of (23) which also solves the GL equations (19) because of (20).  $\square$

#### IV. Asymptotic Behavior and Flux Quantization.

In this section, we assume that  $(\phi, A)$  is a solution of (3) or (19) with finite modified energy  $I_0(\phi, A) < \infty$  and in the Coulomb gauge. Using the methods of [Y1, Y2], it is not hard to establish the following  $L^2$ -estimates.

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose in (3) ((19)) that the external field  $F_{jk}^{\text{ex}}$  ( $J_j^{\text{ex}}$ ) lies in the space  $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  ( $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ) ( $j, k = 1, 2$ ). Then  $D_j^A D_k^A \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $|D_j^A \phi| \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  ( $j, k = 1, 2$ ) and  $1 - |\phi|^2 \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $p > 1$ . Besides,  $|\phi|^2 \rightarrow 1$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $|\phi| < 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or otherwise  $|\phi| \equiv 1$ . If, furthermore,  $F_{jk}^{\text{ex}} \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  ( $J_j^{\text{ex}} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ) ( $j, k = 1, 2$ ), then  $D_j^A \phi, \partial_j A_k \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  ( $j, k = 1, 2$ ).*

We skip the proof here.

From the well-known Sobolev embedding  $W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^2) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbf{R}^2)$  ( $\forall p > 1$ ) and the fact that functions in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  ( $p > 2$ ) vanish at infinity, we obtain

**Corollary 6.** *For  $F_{jk}^{ex} \in W^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  ( $J_j^{ex} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ ) ( $j, k = 1, 2$ ), there hold*

$$D_j^A \phi \rightarrow 0, \quad \partial_j A_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad j, k = 1, 2.$$

We have shown in Sect. II (III) that  $(\phi, A)$  can be obtained as a minimizer of the problem (12) ((23)) which is a finite-energy smooth solution of the GL equations (3) ((19)). In order to calculate the magnetic flux carried by the solution, some minimal decay assumption for the external field has to be made. The main reason for doing this is that decay estimates of the quantities  $1 - |\phi|^2$ ,  $D_j^A \phi$ , and  $F_{jk}$  can thus be established so that undesired boundary terms will be eliminated in the integral of our flux evaluation.

For this purpose, we impose the following power type decay properties for the external field:

$$|\partial_k(F_{kj}^{ex} - F_{jk}^{ex})| \text{ (or } |J_j^{ex}|) \leq C|x|^{-\alpha}, \quad (28)$$

$$|\partial_j \partial_k(F_{jk}^{ex} - F_{kj}^{ex})| \text{ (or } |\partial_j J_k^{ex} - \partial_k J_j^{ex}|) \leq C|x|^{-\beta}, \quad (29)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ,  $j, k = 1, 2$ , and  $|x|$  is large.

**Theorem 7.** *Suppose  $F_{jk}^{ex} \in W^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  ( $J_j^{ex} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ ) ( $j = 1, 2$ ). If  $F_{jk}^{ex}$  ( $J_j^{ex}$ ) decays according to (28), then asymptotically*

$$|D_j^A \phi| \leq C|x|^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq 1 - |\phi|^2 \leq C|x|^{-2\alpha}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

*If, in addition,  $F_{jk}^{ex}$  ( $J_j^{ex}$ ) satisfies (29), then*

$$|F_{jk}| \leq C|x|^{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma = \min\{2\alpha, \beta\}, \quad j, k = 1, 2.$$

**Proof.** We shall only demonstrate the case when the external field is the source current,  $J^{ex}$ .

Set  $g_j = D_j^A \phi$  and  $|g|^2 = g_j g_j^*$ . It is crucial to derive the decay estimate for  $|g|$  first.

Let  $\psi$  be an arbitrary complex scalar function. By the definition of the gauge-covariant derivatives, we easily verify the useful curvature identity

$$D_j^A D_k^A \psi - D_k^A D_j^A \psi = -iF_{jk}\psi.$$

From this and the equations (19), we have after a lengthy calculation

$$D_k^A D_k^A g_j = -\frac{\lambda}{2}(1 - |\phi|^2)g_j + \frac{\lambda + 1}{2}|\phi|^2 g_j + \frac{\lambda - 1}{2}\phi^2 g_j^* - 2iF_{kj}g_k - iJ_j^{\text{ex}}\phi. \quad (30)$$

Applying (30) in

$$\nabla^2 |g|^2 = 2D_k^A g_j (D_k^A g_j)^* + 2 \operatorname{Re}\{g_j^* D_k^A D_k^A g_j\},$$

we find that

$$\nabla^2 |g|^2 \geq ([\lambda + 1 - |\lambda - 1|]|\phi|^2 - [\lambda(1 - |\phi|^2) + 4|F_{12}|])|g|^2 - 2|J^{\text{ex}}||g|.$$

Since  $|\phi| \rightarrow 1, F_{12} \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  (see Corollary 6) and  $\lambda > 0$ , the above inequality has the reduction

$$\nabla^2 |g|^2 \geq C_1 |g|^2 - C_2 |J^{\text{ex}}|^2, \quad |x| > R, \quad (31)$$

where  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  are constants and  $R > 0$  is sufficiently large.

Introduce the comparison function

$$\sigma(x) = C_3 |x|^{-2\alpha}, \quad |x| \geq R. \quad (32)$$

Then  $\nabla^2 \sigma = 4\alpha^2 |x|^{-2} \sigma$  ( $|x| > R$ ). Inserting this equation and (28) (for  $J^{\text{ex}}$ ) into (31) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 (\sigma - |g|^2) &\leq 4\alpha^2 |x|^{-2} \sigma - C_1 |g|^2 + C_2 |x|^{-2\alpha} \\ &= \left(4\alpha^2 |x|^{-2} + \frac{C_2}{C_3}\right) \sigma - C_1 |g|^2 \\ &\leq \left(4\alpha^2 |x|^{-2} + \frac{C_2}{C_3}\right) (\sigma - |g|^2), \quad |x| > R, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where we have assumed that  $R$  and  $C_3$  are such that  $4\alpha^2 R^{-2} + C_2/C_3 \leq C_1$ . Obviously, for fixed  $R$ , we may choose  $C_3 > 0$  in (32) so large that  $(\sigma - |g|^2)|_{|x|=R} > 0$ . From this boundary condition, the property  $\sigma - |g|^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , (33), and the maximum principle, we see that  $|g|^2 < \sigma$  for  $|x| > R$ . Namely  $|g| = O(|x|^{-\alpha})$ .

Similarly, the asymptotic estimate of  $1 - |\phi|^2$  follows from using the above argument in the equation

$$\nabla^2 (1 - |\phi|^2) = \lambda |\phi|^2 (1 - |\phi|^2) - 2|g|^2$$

while treating  $-2|g|^2$  as a decaying source term.

Now suppose (29) holds in addition. Differentiating (19) gives

$$\text{Vid}tA_j = i\text{ferf} - g^*g_k + \{ \langle i \rangle' [DUi] - \wedge WftD + \&-f- \} \quad (34)$$

Thus, using (34) and the aforementioned curvature identity, it is seen that  $F_{12} = \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1$  satisfies the equation

$$\nabla^2 F_{12} = \langle 6 \rangle^2 F_{12} + i\text{fofo} - g_{19}; + (ftJf^x - 82Jf^x).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 F_{12}^2 &\geq 2|\phi|^2 F_{12}^2 - 4|g|^2 |F_{12}| - 2|\partial_1 J_2^{\text{ex}} - \partial_2 J_1^{\text{ex}}| |F_{12}| \\ &\geq C_1 F_{12}^2 - C_2 |x|^{-2\gamma}, \quad |x| > R, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  are constants,  $Z$  is a large number, and  $\gamma = \min\{2a, \frac{1}{2}\}$  with  $a$  being given in (29). Thus we can prove as before that  $F_{12} \leq C|x|^{-2\gamma}$ .

The proof of the theorem is complete. •

Concerning the total magnetic flux of the solution  $(\psi, A)$ , we have

**Theorem 8.** *Assume the condition (28) where  $a > 1$  and let  $H = F_{12}$  denote the induced magnetic field. Then the total flux  $\Phi$  is quantized according to the expression*

$$\Phi = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|=R} H dx = 2\pi N, \quad (35)$$

where  $N$  is an integer which is recognized as the winding number of the order parameter  $\langle f \rangle$  on the circle at infinity of the plane.

**Proof.** Let  $R_0 > 0$  be such that  $|\langle f \rangle| > \frac{1}{2}$  for  $|x| > R_0$  (Theorem 5 or 7). Then the winding number  $N$  of  $\langle f \rangle$  at infinity obeys

$$2\pi N = \int_{|x|=R} \text{darg}\langle f \rangle = -i \int_{|x|=R} \frac{d \ln \langle f \rangle}{\langle f \rangle} \quad \text{for any } R > R_0. \quad (36)$$

On the other hand, the divergence theorem and Theorem 7 imply that

$$\left| \int_{|x|<R} H dx + i \int_{|x|=R} \frac{d \ln \langle f \rangle}{\langle f \rangle} \right| \leq \int_{|x|=R} \left| \langle f \rangle^{-1} \nabla \langle f \rangle \right| dx \leq C R^{-\alpha}, \quad R > R_0. \quad (37)$$

Inserting (36) into (37) and letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we find  $\Phi = 2\pi N$  as expected. D

Thus, although the flux of the external field may take any prescribed value, the excited flux can only assume quantized values which is a typical phenomenon in superconductivity theory.

## V. Minimization of Energy.

In Sects. II and III, we proved the existence of finite-energy smooth solutions of the GL system (3) or (19) in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  by obtaining minimizers of the problem (12) or (23) where the energy functional  $I$  takes a modified form and is not physical. For example, the invariance under the general gauge symmetry (2) is no longer valid. Since the GL energy  $E$  is actually given in (10) or (18), it will be important to find solutions of (3) or (19) so that they also minimize the GL energy. Note that, in general, the GL equations may have multiple solutions and the physical states are represented by energy minimizers. The purpose of this section is to get solutions of the GL equations that minimize the energy in a suitable admissible class.

Our starting point now is to find a comparison of the GL energy  $E$  and the modified energy  $I$  defined in (12) or (23). It appears that the space  $\mathcal{H}$  used in Sects. II and III is not proper for such a comparison and it is necessary to consider a subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ , which is restrictive enough so that we can compare  $E$  and  $I$  and, at the same time, large enough so that the GL equations can be fulfilled by energy minimizers of  $E$ . The following study will follow this line.

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$  (see Sect. II) be the set of vector fields in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  satisfying the condition that, for each  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , there is a constant vector  $A^0 \in \mathbf{R}^2$  such that  $A - A^0$  is of compact support. The closure of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_1$ . A useful property of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is that there holds the identity

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\partial_j A_k)(\partial_j A_k) dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \{(\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1)^2 + (\partial_j A_j)^2\} dx, \quad A = (A_j) \in \mathcal{H}_1. \quad (38)$$

In fact, it is straightforward to verify (38) in  $\mathcal{C}$ . However, since both sides of (38) are continuous with respect to the norm of  $\mathcal{H}$ , we see that (38) is true in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  in general.

We are now ready to obtain a solution pair of the equations (3) or (19) as a GL energy minimizer in the following sense.

**Theorem 9.** *Suppose that (4) or (20)–(22) hold. Then the GL equations (3) or (19) over  $\mathbf{R}^2$  have a smooth solution  $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{A})$  which minimizes the GL energy  $E = \int \mathcal{E} dx$  among all field configurations in the admissible set  $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{H}_1$  and in the global Coulomb gauge. Here  $\mathcal{E}$  is as defined in (10) or (18).*

**Proof.** We can proceed to show as in Sect. II or III that the optimization problem (12) or (23), with  $\mathcal{H}$  replaced by  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , has a solution  $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{A})$ , that this solution satisfies the GL equations (3) or (19), and that  $\partial_j \tilde{A}_j \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . We claim that

$$E(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{A}) = \min\{E(\phi, A) \mid (\phi, A) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{H}_1, \partial_j A_j = 0\}. \quad (39)$$



In fact, the identity (38) says that  $I(\phi, A) = E(\phi, A)$  for  $(\phi, A) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{H}_1$  with  $\partial_j A_j = 0$ . Thus  $E(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{A}) = I(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{A}) \leq I(\phi, A) = E(\phi, A)$  for  $(\phi, A) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\partial_j A_j = 0$  as expected.  $\square$

*Note.* Another technical reason for the choice of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  (or  $\mathcal{C}$ ) is that the space is invariant under translations by constant vectors. This property is crucial because it allows us to make gauge transformations (2) in the modified energy  $I$  in which  $\omega$  is a linear function of the variables. The discussion in Sects. II and III showed the importance of such a feature in extracting a locally weakly convergent minimizing sequence.

## VI. The General Scalar Potential Case.

We have assumed in our Lagrangian density (1) that the potential function of the order parameter  $\phi$  takes the form  $V(|\phi|) = \frac{\lambda}{8}(|\phi|^2 - 1)^2$ . In fact our method in the existence proofs applies to the more general situation that the non-negative function  $V(s)$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) satisfies

$$s^p - C_1 \leq V(s) \leq s^q + C_2, \quad p, q > 1.$$

where  $C_1, C_2 \geq 0$  are constants. Part of the interest in such an extension is to accommodate in the family of finite-energy solutions the normal state  $\phi = 0, F_{jk} = F_{jk}^{\text{ex}}$ . For example, we may choose  $V(|\phi|) = \frac{\lambda}{8}|\phi|^2(|\phi|^2 - 1)^2$  as in the self-dual Chern-Simons-Higgs theory [HKP, JW, SY]. In this case the generalized GL equations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are

$$\begin{aligned} D_k^A D_k^A \phi + \frac{\lambda}{2} |\phi|^2 (1 - |\phi|^2) \phi - \frac{\lambda}{4} (1 - |\phi|^2)^2 \phi &= 0, \\ \partial_k F_{kj} + \frac{i}{2} (\phi [D_j^A \phi]^* - \phi^* [D_j^A \phi]) &= J_j^{\text{ex}}. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Here we consider only the source current case. We can prove as in Sect. V, for example, the existence of a smooth solution of the equations which is also a GL energy minimizer among all field configurations in the Coulomb gauge. In this section, we restrict our attention on the study of the asymptotic behavior of a finite-energy solution. In view of (40), a lengthy calculation shows that  $g_j = D_j^A \phi$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} D_k^A D_k^A g_j &= -i J_j^{\text{ex}} \phi - 2i F_{kj} g_k + \left( \frac{\lambda}{4} + \left[ \frac{1}{2} - 2\lambda \right] |\phi|^2 + \frac{9}{4} \lambda |\phi|^4 \right) g_j \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (3\lambda |\phi|^2 - [2\lambda + 1]) \phi^2 g_j^*. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

From (41) we can prove that  $D_j^A D_k^A \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $|D_j^A \phi| \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  if  $(\phi, A)$  is of finite energy and  $J_j^{\text{ex}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Moreover, since  $\partial_k A_j$  satisfies (34), we obtain  $\partial_k A_j, D_j^A \phi \in$

$W^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  if  $J_j^{\text{ex}} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ . Therefore the simple inequality

$$|\partial_j(|\phi|(|\phi|^2 - 1))| \leq |D_j^A \phi| |\phi|^2 - 1| + 2|\phi|^2 |D_j^A \phi|$$

and the bound  $|\phi| \leq 1$  imply that  $|\phi|(|\phi|^2 - 1) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  for any  $p > 1$ . As a consequence (see Sect. IV), we can state the following asymptotic behavior of  $\phi, D_j^A \phi, F_{jk}$ .

**Theorem 10.** *Suppose  $J_j^{\text{ex}} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  for  $j = 1, 2$  and  $(\phi, A)$  is a finite-energy solution of (40) in the Coulomb gauge. Then*

$$0 \leq |\phi|(1 - |\phi|^2) \rightarrow 0, \quad D_j^A \phi \rightarrow 0, \quad \partial_k A_j \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, if  $J_j^{\text{ex}}$  fulfills (28)–(29), then the solutions satisfying  $|\phi| = 1$  at infinity have the decay properties described in Theorem 7. On the other hand, the solutions satisfying  $|\phi| = 0$  at infinity obey the exponential decay property

$$|\phi(x)|^2 = O(e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|x|}) \quad \text{for large } |x|. \quad (42)$$

In this situation  $|D_j^A \phi|$  decays as in the  $|\phi| \rightarrow 1$  case.

**Proof.** It suffices to elaborate on the second part of the statement. As in Sect. IV, (41) gives us the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 |g|^2 &\geq \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} + [1 - 4\lambda]|\phi|^2 + \frac{9}{2}\lambda|\phi|^4 \right) |g|^2 \\ &\quad - |3\lambda|\phi|^2 - (2\lambda + 1)|\phi|^2 |g|^2 - 4|F_{12}| |g| - 2|J^{\text{ex}}| |g|. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Since either  $|\phi| \rightarrow 0$  or  $|\phi| \rightarrow 1$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , the inequality (43) always leads to (31). Thus  $|g| = O(|x|^{-\alpha})$ .

Assume first that  $|\phi| \rightarrow 1$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2(1 - |\phi|^2) &= -2 \operatorname{Re}\{\phi^* D_k^A D_k^A \phi\} - 2|g|^2 \\ &= \lambda \left( |\phi|^4 - \frac{1}{2} |\phi|^2 [1 - |\phi|^2] \right) (1 - |\phi|^2) - 2|g|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Because the coefficient of  $1 - |\phi|^2$  on the right-hand side of above equation becomes positive when  $|x|$  is large, we see that  $1 - |\phi|^2$  decays like  $|g|^2$  as in Sect. IV.

Assume next that  $|\phi| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 |\phi|^2 &= 2 \operatorname{Re}\{\phi^* D_k^A D_k^A \phi\} + 2|g|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda}{2} (1 - |\phi|^2) (1 - 3|\phi|^2) |\phi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

As  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , the coefficient of  $|\phi|^2$  on the right-hand side above goes to  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ . Hence it is standard that  $|\phi(x)|^2 = O(e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|x|})$  for large  $|x|$ .  $\square$

*Note.* The accurate statement of (42) is that for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , there is  $C(\epsilon) > 0$ , so that

$$|\phi(x)|^2 \leq C(\epsilon)e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}(1-\epsilon)|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

It is interesting to see that the decay rate of  $|\phi|^2$  in this case is independent of the property of the source term at infinity. This reveals a difference of solutions which are asymptotically the symmetric vacuum, characterized by  $|\phi| = 0$ , from the solutions which are asymptotically asymmetric vacua, characterized by  $|\phi| = 1$ . The former are called in the Chern–Simons model case non-topological solutions [JLW] in contrast to the latter, topological solutions, for which the integer  $N$  given in the flux formula (35) in Sect. IV is a topological invariant.

### VII. A Constrained Minimization Problem.

We now go back to the classical case that the bare energy density  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is as defined in (10) so that a finite-energy solution goes to the asymmetric vacua at infinity. From the discussion in Sect. IV, we see that such a solution carries a quantized magnetic flux given in (35). The integer  $N$  is unknown to us. In particular, it is not clear whether every integer  $N$  can be realized by the flux of a finite-energy solution according to the expression (35). Thus we are led to the following question.

Given an integer  $N$ , can we find a solution of the problem

$$\text{Minimize } E(\phi, A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_0(\phi, A) - \frac{1}{2} F_{jk} F_{jk}^{\text{ex}} (\text{or } A_j J_j^{\text{ex}}) \right\} dx \quad (44)$$

$$\text{subject to } \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_{12} dx = 2\pi N?$$

There are only available results when the external field  $F_{jk}^{\text{ex}}$  or  $J_j^{\text{ex}}$  is absent. The problem is best understood in the self-dual case [Bo] when  $\lambda = 1$  due to the work in [T1, T2, JT]: For any  $N$ , (44) has a  $2N$ -parameter family of solutions with the parameters characterizing the locations of the zeros of the scalar field  $\phi$ . These solutions represent  $N$  non-interacting vortices. When  $\lambda \neq 1$ , it is shown in [P, BC] that, for each  $N$ , the energy  $E$  has a radially symmetric critical point satisfying the constraint in (44). However, it is not clear as to whether these radial solutions are absolute energy minimizers in the constraint class.

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank John Albert for many stimulating conversations.

## References

- [B] M. S. Berger, *Nonlinearity and Functional Analysis*, Academic, New York, 1977.
- [BC] M. S. Berger and Y. Y. Chen, Symmetric vortices for the Ginzburg–Landau equations and the nonlinear desingularization phenomenon, *J. Funct. Anal.* **82**, 259–295 (1989).
- [Bo] E. B. Bogomol’nyi, The stability of classical solutions, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **24**, 449–454 (1976).
- [DH] P. H. Damgaard and U. M. Heller, Observations of the Meissner effect in the lattice Higgs model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 1246–1249 (1988).
- [GT] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1977.
- [GL] V. L. Ginzburg and L. D. Landau, On the theory of superconductivity, in *Collected Papers of L. D. Landau*, D. ter Haar, ed., Pergamon, New York, 1965, pp. 546–568.
- [HKP] J. Hong, Y. Kim, P. Y. Pac, Multivortex solutions of the abelian Chern–Simons theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2230–2233 (1990).
- [JLW] R. Jackiw, K. Lee, and E. J. Weinberg, Self-dual Chern–Simons solitons, *Phys. Rev. D* **42**, 3488–3499 (1990).
- [JW] R. Jackiw and E. J. Weinberg, Self-dual Chern–Simons vortices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2234–2237 (1990).
- [JT] A. Jaffe and C. H. Taubes, *Vortices and Monopoles*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1980.
- [L] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [P] B. J. Plohr, Ph. D. Thesis, Princeton University, 1980.
- [SY] J. Spruck and Y. Yang, The existence of non-topological solitons in the self-dual Chern–Simons theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **149**, 361–376 (1992).
- [T1] C. H. Taubes, Arbitrary  $N$ -vortex solutions to the first order Ginzburg–Landau equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **72**, 277–292 (1980).
- [T2] C. H. Taubes, On the equivalence of the first and second order equations for gauge theories, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **75**, 207–227 (1980).
- [Y1] Y. Yang, Existence, regularity, and asymptotic behavior of the solutions to the Ginzburg–Landau equations on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , *Commun. Math. Phys.* **123**, 147–161 (1989).
- [Y2] Y. Yang, On the Abelian Higgs models with sources, *J. Math. Pures et Appl.* **70**, 325–344 (1991).



**Center for Nonlinear Analysis  
Report Series • Complete List**

**Nonlinear Analysis Series**

No.

- 91-NA-001 [ ] Lions, P.L., **Jacobians and Hardy spaces**, June 1991
- 91-NA-002 [ ] Giga, Y. and Soto, M.-H., **Generalized interface evolution with the Neumann boundary condition**, July 1991
- 91-NA-003 [ ] Soner, H.M. and Souganidis, P.E., **Uniqueness and singularities of cylindrically symmetric surfaces moving by mean curvature**, July 1991
- 91-NA-004 [ ] Coleman, B.D., Marcus, M. and Mizel, V.J., **On the Thermodynamics of periodic phases**, August 1991
- 91-NA-005 [ ] Gurtin, M.E. and Podio-Guidugli, P., **On the formulation of mechanical balance laws for structured continua**, August 1991
- 91-NA-006 [ ] Gurtin, M.E. and Voorhees, P., **Two-phase continuum mechanics with mass transport and stress**, August 1991
- 91-NA-007 [ ] Fried, E., **Non-monotonic transformation kinetics and the morphological stability of phase boundaries in thermoelastic materials**, September 1991
- 91-NA-008 [ ] Gurtin, M.E., **Evolving phase boundaries in deformable continua**, September 1991
- 91-NA-009 [ ] Di Carlo, A., Gurtin, M.E., and Podio-Guidugli, P., **A regularized equation for anisotropic motion-by-curvature**, September 1991
- 91-NA-010 [ ] Kinderlehrer, D. and Ou, B., **Second variation of liquid crystal energy at  $x/|x|$** , August 1991
- 91-NA-011 [ ] Baughman, L.A. and Walkington, N., **Co-volume methods for degenerate parabolic problems**, August 1991
- 91-NA-012 [ ] James, R.D. and Kinderlehrer, D., **Frustration and microstructure: An example in magnetostriction**, November 1991
- 91-NA-013 [ ] Angenent, S.B. and Gurtin, M.E., **Anisotropic motion of a phase interface**, November 1991

- 92-NA-001 [ ] Nicolaides, R.A. and Walkington, N.J., **Computation of microstructure utilizing Young measure representations**, January 1992
- 92-NA-002 [ ] Tartar, L., **On mathematical tools for studying partial differential equations of continuum physics: H-measures and Young measures**, January 1992
- 92-NA-003 [ ] Bronsard, L. and Hilhorst, D., **On the slow dynamics for the Cahn-Hilliard equation in one space dimension**, February 1992
- 92-NA-004 [ ] Gurtin, M.E., **Thermodynamics and the supercritical Stefan equations with nucleations**, March 1992
- 92-NA-005 [ ] Antonic, N., **Memory effects in homogenisation linear second order equation**, February 1992
- 92-NA-006 [ ] Gurtin, M.E. and Voorhees, P.W., **The continuum mechanics of coherent two-phase elastic solids with mass transport**, March 1992
- 92-NA-007 [ ] Kinderlehrer, D. and Pedregal, P., **Remarks about gradient Young measures generated by sequences in Sobolev spaces**, March 1992
- 92-NA-008 [ ] **Workshop on Shear Bands, March 23-25, 1992 (Abstracts)**, March 1992
- 92-NA-009 [ ] Armstrong, R. W., **Microstructural/Dislocation Mechanics Aspects of Shear Banding in Polycrystals**, March 1992
- 92-NA-010 [ ] Soner, H. M. and Souganidis, P. E., **Singularities and Uniqueness of Cylindrically Symmetric Surfaces Moving by Mean Curvature**, April 1992
- 92-NA-011 [ ] Owen, David R., Schaeffer, Jack, and Wang, Keming, **A Gronwall Inequality for Weakly Lipschitzian Mappings**, April 1992
- 92-NA-012 [ ] Alama, Stanley and Li, Yan Yan, **On "Multibump" Bound States for Certain Semilinear Elliptic Equations**, April 1992
- 92-NA-013 [ ] Olmstead, W. E., Nemat-Nasser, S., and Li, L., **Shear Bands as Discontinuities**, April 1992
- 92-NA-014 [ ] Antonic, N., **H-Measures Applied to Symmetric Systems**, April 1992
- 92-NA-015 [ ] Barroso, Ana Cristina and Fonseca, Irene, **Anisotropic Singular Perturbations - The Vectorial Case**, April 1992
- 92-NA-016 [ ] Pedregal, Pablo, **Jensen's Inequality in the Calculus of Variations**, May 1992
- 92-NA-017 [ ] Fonseca, Irene and Muller, Stefan, **Relaxation of Quasiconvex Functionals in  $BV(\Omega, \mathcal{R}P)$  for Integrands  $f(x, u, \nabla u)$** , May 1992

- 92-NA-018 [ ] **Alama, Stanley and Tarantello, Gabriella, On Semilinear Elliptic Equations with Indefinite Nonlinearities, May 1992**
- 92-NA-019 [ ] **Owen, David R., Deformations and Stresses With and Without Microslip, June 1992**
- 92-NA-020 [ ] **Barles, G., Soner, H. M., Souganidis, P. E., Front Propagation and Phase Field Theory, June 1992**
- 92-NA-021 [ ] **Bruno, Oscar P. and Reitich, Fernando, Approximation of Analytic Functions: A Method of Enhanced Convergence, July 1992**
- 92-NA-022 [ ] **Bronsard, Lia and Reitich, Fernando, On Three-Phase Boundary Motion and the Singular Limit of a Vector-Valued Ginzburg-Landau Equation, July 1992**
- 92-NA-023 [ ] **Cannarsa, Piermarco, Gozzi, Fausto and Soner, H.M., A Dynamic Programming Approach to Nonlinear Boundary Control Problems of Parabolic Type, July 1992**
- 92-NA-024 [ ] **Fried, Eliot and Gurtin, Morton, Continuum Phase Transitions With An Order Parameter; Accretion and Heat Conduction, August 1992**
- 92-NA-025 [ ] **Swart, Pieter J. and Homes, Philip J., Energy Minimization and the Formation of Microstructure in Dynamic Anti-Plane Shear, August 1992**
- 92-NA-026 [ ] **Ambrosio, I., Cannarsa, P. and Soner, H.M., On the Propagation of Singularities of Semi-Convex Functions, August 1992**
- 92-NA-027 [ ] **Nicolaidis, R.A. and Walkington, Noel J., Strong Convergence of Numerical Solutions to Degenerate Variational Problems, August 1992**
- 92-NA-028 [ ] **Tarantello, Gabriella, Multiplicity Results for an Inhomogenous Neumann Problem with Critical Exponent, August 1992**
- 92-NA-029 [ ] **Noll, Walter, The Geometry of Contact, Separation, and Reformation of Continuous Bodies, August 1992**
- 92-NA-030 [ ] **Brandon, Deborah and Rogers, Robert C., Nonlocal Superconductivity, July 1992**
- 92-NA-031 [ ] **Yang, Yisong, An Equivalence Theorem for String Solutions of the Einstein-Matter-Gauge Equations, September 1992**
- 92-NA-032 [ ] **Spruck, Joel and Yang, Yisong, Cosmic String Solutions of the Einstein-Matter-Gauge Equations, September 1992**
- 92-NA-033 [ ] **Workshop on Computational Methods in Materials Science (Abstracts), September 16-18, 1992.**



- 92-NA-034 [ ] Leo, Perry H. and Heng-Jeng Jou, **Shape evolution of an initially circular precipitate growing by diffusion in an applied stress field**, October 1992
- 92-NA-035 [ ] Gangbo, Wilfrid, **On the weak lower semicontinuity of energies with polyconvex integrands**, October 1992
- 92-NA-036 [ ] Katsoulakis, Markos, Kossioris, Georgios T. and Retich, Fernando, **Generalized motion by mean curvature with Neumann conditions and the Allen-Cahn model for phase transitions**, October 1992
- 92-NA-037 [ ] Kinderlehrer, David, **Some methods of analysis in the study of microstructure**, October 1992
- 92-NA-038 [ ] Yang, Yisong, **Self duality of the Gauge Field equations and the Cosmological Constant**, November 1992
- 92-NA-039 [ ] Brandon, Deborah and Rogers, Robert, **Constitutive Laws for Pseudo-Elastic Materials**, November 1992
- 92-NA-040 [ ] Leo, P. H., Shield, T. W., and Bruno, O. P., **Transient Heat Transfer Effects on the Pseudoelastic Behavior of Shape-Memory Wires**, November 1992
- 92-NA-041 [ ] Gurtin, Morton E., **The Dynamics of Solid-Solid Phase Transitions 1. Coherent Interfaces**, November 1992
- 92-NA-042 [ ] Gurtin, Morton E., Soner, H. M., and Souganidis, P. E., **Anisotropic Motion of an Interface Relaxed by the Formation of Infinitesimal Wrinkles**, December 1992
- 92-NA-043 [ ] Bruno, Oscar P., and Fernando Reitich, **Numerical Solution of Diffraction Problems: A Method of Variation of Boundaries II. Dielectric gratings, Padé Approximants and Singularities**, December 1992
- 93-NA-001 [ ] Mizel, Victor J., **On Distribution Functions of the Derivatives of Weakly Differentiable Mappings**, January 1993
- 93-NA-002 [ ] Kinderlehrer, David, Ou, Biao and Walkington, Noel, **The Elementary Defects of the Oseen-Frank Energy for a Liquid Crystal**, January 1993
- 93-NA-003 [ ] Bruno, Oscar P., Reitich, Fernando, **Numerical Solutions of Diffraction Problems: A Method of Variation of Boundaries III. Doubly Periodic Gratings**, January 1993
- 93-NA-004 [ ] James, Richard and Kinderlehrer, David, **Theory of Magnetostriction with Applications to  $Tb_xDy_{1-x}Fe_2$** , February 1993
- 93-NA-005 [ ] Del Piero, Gianpietro and Owen, David R., **Structured Deformations of Continua**, February 1993

- 93-NA-006 [ ] Cheng, Chih-Wen and Mizel, Victor J., **On the Lavrentiev Phenomenon for Autonomous Second Order Integrands**, February 1993
- 93-NA-007 [ ] Ma, Ling, **Computation of Magnetostrictive Materials**, February 1993
- 93-NA-008 [ ] James, Richard and Kinderlehrer, David, **Mathematical Approaches to the Study of Smart Materials**, February 1993
- 93-NA-009 [ ] Kinderlehrer, David, Nicolaides, Roy, and Wang, Han, **Spurious Oscillations in Computing Microstructures**, February 1993
- 93-NA-010 [ ] Ma, Ling and Walkington, Noel, **On Algorithms for Non-Convex Optimization**, February 1993
- 93-NA-011 [ ] Fonseca, Irene, Kinderlehrer, David, and Pedregal, Pablo, **Relaxation in  $BV \times L^\infty$  of Functionals Depending on Strain and Composition**, February 1993
- 93-NA-012 [ ] Izumiya, Shyuichi and Kossioris, Georgios T., **Semi-Local Classification of Geometric Singularities for Hamilton-Jacobi Equations**, March 1993
- 93-NA-013 [ ] Du, Qiang, **Finite Element Methods for the Time-Dependent Ginzburg-Landau Model of Superconductivity**, March 1993
- 93-NA-014 [ ] Chen, X., Hastings, S., McLeod, J. B., Yang, Y., **A Nonlinear Elliptic Equation Arising from Gauge Field Theory and Cosmology**, April 1993
- 93-NA-015 [ ] Gurtin, M., **Planar Motion of an Anisotropic Interface**, April 1993
- 93-NA-016 [ ] Caginalp, G., Socolovsky, E., **Phase Field Computations of Single-Needle Crystals, Crystal Growth and Motion by Mean Curvature**, April 1993
- 93-NA-017 [ ] Fonseca, I., Gangbo, W., **Local Invertibility of Sobolev Functions**; May 1993.
- 93-NA-018 [ ] Du, Q., Yang, Y., **The Critical Temperature and Gap Solution In the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer Theory of Superconductivity**, June 1993.

#### **Stochastic Analysis Series**

- 91-SA-001 [ ] Soner, H.M., **Singular perturbations in manufacturing**, November 1991
- 91-SA-002 [ ] Bridge, D.S. and Shreve, S.E., **Multi-dimensional finite-fuel singular stochastic control**, November 1991
- 92-SA-001 [ ] Shreve, S. E. and Soner, H. M., **Optimal Investment and Consumption with Transaction Costs**, September 1992

Carnegie Mellon University Libraries



3 8482 01370 7282