

**NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS:**  
The copyright law of the United States (title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Any copying of this document without permission of its author may be prohibited by law.

**EDGE DISJOINT SPANNING TREES  
IN RANDOM GRAPHS**

**by**

**Alan M. Frieze**

Department of Mathematics  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

and

**T. Łuczak**

Institute for Mathematics and its Applications  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, MN 55455

and

Instytut Matematyki  
Uniwersytet Im. Adama. Mickiewicza  
Ul. Matejki 48/49  
60-769 Poznań  
Poland

Research Report No. 88-4 <sub>2</sub>

February 1988

University Libraries  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890

**Edge Disjoint Spanning Trees in Random Graphs**

by

A.M. Frieze  
Department of Mathematics  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh  
U.S.A.

and

T. Łuczak  
Institute for Mathematics and its Applications  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis  
U.S.A.

and

Instytut Matematyki  
Uniwersytet Im. Adama Mickiewicza  
Ul. Matejki 48/49  
60-769 Poznań  
Poland

## Abstract

We show that almost every  $G_{m\text{-out}}$  contains  $m$  edge disjoint spanning trees.

## Introduction

In this note we consider the maximum number of edge disjoint spanning trees contained in the random graph  $G_{m\text{-out}}$ . Let a graph  $G = (V, E)$  have property  $\mathcal{A}_k$  if it contains spanning trees  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k$  which are pair-wise edge disjoint.

We consider the random graph  $G_m = G_{m\text{-out}}$ . This has vertex set  $V_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Each  $v \in V_n$  independently chooses a set  $\text{out}(v)$  of distinct vertices as neighbours, where each  $m$ -subset of  $V_n - \{v\}$  is equally likely to be chosen. This produces a random  $m$  out-regular digraph  $D_m$  which has been selected uniformly from  $\binom{n-1}{m}^n$  distinct possibilities.  $G_m$  is obtained by ignoring orientation but without coalescing edges. (See [1], [2], [3] for properties of this model.)

Probability statements refer to the probability space of  $D_m$  and graph theoretic statements refer to  $G_m$ .

## Theorem 1

Let  $m \geq 2$  be a fixed constant. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(G_m \in \mathcal{A}_m) = 1. \quad \square$$

[This is clearly best possible.]

The major graph theoretic result underpinning our proof is as follows.

Theorem 2 (Nash-Williams [5], Tutte [6])

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  has property  $\mathcal{A}_k$  if and only if for every partition  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_t$  of  $V$ ,  $2 \leq t \leq |V|$ , there at least  $k(t-1)$  edges of  $G$  joining vertices in different subsets of the partition.  $\square$

(The necessity of the condition is obvious. The "meat" is in the sufficiency.)

Proof of main result

For  $S \subseteq V_n$  let  $\gamma(S) = |\{vw \in E(D_m) : v \in S, w \notin S\}|$ .

Lemma 1

The following events occur with probability tending to 1 (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

- (i)  $S \subseteq V_n$ ,  $1 \leq |S| \leq .49n$  implies  $\gamma(S) \geq m$
- (ii)  $S, T \subseteq V_n$ ,  $S \cap T = \emptyset$ ,  $|S|, |T| \geq .49n$ , implies  $\gamma(S) + \gamma(T) \geq m$ .

Proof

Observe that  $\gamma(S) \geq |\{v \in S : \text{out}(v) \not\subseteq S\}|$ . Hence  $\gamma(S) \geq m$  for  $|S| \leq m$  and

$$\begin{aligned} P(\exists S \subseteq V_n : m < |S| \leq .49n \text{ and } \gamma(S) < m) &\leq \sum_{s=m+1}^{\lfloor .49n \rfloor} \binom{n}{s} \binom{s}{s-m+1} \left( \frac{\binom{s-1}{m}}{\binom{n-1}{m}} \right)^{s-m+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{s=m+1}^{\lfloor .49n \rfloor} \binom{n}{s} s^{n-1} \left( \frac{s}{n} \right)^{m(s-m+1)} \\ &= \sum_s u_s, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } \sum_{s=m+1}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} u_s &\leq \sum_{s=m+1}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} \left(\frac{1-n\epsilon}{s}\right)^s s^{m-1} \binom{s}{n}^{m-1} \\
 &= \sum_{s=m+1}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} \frac{1}{s} \binom{s}{n}^{m-1} (m-1)(s-m) \\
 &= o(n^{-(m-1)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next let  $H(a) = (1-a)^{1-a}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{s=\lfloor n/3 \rfloor}^{\lfloor .49n \rfloor} u_s &\leq \frac{\lfloor .49n \rfloor}{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} e^{o(n)} H\left(\frac{s}{n}\right)^{ms} \\
 &\leq e^{o(n)} \sum_{s=\lfloor n/3 \rfloor}^{\lfloor .49n \rfloor} \frac{1.49n}{s} \left(\frac{1-s/n}{n}\right)^n \\
 &= o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

and (i) follows.

(ii)

$\Pr(3S, T \subseteq V_n, |S|, |T| \leq .49n, S \cap T = \emptyset \text{ and } T(S) + T(T) < m)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{s=\lfloor .49n \rfloor}^{\lfloor .51n \rfloor} \sum_{t=\lfloor .49n \rfloor}^{n-s} \binom{n}{s} \binom{n-s}{t} \frac{1}{s+t} \binom{s+t}{n}^{m-1} \\
 &\leq \frac{2 \cdot n}{n} \cdot \frac{.51n}{n} \cdot \frac{.98n}{n} \cdot \frac{.98n}{n} \cdot \frac{.98n}{n} \cdot \frac{.98n}{n} \cdot \frac{.98n}{n} \cdot \frac{.98n}{n} \cdot \frac{.98n}{n} \cdot \frac{.98n}{n} \cdot \frac{.98n}{n} \\
 &= o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_t$  be a partition of  $V_n$  where  $|S_1| \geq |S_2| \geq \dots \geq |S_t|$ . Now in the graph  $G_m$  there precisely  $\gamma(S_1) + \gamma(S_2) + \dots + \gamma(S_t)$  edges joining different subsets of the partition. But Lemma 1 implies

$$\gamma(S_1) + \gamma(S_2) \geq m \quad (\text{ii})$$

and

$$\gamma(S_3) + \dots + \gamma(S_t) \geq (t-2)m \quad (\text{i})$$

and so we can apply Theorem 3. □

We note the following interesting consequence Theorem 1:  $G_{2\text{-out}}$  is super-eulerian with probability tending to one. (A graph is super eulerian if it contains a trail which includes every vertex). This is because every graph in  $\mathcal{A}_2$  has this property, Jaegar [4].

**Acknowledgement:** we thank P. Catlin for pointing out the connection between Theorem 1 and super eulerian graphs.

References

- [1] T.I. Fenner and A.M. Frieze, "On the connectivity of random  $m$ -orientable graphs and digraphs", *Combinatorica* **2** (1982) 347-359.
- [2] A.M. Frieze, "On maximum matchings in a class of random graphs", *Journal of Combinatorial Theory B* **40** (1986) 196-212.
- [3] A.M. Frieze and T. Łuczak, "Hamilton cycles in a class of random graphs: one step further", to appear.
- [4] F. Jaeger, "A note on subeulerian graphs", *Journal of Graph Theory* **3** (1979) 91-93.
- [5] C. St. J.A. Nash-Williams, "Edge disjoint spanning trees of finite graphs", *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **36** (1961) 445-450.
- [6] W.T. Tutte, "On the problem of decomposing a graph into  $n$  connected factors", *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **36** (1961) 221-230.



OCT 02 2009

Carnegie Mellon University Libraries



3 8482 01352 6005