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## 1 Introduction

Equilibrium configuration of a nematic liquid crystal are generally assumed to be realized by minima, or at least stationary points of the Oseen Frank energy of its optic axis $u(x)=\left(u^{1}(x), u^{2}(x), u^{3}(x)\right)$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
E(u)=\int_{\Omega} W(\nabla u, u) d x,|u|=1  \tag{1.1}\\
W(\nabla u, u)=\frac{1}{2} k_{1}(\operatorname{div} u)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k}_{2}(\mathrm{u} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathrm{u})^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k}_{3}|\mathrm{u} \wedge \operatorname{curl} \mathrm{u}|^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is the domain in $R^{3}$ occupied by the material. ${ }^{1}$ Above $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ are positive constants. In view of the constranint $|u|=1$, an equilibrium configuration will

[^0]generally have defects, or singular points, where $u$ fails to be continuous. The simplest example of a configuration with a defect is
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(x)=\frac{x}{|x|}=\nabla|x| \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

which is stationary for all choices of $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$.
The issue we wish to consider is when $n(x)$ is stable among all possible choices of $u(x),|u(x)|=1$, which, say, are defined in $|x| \leq 1$ and agree with $n$ on $|x|=1$. Let us formulate this by introducing

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{1}\left(B, S^{2}\right) & =\left\{u \in H^{1} \cdot\left(B ; R^{3}\right):|u(x)|=1 \quad \text { in } B\right\} \text { and } \\
\mathcal{A} & =\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(B, S^{2}\right): u=n \text { on } S^{2}=\partial B\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B$ denotes the unit ball in $R^{3}$, and $H^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$ denotes the usual Sobolev space of $R^{3}$ valued functions with square integrable first derivatives.
S.-Y. Lin [LI] pointed out that $n(x)$ fails to minimize (1.1) in $\mathcal{A}$ if $k_{1}$ is too large, both by explicit construction of a trial vector field of lower energy and by computation of the minimizing configuration. F.Helein [H], independently, gave quantitative form to this fact by proving that $n(x)$ is not the minimum of $E$ in $\mathcal{A}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
8\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+k_{3}<0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cohen and Taylor [CT] then proved the remarkable fact that $n$ is stable, in a suitable sense, provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
8\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+k_{3} \geq 0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our primary intention here is to give an elementary proof of the Cohen and Taylor result, whose proof is based on the spectral theory of the $\bar{\partial}$ operator on $S^{2}$. Pivotal to our argument is a new expression for the integral of the null lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{adj} \nabla u d x=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left((\operatorname{div} u)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla \mathrm{u})^{2}\right) \mathrm{dx} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a surface integral.
One result relevant to our results which we would like to mention here is that if $k_{1} \leq k_{2}$, then $n(x)$ is the unique minimizer of energy in $\mathcal{A}$ defined above. This is shown in [O1] by extending an earlier result of F.-H. Lin [L1] on harmonic maps.

We refer to [E1], [HK], [K], and [L2] for recent developments in liquid crystal theory.

We wish to thank J.L. Ericksen for much helpful guidance. We are indebted to G.Vergara Caffarelli for many discussions about boundary integrals for (1.7), including the extremely simple proof of LEMMA 4.2.

## 2 Second variation of the Oseen Frank energy

We review the second variation of the Oseen Frank energy at $n(x)=\frac{x}{|x|}$ as developed by Cohen and Taylor. We then explain our result. Let $v \in H^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$ have compact support and satisfy $v \cdot n=0$. For $\lambda$ small

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{\lambda} & =\frac{n+\lambda v}{|n+\lambda v|} \in \mathcal{A} \text { and }  \tag{2.1}\\
E\left(v_{\lambda}\right) & =E(n)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} E_{n}(v, v)+o\left(\lambda^{2}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n}(v, v)= & \int_{B}\left\{k_{1}\left[(\operatorname{div} u)^{2}-\frac{2}{|\mathrm{x}|^{2}}|\mathrm{v}|^{2}\right]+\mathrm{k}_{2}|\mathrm{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathrm{v}|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+k_{3}|n \wedge \operatorname{curl} \mathrm{v}|^{2}\right\} \mathrm{dx} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Our main objective is to prove this version of the result of Cohen and Taylor [CT]:
Theorem 2.1 Let $v \in H^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$ satisfy $v \cdot n=0$. Assume that $v \not \equiv 0$. Then

$$
E_{n}(v, v)>0 \text { when } 8\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+k_{3} \geq 0
$$

and

$$
E_{n}(v, v)<0 \text { when } 8\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+k_{3}<0 .
$$

As noted in the introduction, the second part of this theorem is due to Helein [H].
As observed by Cohen and Taylor, the positivity of $E_{n}(v, v)$ when $8\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+k_{3} \geq$ 0 follows from the two inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left[(\operatorname{div} v)^{2}+|n \cdot \operatorname{curlv}|^{2}\right] d x \geq \int_{B} \frac{2}{|x|^{2}}|v|^{2} d x \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}|n \wedge \operatorname{curl} v|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B} \frac{1}{|x|^{2}}|v|^{2} d x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $v \in H^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right) \bigcap L^{\infty}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$ satisfies $v \cdot n=0$.
The inequality in (2.5) is strict if $v \not \equiv 0$.
The proof for this elementary but rather critical observation is simple. If $k_{1} \leq k_{2}$, $E(n)(v, v)$ is lowered if $k_{2}$ in (2.3) is replaced by $k_{1}$, and the proof of the positivity simply follows the inequalities of (2.4) and (2.5); if $k_{1}>k_{2}$, and $k_{3} \geq 8\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(n)(v, v) \geq & \int_{B}\left\{k_{2}(\operatorname{div} \mathrm{v})^{2}-\mathrm{k}_{1} \frac{2}{|\mathrm{x}|^{2}}|\mathrm{v}|^{2}+\mathrm{k}_{2}|\mathrm{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathrm{v}|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+k_{3}|n \wedge \operatorname{curl} \mathrm{v}|^{2}\right\} \mathrm{dx} \\
= & \int_{B}\left\{k_{2}\left[(\operatorname{div} \mathrm{v})^{2}+|\mathrm{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathrm{v}|^{2}\right]+\mathrm{k}_{3} \mid \mathrm{n} \wedge \text { curlv}\left.\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-k_{1} \frac{2}{|x|^{2}}|v|^{2}\right\} d x \\
\geq & \int_{B}\left\{k_{2} \frac{2}{|x|^{2}}|v|^{2}+8\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{|x|^{2}}|v|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-k_{1} \frac{2}{|x|^{2}}|v|^{2}\right\} d x \\
= & \int_{B}\left[2 k_{1}+2\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)-2 k_{1}\right] \frac{|v|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \\
= & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Frame indifference

The energy density (1.1) is frame indifferent, which means that it is invariant under the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(x)=Q u\left(Q^{T} x\right), \quad Q \in S O(3) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which transforms $\nabla u$ to $\nabla u^{\prime}=Q \nabla u Q^{T}$. This was one of the bases for its derivation, $\mathrm{cf} .[\mathrm{F}],[\mathrm{E}]$. In our proof we shall use the frame indifference of the quantities appearing
in (2.3) as well, so we check that here. First of all note that for any vector valued function $u(x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda I-Q \nabla u Q^{T}\right)=\operatorname{det}(\lambda I-\nabla u), \quad-\infty<\lambda<+\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\lambda I-\nabla u)=\lambda^{3}-\operatorname{div} u \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left((\operatorname{div} u)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla u)^{2}\right) \lambda-\operatorname{det}(\nabla u), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the fundamental invariants $\operatorname{div} u,(\operatorname{div} u)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla u)^{2}$, and $\operatorname{det}(\nabla u)$ are frame indifferent.

Now curl $u$ is the unique vector for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{u} \wedge \xi=\left(\nabla \mathbf{u}-\nabla \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathrm{R}^{3} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $\xi^{\prime}=Q \xi$ and defining $u^{\prime}(x)=Q u\left(Q^{T} x\right)$, as in (3.1) above, we have that

$$
\operatorname{curl} u^{\prime} \wedge \xi^{\prime}=\operatorname{Qcurl} u \wedge \xi
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{curl} u^{\prime} \wedge \xi^{\prime}\right|=|\operatorname{curl} u \wedge \xi| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\xi$ is a unit vector perpendicular to curl $u$, then $\xi^{\prime}$ is a unit vector perpendicular to curl $u^{\prime}$, whence

$$
\left|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right|=|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{u}| .
$$

If $\xi$ is an arbitrary unit vector, then

$$
|\xi \cdot \operatorname{curl} u|^{2}=|\operatorname{curl} u|^{2}-|\operatorname{curl} u \wedge \xi|^{2}
$$

so

$$
\left|\xi^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right|^{2}=|\xi \cdot \operatorname{curl} u|^{2}
$$

A special case of this is $\xi(x)=n(x)$ for which $n^{\prime}(x)=n(x)$. This gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n \cdot \operatorname{curl} u^{\prime}\right|^{2}=|n \cdot \operatorname{curl} u|^{2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus each term in (2.3) is frame indifferent.

In this spirit, we do some computations along the positive $x_{3}$ axis to be used later.
Along the positive $x_{3}$ axis, $x=(0,0, r), n=x /|x|=(0,0,1)$. Exploiting $(n \cdot v) \equiv 0$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{i} v_{i}=|x|(n \cdot v) \equiv 0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(x_{i} v_{i}\right)=v_{j}+x_{i} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=0 \text { for } j=1,2,3
\end{gathered}
$$

Writing them down explicitly,

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{3}=0 \\
v_{1}+r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} v_{3}=0 \\
v_{2}+r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} v_{3}=0 \\
v_{3}+r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} v_{3}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

In summary,

$$
\begin{align*}
(\nabla v) & =\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
-\frac{v_{1}}{r} & -\frac{v_{2}}{r} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r} \\
b_{1} & b_{2} & \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial r} \\
-\frac{v_{1}}{r} & -\frac{v_{2}}{r} & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{curl} \mathrm{v}=\left(-\frac{\partial \mathrm{v}_{2}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}-\frac{\mathrm{v}_{2}}{\mathrm{r}}, \frac{\partial \mathrm{v}_{1}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}+\frac{\mathrm{v}_{1}}{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}-\mathrm{a}_{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following expressions for those quantities which are frame indifferent:

$$
\begin{align*}
(\operatorname{div} \mathrm{v})^{2} & =\left(a_{1}+b_{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.9}\\
|n \cdot \operatorname{curlv}|^{2} & =\left(b_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.10}\\
|n \wedge \operatorname{curlv}|^{2} & =\left(-\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r}-\frac{v_{1}}{r}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial r}+\frac{v_{2}}{r}\right)^{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$(\operatorname{div} v)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla v)^{2}=$ Twice the sum of the determinants of all

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { the diagonal } 2 \times 2 \text { submatrices of }(\nabla v) \\
&=\left(2 a_{1} b_{2}-2 a_{2} b_{1}\right)+\frac{2}{r} v_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{2}{r} v_{2} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial r} ;  \tag{3.12}\\
&|v|^{2}= v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2} ;  \tag{3.13}\\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial r}|v|^{2}= 2 v_{1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r}+2 v_{2} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial r} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 Proof of (2.4)

The inequality (2.4) is the more difficult to prove, so we shall focus first on it. In fact, we shall prove a better inequality.

PROPOSITION 4.1 Let $v \in C^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$ satisfy $v \cdot n=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{r}}\left((\operatorname{div} v)^{2}+(\mathrm{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathrm{v})^{2}\right) \mathrm{dS}_{\mathrm{r}} \geq \frac{2}{\mathrm{r}^{2}} \int_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}}|\mathrm{v}|^{2} \mathrm{dS} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{r}}, \quad 0<\mathrm{r}<1 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{r}=\partial B_{r}$ and $d S_{r}$ denotes the surface measure on $S_{r}$.

Integration of (4.1) yields (2.4) for smooth functions. We remove this restriction at the end of this section. The proof relies on two lemmas. The first of these is the interpretation of the null langrangian mentioned in the introduction.

LEMMA 4.2 Let $v \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; R^{3}\right)$, where $\Omega$ is a domain with smooth boundary. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}(\operatorname{div} u)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla \mathrm{u})^{2} \mathrm{dx} \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{adj} \nabla u d x \\
& \quad=\int_{\partial \Omega} \operatorname{adj} \nabla u \cdot x \otimes \nu d S \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu$ denotes the outward unit normal to $\Omega$.

Proof of LEMMA 4.2. $\quad$ First suppose that $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; R^{3}\right)$.Then recalling that

$$
\operatorname{div} \operatorname{adj} \nabla u=0
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{adj} \nabla u \cdot x \otimes \nu d S & =\int_{\partial \Omega}(\operatorname{adj} \nabla u)_{i j} x_{i} \nu_{j} d S \\
& =\int_{\Omega}(\operatorname{adj} \nabla u)_{i j} \delta i j d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}(\operatorname{adj} \nabla)_{i j} x_{i} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}(\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{adj} \nabla u) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

The result now follows from approximation.
The lemma may be generalized in many directions, both to less smooth classes of functions and to other null langrangians. See section 8 for more discussion.

We apply the lemma. Assume that $v \in C^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$. Introduce the (frame indifferent) expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
T v=(\operatorname{div} \mathrm{v})^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla \mathrm{v})^{2}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{r}}|\mathrm{v}|^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that if $v \cdot n=0$, then

$$
T v(0,0, r)=2\left(a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right)
$$

On the other hand, at $x=(0,0, r)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{adj} \nabla v \cdot x \otimes \nu & =\operatorname{adj} \nabla v \cdot n \otimes n r, \quad n=(0,0,1) \\
& =\left(a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right) r=\frac{1}{2} T v r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r}}\left((\operatorname{div} v)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla v)^{2}\right) d x=\int_{S_{r}} T v r d S_{r} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, let us note that at $x=(0,0, r)$,

$$
(\operatorname{div} v)^{2}+(n \cdot \operatorname{curl} v)^{2}=\left(a_{1}+b_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2}-b_{1}\right)^{2} \geq 4\left(a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right)
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{div} v)^{2}+(n \cdot \operatorname{curl} v)^{2} \geq 2 T v \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence PROPOSITION 4.1 for smooth function is a consequence of

LEMMA 4.3 Let $v \in C_{0}^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$ satisfy $v \cdot n=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{r}} T v d S_{r}=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \int_{S_{r}}|v|^{2} d S_{r}, \quad 0<r<1 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF: We may assume that $v=0$ in a neighborhood of 0 . Using (4.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{r}} T v d x \\
& \quad=\int_{B_{r}}\left((\operatorname{divu})^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla \mathrm{u})^{2}\right) \mathrm{dx}-\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}|\mathrm{v}|^{2} \mathrm{dx} \\
& \quad=\int_{S_{r}} T v r d S_{r}-\int_{B_{r}} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}|v|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

We calculate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{B_{r}} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}|v|^{2} d x=\int_{B_{r}} \frac{1}{\rho^{2}}|v|^{2} d x-\int_{S_{r}} \frac{1}{r}|v|^{2} d S_{r} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Placing this in the above and grouping the volume and surface terms separately gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r}}\left\{T v-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}}|v|^{2}\right\} d x=r \int_{S_{r}}\left\{T v-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}}|v|^{2}\right\} d S_{r} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote the left hand side of (4.7) by $f(r)$. Since $v$ has compact support, (4.7) assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=r \frac{d f(r)}{d r}, f(1)=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (4.8) is $f(r)=0$, which is (4.6).

COMPLETION OF THE PROOF OF (2.4): We want to check that (1.4) is valid for $v \in H_{0}^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$ with compact support. Suppose first that $v \in H_{0}^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$ with supp $v \subset B \backslash B_{r}$. Let $w_{\epsilon} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$ be uniformly bounded, $\operatorname{supp} w_{\epsilon} \subset B \backslash B_{r}$ with $w_{\epsilon} \rightarrow v$ in $H_{0}^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$. Then $v_{\epsilon}=w_{\epsilon}-\left(w_{\epsilon} \cdot n\right) n$ satisfies $v_{\epsilon} \cdot n=0$ and

$$
\left\|v_{\epsilon}-v\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)} \leq\left\|w_{\epsilon}-v\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\int_{B}\left|\nabla\left(v_{\epsilon}-v\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq 2 \int_{B}\left|\nabla\left(w_{\epsilon}-v\right)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{B}\left|w_{\epsilon}-v\right|^{2}|\nabla n|^{2} d x
$$

Now for a subsequence of $w_{\epsilon}$, not relabled,

$$
w_{\epsilon} \longrightarrow v \quad \text { in } \mathrm{B} \text { a.e. }
$$

hence

$$
\left|w_{\epsilon}-v\right|^{2}|\nabla n|^{2} \longrightarrow 0 \text { in } \mathrm{B} \text { a.e. }
$$

Moreover, since the $w_{\varepsilon}$ are uniformly bounded,

$$
\left|w_{\epsilon}-v\right|^{2}|\nabla n|^{2} \leq C|\nabla n|^{2} \in L^{1}(B) .
$$

Thus by Lebesque's Theorem,

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|w_{\epsilon}-v\right|^{2}|\nabla n|^{2} d x=0
$$

and (2.4) holds for $v \in H_{0}^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$ with supp $v \subset B \backslash B_{r}$. To remove the condition on the support of $v$, it suffices to replace $v$ by $\eta^{2} v, n=1$ in $B \backslash B_{r}$ and $\eta=0$ in $B_{r / 2}$ and check that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B} \mid \nabla\left(\eta^{2} v-v\right)^{2} d x=0
$$

## 5 Proof of (2.5)

We begin by proving (2.5) for $v \in C_{0}^{1}\left(B \backslash B_{r} ; R^{3}\right)$ satisfying $v \cdot n=0$. Owing to the frame indifference of the integrand, it suffices to prove that along the positive $x_{3}$ axis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}|n \wedge \operatorname{curl} v|^{2} r^{2} d r \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{r^{2}}|v|^{2} r^{2} d r \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the inequality is strict if $v$ does not vanish identically along the positive $x_{3}$ axis.
Let $g_{i}=r v_{i}, i=1,2$. From the calculations of (10),(12) along the positive $x_{3}$ axis,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1}|n \wedge \operatorname{curlv}|^{2} r^{2} d r \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[\left(\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{v_{1}}{r}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial r}+\frac{v_{2}}{r}\right)^{2}\right] r^{2} d r \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[\left(\frac{\partial\left(r v_{1}\right)}{\partial r}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial\left(r v_{2}\right)}{\partial r}\right)^{2}\right] d r
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[\left(\frac{\partial\left(g_{1}\right)}{\partial r}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial\left(g_{2}\right)}{\partial r}\right)^{2}\right] d r \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{r^{2}}\left[\left(g_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{2}\right)^{2}\right] d r \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{r^{2}}\left[\left(r v_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(r v_{2}\right)^{2}\right] d r \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1}|v|^{2} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Proposition 1 in the appendix to get the inequality above. We also use Proposition 1 to conclude that the inequality is strict if $v$ does not vanish identically along the positive $x_{3}$ axis. The extension to general $v \in H_{0}^{1}\left(B ; R^{3}\right)$ with compact support proceeds exactly as in the proof of (2.4). This completes the proof of THEOREM 2.1.

## 6 Optimality

Finally, we remark that inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) are optimal. In [H], Helein picked

$$
v=\left(\chi(r) x_{2},-\chi(r) x_{1}, 0\right), \quad r=|x|
$$

to get the optimal choice in his proof that the second variation of $E(u)$ in (1) at $x /|x|$ is nonpositive if $8\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+k_{3}<0$. For such kind of $v$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\operatorname{div} v)^{2} & =0 ; \\
(\operatorname{div} v)^{2}+|n \wedge \operatorname{curl} v|^{2} & =\frac{4 x_{3}^{2}}{r^{2}} \chi^{2}(r) \\
\frac{2}{|x|^{2}}|v|^{2} & =\frac{2\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}{r^{2}} \chi^{2}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for the two sides of the inequality (2.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B}\left[(\operatorname{div} v)^{2}+|n \cdot \operatorname{curl} v|^{2}\right] & =\frac{4}{3} \int_{B} \chi^{2}(r) d x \\
\int_{B} \frac{2}{|x|^{2}}|v|^{2} d x & =\frac{4}{3} \int_{B} \chi^{2}(r) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore (2.4) is in fact an equality. This calculation also shows that in (4.6), although the integrals of the two sides over a sphere are equal, their integrands are
generally not. To be more specific, we easily verify it here by a direct computation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T v= & (\operatorname{div} v)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla v)^{2}-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial\left(|v|^{2}\right)}{\partial r} \\
= & 2\left(\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}-\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial\left(|v|^{2}\right)}{\partial r} \\
= & 2\left(\chi^{2}+\chi \chi^{\prime} \frac{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{r}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{r}\left[2 \chi \chi^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+2 \chi^{2} \frac{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{r}\right] \\
= & 2 \frac{x_{3}^{2}}{r^{2}} \chi^{2}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore for the two sides of (4.6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{2} T v(r, \omega) & =2 \frac{x_{3}^{2}}{r^{2}} \chi^{2}(r) \\
|v|^{2}(r, \omega) & =\frac{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{r^{2}} \chi^{2}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, the function $\chi$ in $v$ can be chosen such that the left side of (2.5) can be less than the right side multiplied by any prescribed factor larger than one. We do not go to detail here, see $[\mathrm{H}]$ and/or the remark following the proof of our Proposition 1 in the appendix.

## 7 Appendix 1: A Sobolev type inequality

Proposition 1 For a smooth function $g(t)$ with compact support in ( 0,1$]$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}}{t^{2}} d t \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equality holds only when $g \equiv 0$.
Proof: We notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}}{t^{2}} d t & =\int_{0}^{1} g^{2} d\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) \\
& =-\left.\frac{g^{2}}{t}\right|_{0} ^{1}+\int_{0}^{1} 2 g g^{\prime} / t d t \\
& \leq 2\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}}{t^{2}} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Squaring two sides above leads to (7.1).
From the proof, if (7.1) is an equality, $g^{\prime}$ has to be proportional to $g / t$. Only $g \equiv 0$ satisties this with the boundary condition $g(1)=0$.

Remark 1: It's easy to verify that the proposition is equally true for nonsmooth, but absolutely continuous function $g$ such that $|g| \leq c t$ for some constant $c$. The proof of (2.5) for general $v \in H^{1} \cap L^{\infty}$ depends on this argument. Specifically in the proof of equality (5.1), $g_{i}=r v_{i},\left|g_{i}\right| \leq c r$ since $v \in H_{0}^{1} \cap L^{\infty}$.

Remark 2: $1 / 4$ here is in fact the best coefficient in the following sense, for any constant $c>1 / 4$, there is a smooth $g$ with compact support in $(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t \leq c \int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}}{t^{2}} d t \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this is also elementary.
Consider

$$
c_{\epsilon}=\inf _{g \in H_{0}^{1}([\epsilon, 1])} \int_{0}^{1}\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t / \int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}}{t^{2}} d t \text { with } 0<\epsilon \ll 1
$$

$c_{\epsilon}$ is reached by a function $g_{\epsilon}$ which satisties

$$
g_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}+c_{\epsilon} \frac{g_{\epsilon}^{2}}{t^{2}}=0, \quad g_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)=g_{\epsilon}(1)=0
$$

The ODE is solvable by the standard Euler method, $c_{\epsilon}$ is calculated as

$$
c_{\epsilon}=\left[\left(\frac{2 \pi}{-\ln \epsilon}\right)^{2}+1\right] / 4 \rightarrow 1 / 4 \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

## 8 Appendix 2: A discussion on the null lagrangian energy

In [E2] there is a systematic discussion on the null lagrangian energies of liquid crystals. Here we are going to give a more geometrical understanding of the null lagrangian energy. In another sense, this is to put the simple proof of Lemma 4.2 in a larger context.

The first motivation of our study comes from the equality

$$
\operatorname{det}(I+t \nabla f)=1+t \operatorname{div} f+\frac{t^{2}}{2}\left[(\operatorname{div} \nabla f)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla f)^{2}\right]+\frac{t^{3}}{3} \operatorname{det}(\nabla f)
$$

Obviously this equality suggests that all the three terms in the right side are null lagrangians, i.e, their integrations in a domain only depend on their values on the boundary.

In [BCL], an important role is played by the divergence of the vector function

$$
\left(f \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} \wedge \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{3}}, f \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{3}} \wedge \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, f \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right) .
$$

Specifically, if $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right),|f|=1$ and $f$ only has finite singularities at $P_{i}$ with degree $d_{i}$, then the aforementioned divergence is $\sum d_{i} \delta_{P_{i}}(x)$. A detailed proof has to employ the following identity of the diffential forms and the Stokes integration theorem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(f_{1} d f_{2} \wedge d f_{3}+f_{2} d f_{3} \wedge d f_{1}+f_{3} d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2}\right) \\
= & 3 d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge d f_{3} \\
= & 3 \operatorname{det}(\nabla f) d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2} \wedge d x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we use $\wedge$ as the exterior product of differential forms (besides the exterior product of two vectors in $R^{3}$ ), and we use $d$ as the exterior differentiation operator. We refer to $[\mathrm{B}]$ for an introduction to the calculus of the exterior differntiation.

Playfully, if we replace one of the $f_{i}$ 's in the above identity with $x_{i}$ 's, say the last ones, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(f_{1} d f_{2} \wedge d x_{3}+f_{2} d f_{3} \wedge d x_{1}+f_{3} d f_{1} \wedge d x_{2}\right) \\
= & d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge d x_{3}+d f_{2} \wedge d f_{3} \wedge d x_{1}+d f_{3} \wedge d f_{1} \wedge d x_{2} \\
= & 1 / 2\left(\left(\operatorname{div}(\nabla f)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla f)^{2}\right) d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2} \wedge d x_{3} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The integration by Stokes theorem leads to something well known already. In fact, it is equivalent to writing

$$
(\operatorname{div} f)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla f)^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(f_{i} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}-f_{j} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then using the divergence theorem to express it as a boundary integral. Unfortunately, the formula as the surface integration does not provide much geometric insight into what it really is. In the proof of inequality (2.4) we were initially stalled at this point.

The difficulty encountered here was finally resolved by our observation that we should replace the first $f_{i}$ 's with $x_{i}$ 's, that is, we equally have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(x_{1} d f_{2} \wedge d f_{3}+x_{2} d f_{3} \wedge d f_{1}+x_{3} d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2}\right) \\
= & d x_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \wedge d f_{3}+d x_{2} \wedge d f_{3} \wedge d f_{1}+d x_{3} \wedge d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2} \\
= & 1 / 2\left(\left(\operatorname{div}(\nabla f)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla f)^{2}\right) d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2} \wedge d x_{3}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

A salient feature of this equality is that here the first $x_{i}$ 's lead the two following $f_{i}$ 's instead of that the first $f_{i}$ 's lead one $f_{i}$ 's and one $x_{i}$ 's in the former identity. It was this symmetry that leads to the new expression.

Proposition 2 Let $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be smooth on a smooth domain $\Omega$. Denote $\nu$ as the outnormal of $\partial \Omega$ and $e_{1}, e_{2}$ as an orthonormal basis for the tangential plane at $x \in \partial \Omega$ such that $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \nu\right)$ forms a right handed basis for $R^{3}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left[(\operatorname{div} f)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla f)^{2}\right] d x=2 \int_{\partial \Omega} x \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_{1}} \wedge \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_{2}} d S \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We use Stokes theorem on integration (cf. [B]).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left[(\operatorname{div} f)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla f)^{2}\right] d x \\
= & 2 \int_{\Omega} d\left(x_{1} d f_{2} \wedge d f_{3}+x_{2} d f_{3} \wedge d f_{1}+x_{3} d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2}\right) \\
= & 2 \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(x_{1} d f_{2} \wedge d f_{3}+x_{2} d f_{3} \wedge d f_{1}+x_{3} d f_{1} \wedge d f_{2}\right) \\
= & 2 \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\left|\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
x_{2} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
x_{3} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{array}\right| d x_{2} \wedge d x_{3}+\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & x_{1} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & x_{2} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} & x_{3} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{array}\right| d x_{3} \wedge d x_{1}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & x_{1} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & x_{2} \\
\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} & x_{3}
\end{array}\right| d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2}\right) \\
& =2 \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\left|\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
x_{2} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
x_{3} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{array}\right| \nu_{1}+\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & x_{1} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & x_{2} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} & x_{3} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{array}\right| \nu_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & x_{1} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & x_{2} \\
\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} & x_{3}
\end{array}\right| \nu_{3}\right) d S \\
& =2 \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(x_{1}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\nu_{1} & \nu_{2} & \nu_{3} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{array}\right|+x_{2}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\nu_{1} & \nu_{2} & \nu_{3} \\
\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{array}\right|\right. \\
& \left.+x_{3}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\nu_{1} & \nu_{2} & \nu_{3}
\end{array}\right|\right) d S
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}\right)=\nu$ is the outnormal to $\partial \Omega, d S$ is the area element of $\partial \Omega$. Let $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \nu\right)$ be a right handed basis for $R^{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\nu_{1} & \nu_{2} & \nu_{3} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial e_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial e_{2}} & \cdot \\
\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial e_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial e_{2}} & \cdot
\end{array}\right| \\
& \left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\nu_{1} & \nu_{2} & \nu_{3} \\
\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial e_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial e_{2}} & \cdot \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial e_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial e_{2}} & \cdot
\end{array}\right| \\
& \left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\nu_{1} & \nu_{2} & \nu_{3}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial 1_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial e_{2}} & \cdot \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial 1_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial e_{2}} & \cdot \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where "." denotes an entry which is of no use.

From all of the calculations above, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left[(\operatorname{div} f)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla f)^{2}\right] d x=2 \int_{\partial \Omega} x \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_{1}} \wedge \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_{2}} d S \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2 is proved.
As one might notice, the right side of (8.1) resembles strongly the expression $\int_{\partial \Omega} f \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_{1}} \wedge \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_{2}} d S$ in [BCL]. The proof of Lemma 4.2 is in fact a compact form of the above proof.

For liquid crytstals, the director vector $u$ satisfy $|u|=1$, therefore, both $\frac{\partial u}{\partial e_{1}}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial e_{2}}$ are perpendicular to $u$ and

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial e_{1}} \wedge \frac{\partial u}{\partial e_{2}}=u J
$$

here $J$ is the Jacobian of the map $u: \partial \Omega \rightarrow S^{2}$.
Proposition 3 For liquid crystals, if $u$ is the director vector field of the optic axises, and if there is no defect (or singular point) on $\partial \Omega$, then

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left[(\operatorname{div} u)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla u)^{2}\right] d x=2 \int_{\partial \Omega}(x \cdot u) J d S
$$

where $J$ is the Jacobian of the map $u: \partial \Omega \rightarrow S^{2}$, and $d S$ is the area element on $\partial \Omega$.
Proof: Since we have assumed there is no defect or singular point on $\partial \Omega, u$ can be approximated by smooth $f$ 's in Sobolev space $H^{1}(\Omega)$ with the same boundary values. ( $|f|$ does not need to be $|f|=1$ ). By Proposition 2 and the preceding remarks,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left[(\operatorname{div} u)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(\nabla u)^{2}\right] d x=2 \int_{\partial \Omega}(x \cdot u) J d S
$$

Some remarks to Proposition 3 might be interesting. If $\Omega=B$ and $u=x /|x|$ then $(x \cdot n)=1, J=1$ so the integration is $8 \pi$. If $u$ is planar, i.e., if $u$ takes on values in a grand circle of $S^{2}$, then $J \equiv 0$, so the integration is identically zero. Physically, it is an open problem how to measure the elastic constant associated with the null lagrangian energy in liquid crystals. ( all of the other elastic constants have some experimental ways to measure them out.)
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