

**NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS:**  
The copyright law of the United States (title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Any copying of this document without permission of its author may be prohibited by law.

Carnegie  
Mellon

NAMT

91-002

Generalized Interface Evolution with the  
Neumann Boundary Condition

Yoshikazu Giga  
Department of Mathematics  
Hokkaido University, Sapporo  
Sapporo, JAPAN

and

Moto-Hiko Sato  
Department of Mathematics  
Hokkaido University, Sapporo  
Sapporo, JAPAN

Research Report No. 91-NA-002

July 1991

**Center for  
Nonlinear Analysis**

**Department of Mathematics  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890**

Sponsored by  
U.S. Army Research Office  
Research Triangle Park  
North Carolina 27709

National Science Foundation  
1800 G Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20550

University Libraries  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890

# Generalized Interface Evolution with the Neumann Boundary Condition

Yoshikazu Giga  
Department of Mathematics  
Hokkaido University, Sapporo  
Sapporo, JAPAN

and

Moto-Hiko Sato  
Department of Mathematics  
Hokkaido University, Sapporo  
Sapporo, JAPAN

Research Report No. 91-NA-002

July 1991

University Libraries  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3800

# Generalized interface evolution with the Neumann boundary condition

YOSHIKAZU GIGA<sup>\*)</sup> AND MOTO-HIKO SATO<sup>\*)</sup>

## 1. Introduction.

We are concerned with geometric evolution (e.g. motion by mean curvature) of interfaces in a smoothly bounded domain  $\Omega(\subset \mathbf{R}^n)$  whose boundary  $\partial\Omega$  perpendicularly intersects with interfaces. In [S] the second author extended a level set approach introduced by Chen-Giga-Goto [CGG] and Evans-Spruck [ES] to this type of the Neumann problem and obtained a unique global weak solutions for the initial value problem provided that  $\Omega$  is convex. This note reports that the convexity assumption of  $\Omega$  can be removed. The details and proofs will appear elsewhere.

One of key ingredients is the comparison principle for the Neumann boundary value problem for singular degenerate parabolic equations. For the Neumann problem this principle is first established by Lions [L] for the Hamilton-Jacobi equations. For nonsingular degenerate elliptic equations the comparison principle is established by Ishii and Lions [IL]. See also [I] for more general oblique boundary conditions. However, their argument does not apply to singular equations. In [S] the second author obtained the comparison principle for our problem assuming that  $\Omega$  is convex. His method appeals to the idea of [GGIS] by regarding  $\partial\Omega$  as space infinity. Unfortunately, the choice of test functions does not apply to general domains. In this note we construct test functions by using local coordinate patches near  $\partial\Omega$  so that they apply to general domains.

In [H] Huisken considers the interface intersecting perpendicularly with  $\partial\Omega$  and moving by mean curvature. He constructed a global smooth evolution of interfaces when  $\Omega$  is a cylindrical domain  $D \times \mathbf{R}$  and the initial interface is the graph of a smooth function on  $D$ , where  $D$  is bounded. Although our theory presented below assumes that  $\Omega$  is bounded, it can be extended to cylindrical domain  $D \times \mathbf{R}$  provided  $D$  is bounded. The motion by mean curvature with right contact angle at  $\partial\Omega$  arises as a singular limit of a reaction-diffusion equation with the Neumann condition [RSK].

---

<sup>\*)</sup> Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University

## 2. Comparison principle.

We here present a simple and typical version of our comparison principle rather than stating its general form to avoid technical complexity. We consider an evolution equation of the form

$$(1) \quad u_t + F(\nabla u, \nabla^2 u) = 0 \quad \text{in } Q = (0, T] \times \Omega$$

$$(2) \quad \partial u / \partial \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } S = (0, T] \times \partial \Omega,$$

where  $\partial/\partial\nu$  denotes the outer normal derivative on  $\partial\Omega$ ;  $u_t = \partial u/\partial t$ ,  $\nabla u = \text{grad } u$ ;  $\nabla^2 u$  denotes the Hessian of  $u$  in the space variables. We list assumptions on  $F$ .

- (F1)  $F : (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbf{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous, where  $\mathbf{S}^n$  denotes the space of  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrices equipped with usual ordering.
- (F2)  $F$  is degenerate elliptic, i.e.,  $F(p, X + Y) \leq F(p, X)$  for all  $Y \geq 0$ .
- (F3)  $-\infty < F_*(0, 0) = F^*(0, 0) < \infty$  where  $F_*$  and  $F^*$  are the lower and upper semicontinuous relaxation (envelope) of  $F$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{S}^n$ , respectively, i.e.,

$$F_*(p, X) = \liminf_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \{F(q, Y); q \neq 0, |p - q| \leq \epsilon, |X - Y| \leq \epsilon\}$$

and  $F^* = -(-F)_*$ . Here  $|X|$  denotes the operator norm of  $X$  as a self adjoint operator on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a smoothly bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $F$  satisfies (F1)-(F3). Let  $u$  and  $v$  be, respectively, viscosity sub- and supersolutions of (1)-(2). If  $u^*(0, x) \leq v_*(0, x)$ , then  $u^* \leq v_*$  on  $\bar{Q}$ .*

A definition of a viscosity (sub) solution for the Neumann problem goes back to [L] where the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is studied. We recall a definition of viscosity subsolution of (1)-(2) for the reader's convenience. We refer to [CIL] and [I] for nonsingular equations. Any function  $u : Q \cup S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is called a viscosity subsolution of (1)-(2) if  $u^* < \infty$  on  $\bar{Q}$  and if, whenever  $\phi \in C^2(Q \cup S)$ ,  $(t, x) \in Q$  and  $(u^* - \phi)(t, x) = \max_{\bar{Q}}(u^* - \phi)$ , one of the following holds

$$(3) \quad \phi_t(t, x) + F_*(\nabla \phi(t, x), \nabla^2 \phi(t, x)) \leq 0$$

$$(4) \quad (\partial \phi / \partial \nu)(t, x) \leq 0 \quad \text{and } x \in \partial \Omega.$$

For example a function  $u(t, x) = -2t - |x|^2$  a viscosity subsolution (actually solution) of (1)-(2) with

$$(5) \quad F(p, X) = -\text{trace}((I - p \otimes p/|p|^2)X)$$

on an annulus  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  although  $\partial u/\partial \nu \leq 0$  may not hold on the inner circle of  $\partial \Omega$  in usual sense. One should be careful the meaning of (2).

### 3. Test functions.

The basic strategy of the proof of Theorem 1 is to find a parabolic super 2-jet of

$$w(t, x, y) = u(t, x) - v(t, y)$$

at a point where  $u^* > v_*$ . This idea is the same as in [GGIS] and we also apply the Crandall-Ishii lemma (see e.g. [CIL]). Since it is difficult to compare boundary condition (4), we take a barrier near the boundary to avoid to handle (4). This idea is found in [S].

For  $\varepsilon, \delta, \gamma > 0$  we set

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(t, x, y) &= W(t, x, y) - \Psi(t, x, y) \\ \Psi(t, x, y) &= \Xi(x, y)/\varepsilon + B(t, x, y) \\ B(t, x, y) &= \delta(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y) + 2\beta) + \gamma/(T - t).\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  is a 'barrier' function of  $\partial\Omega$  satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &\leq -\beta < 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad \text{with a constant } \beta > 0 \\ \nu(x) &= \nabla\varphi(x)/|\nabla\varphi(x)| \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla\varphi(x)| \geq 1 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.\end{aligned}$$

If  $\Xi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega})$  satisfies following conditions, the method of [GGIS] applies to establish Theorem 1.

- (C1)  $\Xi(x, y) \geq c_0|x - y|^4$  with  $c_0 > 0$ .
- (C2)  $|\Xi_x + \Xi_y| \leq c_1|x - y|^4, |\Xi_x|, |\Xi_y| \leq c_2|x - y|^3$ .
- (C3)  $|\Xi_{xx} + \Xi_{yy} + \Xi_{yx} + \Xi_{xy}| \leq c_3|x - y|^4$ .
- (C4)  $|\Xi_{xx}|, |\Xi_{yy}|, |\Xi_{xy}|, |\Xi_{yx}| \leq c_4|x - y|$ .
- (C5)  $\langle \nu(x), \Xi_x(x, y) \rangle \geq 0$  for  $x \in \partial\Omega, y \in \bar{\Omega}$   
 $\langle \nu(y), -\Xi_y(x, y) \rangle \leq 0$  for  $y \in \partial\Omega, x \in \bar{\Omega}$   
provided that  $|x - y|$  is sufficiently small.

Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\Omega$  is convex, then  $\Xi(x, y) = |x - y|^4$  satisfies (C1)-(C5). However, for nonconvex  $\Omega$ , this choice of  $\Xi$  violates (C5).

**LEMMA 2.** *There exists  $\Xi$  satisfying (C1)-(C5).*

Sketch of the proof. For each  $a \in \partial\Omega$  there is a local coordinate  $\chi_a = (\chi^1, \dots, \chi^n)$  such that  $\chi^n(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$  for  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ . Let  $\psi_a$  be a cut-off function near  $a$  so that  $\partial\psi_a/\partial\nu = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . We set

$$\Lambda_a(x, y) = \psi_a(x)\psi_a(y)|\chi_a(x) - \chi_a(y)|^4.$$

Let  $\psi_0$  be a cut-off function away from the boundary. We set

$$\Lambda_0(x, y) = \psi_0(x)\psi_0(y)|x - y|^4.$$

One can take finitely many  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\ell$  so that the sum  $\sum_{k=0}^\ell \Lambda_k$  satisfies (C1)-(C5) provided that  $|x - y|$  is sufficiently small. Here  $\Lambda_k = \Lambda_a$  with  $a = a_k$ . We set

$$\Xi(x, y) = \rho(|x - y|)|x - y|^4 + (1 - \rho(|x - y|)) \sum_{k=0}^\ell \Lambda_k(x, y)$$

with  $\rho(\sigma) = 0$  for small  $\sigma$ . With a choice of  $\rho$  one observes that  $\Xi$  satisfies (C1)-(C5).

#### 4. Interface evolution.

We remark that the theory in [CGG] and [GG] can be extended to the motion of interfaces intersecting perpendicularly with  $\partial\Omega$ . The next lemma is fundamental to establish global solution for the initial value problem of (1)-(2) by Perron's method.

**LEMMA 3([S]).** *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1 concerning  $F$ . Suppose that  $F$  is geometric. Then for  $u_0 \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  there is a viscosity subsolution  $u \in C([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$  of (1)-(2) with  $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ .*

Although our theory applies to general interface equations as in [GG], we state our results only for the motion by mean curvature just for simplicity.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $D_0$  be an open set in  $\Omega$ . Let  $u_0 \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  satisfies  $D_0 = \{x; u_0(x) > 0\}$ . There is a unique viscosity solution  $u \in C([0, \infty) \times \bar{\Omega})$  for (1)-(2) with (5) for arbitrary  $T > 0$  such that  $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ . The set  $D = \{(t, x); u(t, x) > 0\}$  is determined by  $D_0$  and called a generalized evolution by mean curvature with initial data  $D_0$  and the right angle boundary condition.*

**REMARK 5:** In [GG]  $D(t)$  is determined by  $D_0$  and  $\Gamma_0 = \{u_0(x) = 0\}$ . It turns out  $D(t)$  is completely determined by  $D_0$  as shown in [GGI].

**REMARK 6:** If we take  $\Xi$  as sketched in §3, we need  $C^{3,1}$  regularity of  $\partial\Omega$ . However, by taking  $\chi$  more clever way, we only need  $C^2$  regularity of  $\partial\Omega$  to establish Lemma 2.

## REFERENCES

- [CGG] Y.-G. Chen, Y. Giga and S. Goto, *Uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions of generalized mean curvature flow equations*, J. Differential Geometry **33** (1991), 749-786.
- [CIL] M.G. Crandall, H. Ishii and P.L. Lions, *User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations*. (preprint).
- [ES] L.C. Evans and J. Spruck, *Motion of level sets by mean curvature I*, J. Differential Geometry **33** (1991), 635-681.
- [GG] Y. Giga and S. Goto, *Motion of hypersurfaces and geometric equations*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **44** (to appear).
- [GGI] Y. Giga, S. Goto and H. Ishii, *Global existence of weak solutions for interface equations coupled with diffusion equations*. (preprint).
- [GGIS] Y. Giga, S. Goto, H. Ishii and M.-H.Sato, *Comparison principle and convexity preserving properties for singular degenerate parabolic equations on unbounded domains*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. (1991). (in press).
- [H] G. Huisken, *Non-parametric mean curvature evolution with boundary conditions*, J. Differential Equations **77** (1989), 369-378.
- [I] H. Ishii, *Fully nonlinear oblique derivative problems for nonlinear second-order elliptic PDE's*, Duke Math. J..
- [IL] H. Ishii and P.L. Lions, *Viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second-order elliptic partial differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **83** (1990), 26-78.
- [L] P.L. Lions, *Neumann type boundary conditions for Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), 793-820.
- [RSK] J. Rubinstein, P. Sternberg and J.B. Keller, *Fast reaction, slow diffusion, and curve shortening*, SIAM J. appl. Math. **49** (1989), 116-133.
- [S] M.-H. Sato, *Interface evolution with Neumann condition*. in preparation.

**REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE July 1991	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE  Generalized Interface Evolution with the Neumann Boundary Condition			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S)  Yoshikazu Giga and Moto-Hiko Sato				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  Department of Mathematics Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  91-NA-002	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  The view, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)				
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 5	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	