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Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a measure space. If $x, y$ are two measurable real valued functions then $x, y$ are said to be orthogonal in lattice theoretic sense, in short, $x{ }_{\perp_{L}} y$ if $\mu\{t \mid x(t) y(t) \neq 0\}=0$. If $F$ is a real valued function on the Banach space $L_{p}(\mu)(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ then $F$ is said to be additive if $x, y \in L_{p}(\mu)$ and $x \perp_{L} y$ then $F(x+y)=F(x)+F(y)$. Integral representations of additive functionals on $L_{p}(\mu)$ has been the subject of extensive study in recent years. For these and related results we refer to Drewnowskii and Orlizc [1], Mizel and Sundaresan [2], Mizel [3] and Sundaresan [4].

The concept of orthogonality involved in the definition of additive functionals though very natural in these function spaces there are other concepts of orthogonality in a Banach space, in particular applicable to the function spaces $L_{p}(\mu)$, which are natural generalizations of the usual concept of orthogonality in Eucledian spaces and are of intrinsic geometric interest. For several such concepts of orthogonality we refer to James [5,6]. We are particularly interested in the definition of orthogonality A M S Subject Classification. Primary 4610, 4635, 4780 Secondary 2825, 2816 Key words and phrases: Orthogonaltiy, additive functions, $L_{p}$-spaces, caratheodary function, integral representation, and non-atomic measure.
adopted in [6]. According to [6] if $B$ is a real Banach space and $x, y \in B$ then $x$ is orthogonal to $y$, in, short, $x \perp_{J} y$ if $\|x+\lambda y\| \geq\|x\|$ for all real values of $\lambda$.

The purpose of the present paper is to study functionals $F$ on spaces $L_{p}(\mu)$ where $F$ is required to be additive in the sense if $x, y \in L_{p}(\mu)$ and $x \perp_{J} y$ then $F(x+y)=F(x)+F(y)$. For the motivation of study of such functionals it is enough to nete that if $x, y \in L_{p}(\mu)$ and $x{ }_{~_{L}} y$ then $x \perp_{J} y$ while the following counter example shows that this implication cannot be reversed. Let $\mu$ be the Lebesque measure contracted to the unit interval $I$.

Consider the functions $x, y$ defined by $x=C_{[0,1 / 2]}-C_{[1 / 2,1]}$ and $y$ is the constant function 1 , where if $M$ is a set $C_{M}$ is the characterstic function of $M$. It is verified that $x, y \in L_{p}(\mu)$ and $x \perp_{J} y$ while $x$ and $y$ are not orthogonal in lattice theoretic sense.

Before proceeding to the main result we recall the necessary terminology, notations.and few useful results.

In what follows p is arbitrary, $1 \leq \mathrm{p} \leq \infty$, unless otherwise specified. (X, $\Sigma, \mu)$ is a fixed totally $\sigma$-finite nonatomic positive measure space. A function $\varphi: R \times X \rightarrow R$ is a Caratheodary function, in short a C-function, if
(1) $\varphi(\cdot, t): R \rightarrow R$ is continuous for almost all $t \in X$ and
(2) $\varphi(r, \cdot): X \rightarrow R$ is measurable for all $r \in R$. It is verified that if $x$ is a measurable real valued function so is the function $\varphi \circ x$ defined by $\varphi \circ \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})=\varphi(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t})$. A C -function $\varphi$ is said to be a $C_{p}$-function if $\varphi \circ \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{L}_{1}(\mu)$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mu)$.

It is known, Krasnoselskii [7], that if $p<\infty$ and $\mu(X)<\infty$ then a $C$-function $\varphi$ is a $C_{p}$-function if and only if there exists a function $\alpha \in L_{1}(\mu)$ and a positive number $b$ such that

$$
|\varphi(r, t),|\leq a(t)+b| r|^{p}
$$

for all $r \in R$.
A function $F: L_{p}(\mu) \rightarrow R$ is an $A_{L}$-function if it satisfies the following condition. (1) If $x, y \in L_{p}(\mu)$ and $x L_{L} y$ then $F(x+y)=F(x)+F(y)$ (2) If $p=\infty$ then $F$ is uniformly continuous relative to $L_{\infty}$ norm on each bounded subset $M \subset L_{\infty}(\mu)$ and if $p<\omega$ then $F$ has the same property provided $M$ is supported by a set of finite measure. (3) $F$ is continuous on the Banach space $L_{p}(\mu)$ if $p<\infty$ and is continuous with respect to bounded $a \cdot e$ convergence if $p=\omega \therefore F$ is said to be an $A_{J}$-function if $F$ satisfies the conditions (2) and (3) stated above in addition to ( $1^{\prime}$ ) if $x, y \in L_{p}(\mu)$ and $x \perp_{J} y$ then $F(x+y)=F(x)+F(y) . \quad$ Since $x \perp_{L} y \Rightarrow x \perp_{J} y$ every $A_{J}$-function is an $A_{L}$-function.

We recall the following theorem stated in [2] in a form suitable for our purpose.
Theorem 1. Let $F$ be a real valued function on $L_{p}(\mu)(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$. Then $F$ is an $A_{L}$-function if and only if there exists a $C_{p}$-function $\varphi: R \times X \rightarrow R$ such that for all $x \in L_{p}(\mu)$

$$
F(x)=\int_{X} \varphi \circ x d \mu
$$

where $\varphi(0, t)=0$ for $t$ a.e in $X$.

The main purpose of the paper is to characterise the class of all $A_{J}$-functions on $L_{p}(\mu)$. Before proceeding to the characterization we need a useful lemma from functional equations. In the rest of the paper if $M \subset X$, the characterstic function of $M$ is denoted by $I_{M}$.

Lemma 1. Let $\varphi$ be a continuous function on $R \rightarrow R$ satisfying the functional equation
$\varphi(p+q)+\varphi(p-q)=\varphi(q)+\varphi(-q)+2 \varphi(p), \varphi(0)=0$,
then there exists real constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that
$\varphi(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{t}^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{t}$ for all $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{R}$.
Proof. From equations (1) and (2) it is seen that
$\varphi(2 \mathrm{t})=3 \varphi(\mathrm{t})+\varphi(-\mathrm{t})$ for all $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{R}$. Hence if $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{t})=\varphi(\mathrm{t})+\varphi(-\mathrm{t})$ then $G(2 t)=2^{2} G(t)$. Assuming inductively that $G(m t)=m^{2} G(t)$ for all natural numbers $m \leq n$ and substituting $p=n t, q=t \quad$ in (1) it is verified that $G((m+1) t)=(m+1)^{2} G(t)$. Thus $G\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)=\frac{m^{2}}{n^{2}} G(1)$ for all rationals $\frac{m}{n}$. Since $G$ is continuous it follows that $G(t)=t^{2} G(1)$ for all $t \in R$. Let $\psi$ be the function $\psi(t)=\varphi(t)-\frac{1}{2} c t^{2}$ where $G(1)=C$. Then $\psi$ is a continuous function and $\psi$ satisfies the functional equation $\psi(r+s)+\psi(r-s)=2 \psi(r), \psi(o)=0$. Hence $\psi(t)=a t$ for all $t \in R$ where $a$ is a constant. Hence $\varphi(t)=a t+\frac{1}{2} c t^{2} \quad$ completing the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 2. Let $F$ be a function on $L_{p}(\mu) \rightarrow R$.
(a) If $p \neq 2$ then $F$ is an $A_{J}$-function if and only if there exists a real valued measurable function $\beta$ on $X$ such that if
$x \in L_{p}(\mu)$ then the function $\beta(t)$ is in $L_{1}(\mu)$ and $F(x)=\int_{X} \beta(t) x(t) d \mu(t)$.
(b) If $p=2$ then $F$ is an $A_{J}$-function on $L_{p}(\mu)$ if and only if there is a real number $c$ and a function $\beta$ as in (a) such that for all $x \in L_{2}(\mu)$

$$
F(x)=\int\left(c x^{2}(t)+\beta(t) x(t) d \mu(t)\right.
$$

Proof. Since the functions $\varphi_{i}: R \times X \rightarrow R, i=1,2$ defined by $\varphi_{1}(r, t)=\beta(t) r$ and $\varphi_{2}(r, t)=c r^{2}+\beta(t) r$ are verified to be $C_{p}$ and $C_{2}$ functions respectively it follows from Theorem 1 that the function $F$ defined by the equations in (a) and (b) is an $A_{L}$-function on the corresponding space $L_{p}(\mu)$. If $p \neq 2 \mathrm{~F}$ is linear on $L_{p}(\mu)$ and certainly it is an $A_{J}$-function by noting that in a Hilbert space $x+_{J} y$ if and only if the inner product ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) $=0$. Conversely let $F$ be an $A_{J}$-function on the space $L_{p}(\mu)$. Since an $A_{J}$-function is also an $A_{L}$-function it follows from Theorem 1 that there exists a $C_{p}$-function $\varphi$ such that for all $x \in L_{p}(\mu)$

$$
F(x)=\int_{X} \varphi(x(t), t d \mu(t)
$$

Since $\mu(X)>0$ and $\mu$ is nonatomic there exists a measurable set $M, 0<\mu(M)<\infty$, a pair of disjoint measurable subsets $M_{1}, M_{2}$ of $M$ such that $\mu\left(M_{i}\right)>0, i=1,3$ and $M_{1} \cup M_{2}=M$. Let $r, s$ be any two real numbers and $x, y$ be the functions $x=r I_{M_{1}}-I_{M_{2}}$ and $y=s I_{M}$. It is verified that $x, y \in L_{p}(\mu)$ and $x \perp_{J} y$. Hence $F(x+y)$ $=F(x)+D(y)$. Thus from the integral representationmof $F$ it is verified that $\left.\int_{X} \varphi(s, t) d \mu(t)+\int_{M_{1}} \varphi(r, t) d \mu(t)+\int_{M_{2}} \varphi(-r, t) d \varphi \neq t\right)$ $=\int_{M} \varphi(r+s, t) d \mu(t)+\int_{M} \varphi(s-r . t) d \mu(t)$

Similarly considering the functions $r I_{M_{1}}$ and $s I_{M}$ we obtain an equation same as the preceeding one except that $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are to be interchanged. From these equations it is verified that $\int_{M} 2 \varphi(s, t) d \mu(t)+\int \varphi(r, t) d \mu(t)+\int \varphi(-r, t) d \mu(t)$ $=\int_{M}[\varphi(r+s, t)+\varphi(s-r, t)] d \mu(t)$.
Since this equation is true for every measurable set $M, \mu(M)<\infty$, and $\mu$ is nonatomic it follows from the uniqueness assertion in Radon - Nikodym theorem that for a given pair of real numbers $r, s$ and for $t$ a $e$ that
(*) $\varphi(r+s, t)+\varphi(s-r, t)=\varphi(r, t)+\varphi(-r, t)+2 \varphi(s, t)$.
Let now $Q$ be the set of rationals. Since $Q \times Q$ is a countable set it is verified that there exists a $\mu$ - null set $N$ such that for all $t \notin N$ the equation (*) continues to be true for all $(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s}) \in \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$. Since $\varphi(\cdot, \mathrm{t})$ is a continuous function for t a•e the equation (*) is verified for all (r,s) $\in R \times R$ and for $t$ outside a nullset. Since $\varphi(o, t)=0$ a•e it follows from Lemma 1 that there exist functions $\alpha, \beta$ on $X \rightarrow R$ such that for $t$ a•e•

$$
\varphi(r, t)=\alpha(t) r^{2}+\beta(t) r
$$

Since $\varphi$ is a $C$-function $\varphi(1, \cdot)$ and $\varphi(-1, \cdot)$ are measurable functions. Thus $\alpha, \beta$ could be assumed to be measurable functions.

We proceed to show that $\alpha$ is a constant $a \operatorname{and}$ further if $p \neq 2$ then $\alpha=0$

We assert (A) if $s$ is any real number then either $\mu\{t \mid \alpha(t)>s\}=0$ or $\mu\{t \mid \alpha(t)<s\}=0$ and (B) if for some real number $c, \mu\left(\alpha^{-1}(c)\right)>0$ then $\alpha(t)=c$ for $t$ a.e. i.e. $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}(c)\right)=\mu(X)$.

If the assertion in (A) is false there exist measurable sets $M_{1}, M_{2}, \quad o<\mu\left(M_{1}\right)=\mu\left(M_{2}\right)<\infty$ such that $M_{1} \cap M_{2}=\phi$ and $\alpha(t)>s$ on $M_{1}$ and $\alpha(t)<s$ on $M_{2}$. Let $x_{1}=I_{M_{1}}-I_{M_{2}}$ and $y_{1}=I_{M}$ where $M=M_{1} \cup M_{2}$. It follows that $\mathrm{x}_{1} \perp_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{y}_{1}$. Hence $\boldsymbol{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)=F\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)+\boldsymbol{F}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)$. Now appealing to the integral representation of $F$ and noting $\varphi(r, t)=\alpha(t) r^{2}+\beta(t) r$ it is verified that $\int_{M_{1}}(\alpha(t)-s) d \mu(t)-\int_{M_{2}}(\alpha(t)-s) d \mu(t)=0$ since $\mu\left(M_{1}\right)=\mu\left(M_{2}\right)$. However this equation contradicts the fact that $\mu\left(M_{i}\right)>0$ for $i=1,2$ and $\alpha(t)-s>0$ on $M_{1}$ and $\alpha(t)-s<0$ on $M_{2}$. This completes the proof of (A). We proceed to verify (B). Let $c$ be as in the hypothesis of (B). If $\alpha(t)=c$ for $t$ a $e$ is not true then either $\mu\{t \mid \alpha(t)>c\}$ or $\alpha\{t \mid \alpha(t)<c\}$ is positive. Let for definiteness $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] c, \infty[)>0$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] c+1 / n, \infty[)$ $=\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] c, \infty[)$ there is an integer $n_{1}$ such that $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] c+1 / n_{1}, \infty[)>0$. Thus if $c<s<c+1 / n_{1}$ then $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right]-\infty, s\left[\right.$ and $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] s, \infty$ [ are both positive contradicting (A). Thus (B) is verified.

Next we proceed to show (C) that there is a real number $c$ such that $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}(c)\right)>0$. If this is not true then (*) for every real number $r, \mu\left(\alpha^{-1}(r)\right)=0$. Thus from (A) it is verified that either $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] o, \infty[)=\mu(X)$ or $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right]-\infty, o[)=\mu(X)$. Let us assume the first alternative is true. Then recalling (*)
it is verified that $0<\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] o, \infty[)=\Sigma \mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] n, n+1[)$.
$\mathrm{n} \geq 0$
Thus from (A) and (*) it is verified that there
exists an interva1 ]m,m $+1[$ such that
$\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] m, m+1[)=\mu(X)$. Once again from (*) it follows that if $F_{1}=[m, m+1]$ then $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(F_{1}\right)\right)=\mu(X)$. Noting that $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] m, m+1\left[=\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] m, m+1 / 2[)+\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\right] m+1 / 2, m+1[)\right.$ and repeating the above argument it is verified that there is a closed interval $F_{2} \subset F_{1}$, length $F_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ such that $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(F_{2}\right) 刀_{\mu} \mu(X)\right.$. (hepeating this procedure there is a decreasing sequence of closed.intervals ${ }^{\prime}\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ such that length $F_{i} \rightarrow 0$ and $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(F_{i}\right)\right)=\mu(X)$. Thus if $c$ is is the real number $\{c\}=\cap F_{i}$ then $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}(c)\right)=\mu(X)$ contradicting (*). Hence (C) is verified.

From (B) and (C) it follows that $\alpha$ is a constant function. This completes the proof of the theorem for $p=2$.

We complete the proof of the theorem by showing that if $p=2, \alpha(t)=0$ a.e.

If $1 \leq p<2$ since $\varphi 0 x \in L_{p}(\mu)$ whenever $x \in L_{p}(\mu)$ as noted earlier restricting our attention to a measurable set $M, 0<\mu(M)<\infty$, we find that there is a function $a \in L_{p}(\mu)$ and a positive number $b$ such that

$$
\alpha(t) r^{2}+\left.\beta(t) r|\leq a(t)+b| r\right|^{p} \text { a.e. in } M
$$

From this inequality since $p<2$ it follows that
$\alpha(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{o}$ a.e. in M. Thus $\alpha(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{o}$ a.e.

Next we proceed to the case $p>2$ but $p \neq \infty$.
Let $\alpha(t)=c$ a.e. Then from the implication
$x \perp_{J} y \Rightarrow F(x)+F(y)=F(x+y)$ it is verified that
(s) $x \perp_{J} y \Rightarrow c \int_{X} x(t) y(t) d \mu(t)=0$.

Let $c \neq 0$. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be two disjoint measurable subsets of $X$ such that $0<\mu\left(M_{1}\right)=\mu\left(M_{2}\right)<\infty$. Consider the functions $x=2^{\frac{1}{p-1}} I_{M_{1}}+8^{\frac{1}{p-1}} I_{M_{2}}$ and $y=4 I_{M_{1}}-I_{M_{2}}$. Then it is verified that $x \perp_{J} y$. Thus from ( $s$ ) since $c \neq 0$ it follows that $\int_{X} x(t) y(t) d \mu(t)=0$. By direct evaluation of the integral it is verified that the integral is not equal to o, obtaining a contradiction. Hence $\alpha(t)=o a \cdot e \cdot$

The case when $\ddot{\mathrm{p}}=\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is similarly dealt except instead of $x, y$ of the preceeding case we consider the functions defined as follows. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ be three pair wise disjoint measurable sets such that $0<\mu\left(M_{1}\right)=\mu\left(M_{2}\right)=\mu\left(M_{3}\right)<\infty$. Let $x=I_{M}$ and $y=-I_{M_{2}}$ where $M=M_{1} \cup M_{2} \cup M_{3}$. It is verified that $x, y \in L_{\omega}(\mu)$ and $x \perp_{J} y$. However $\int_{X} x(t) y(t) d \mu(t) \neq 0$. Thus as in the preceeding case $\alpha(t)=0 a \cdot e$.

Remark: We note that since $F$ is a continuous function on $L_{p}(\mu)$ that the function $x \rightarrow \int \beta(t) x(t) d \mu$ is a continuous linear functional. Thus if $1 \leq p<\infty \quad$ then $\beta \in L_{q}(\mu)$ where $q=\frac{p}{p-1}$ if $p>1$ and $q=\infty \quad$ if $p=1$.

Thus we note that every $A_{J}$-function on $L_{2}(\mu)$ is of the form $F(x)=c\|x\|^{2}+f(x)$ where $c$ is some real number and $f$ is a continuous linear functional on $L_{2}(\mu)$.

In conclusion we note that if $B$ is a Banach space and if there is a function $F: B \rightarrow R$ such that
(1) $x \perp_{J} y \Rightarrow F(x+y)=F(x)+F(y)$ and (2) $F(x)=c\|x\|^{2}+f(x)$ for some real number $c \neq 0$ and for some $f \in B^{*}$ (the dual of $B$ ) and $\operatorname{dim} B \geq 3$ then $B$ is a Hilbert space. For if there is such a function $F$ then it is verified that

$$
x \perp_{J} y \Rightarrow\|x+y\|^{2}=\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}
$$

Thus if $x \perp_{J} y$ then since $\lambda x \perp_{J} y$ for all $\lambda \in R \quad$ it follows that $\|y+\lambda x\|^{2}=\lambda^{2}\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2} \geq\|y\|^{2}$. Thus $y \perp_{J} x$. Hence orthogonality ( $J$ ) is symmetric. Thus from the footnote on p. 283 in [6] it follows that $B$ is a Hilbert space. This observatiin provides an alternate proof of the part asserting $\alpha(t)=o$ a.c. if $p \neq 2$ in the proof of Theorem 2 in this paper.

As already noted in the introduction there are other concepts of orthogonality in normed linear spaces, [5]. However the one considered in this paper is the most interesting since it is closely related to hyperplanes and linear functionals as one notices by referring to [6].
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## Errata

| Page | Line | Read | Instead |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9 | Orlicz | Orlizc |
| 5 | 1 | $\beta(t) x(t)$ | $\beta(t)$ |
| 5 | 24 | $\int_{M}$ | $\int_{X}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $r I_{M_{2}}-r I_{M_{1}}$ | $r I_{M_{1}}$ |

