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## 1. Introduction.

We consider one-dimensional motions $X(x, t)=x+u(x, t)$ of a continuum for which the stress $\sigma$ is related to the strain $u_{x}$ and the strain rate $u_{x t}$ through the consitutive equation,

$$
\sigma(x, t)=\int_{0}^{u} x(x, t) \quad E(\xi) d \xi+\lambda u_{x t}(x, t)
$$

The equation of motion is,

$$
E\left(u_{\mathbf{x}}\right) u_{\mathbf{x x}}+\lambda u_{x t \mathbf{x}}=\rho u_{t t} .
$$

The function $E$ is the equilibrium Young's modulus, $\lambda$ the viscosity and $\rho$ the (constant) density of points $x$ in the reference configuration.

In [1] and [2] the authors discussed various properties of solutions of the initial-boundary value problem:
(E)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (E) } \quad E\left(u_{x}\right) u_{x x}+\lambda u_{x t x}=\rho u_{t t},(x, t) \in(0,1) x(0, \infty), \\
& \text { (I) } u(x, 0)=\varphi(x) \text { and } u_{t}(x, 0)=\psi(x) \quad x \in[0,1], \\
& \text { (B) } \\
& u(0, t)=u(1, t)=0 \quad t>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, it was shown that (E) - (B) has a unique smooth solution which decays to zero as $t$ tends to $+\infty$, uniformly in $x . *$ What was not obtained was an estimate for the rate of decay. It is this topic which is pursued here.

In [1] and [2] we used energy integrals together with estimates for solutions of the linear heat equation. Here we
*It was also shown that all derivatives through second order tend to zero uniformly in $x$.
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replace the usual energy integrals by ones which are exponentially weighted, an idea which was used by R. J. Duffin [3] for ordinary differential equations. We then make use of the fact that the Green's function for the linear operator,

$$
L=E(0) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\lambda \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial t \partial x^{2}}-\rho \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}},
$$

with (I) and (B), decays exponentially. Our conclusion is that any solution of the nonlinear problem decays exponentially.

## 2. Statement of Results.

We assume that the function $E:(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is twice continuously differentiable. The data $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are in $C^{2}[0,1]$ and satisfy the compatibility conditions,

$$
\varphi(0)=\varphi(1)=\psi(0)=\psi(1)=0
$$

In addition, $E\left(\varphi_{x}\right) \varphi_{x x}+\lambda \psi_{x x}$ is to vanish at $x=0$ and 1 .


For any function $U \in C^{N}([0,1] x[0, T])$ we set
(2.2) $\|U\|_{N}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{i} \max _{x \in[0,1]}\left|\frac{\partial^{i} U}{\partial x^{i-k} \partial t^{k}}\right|$.

It was shown in [1] that problem (E)-(B) has a unique solution such that $\|u\|_{2}(t)$ tends to zero as $t$ tends to infinity. In addition,

$$
\|u\|_{2}(t) \leq M_{1}(J)
$$

where $M_{1}$ is a smooth function such that $M_{1}(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}$. We shall see that solutions of the linear equation $L v=0$
with (I) and (B) satisfy the relation,

$$
v=o\left(e^{-\mu t}\right)
$$

where,
(2.3)

$$
\mu=\min _{n \geq 1} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\lambda n^{2} \pi^{2}}{2 \rho}\left(1-\sqrt{\left.1-\frac{4 E(0) \rho}{\lambda^{2} n^{2}}\right)}\right]\right.
$$

Note that $\mu$ will always be less than or equal to $E(0) \wedge$ and will equal $E(0) / \lambda$ whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 E(0) \rho<\lambda^{2} \pi^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to state our new result, we need some additional
notation. For functions $f(x)$ or $f(x, t)$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f|(|f|(t))=\max _{x \in[0,1]}|f(x)|\left(\max _{x \in[0,1]}|f(x, t)|\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|^{2}\left(\left\|_{f}\right\|^{2}(t)\right)=\int_{0}^{1} f^{2}(x) d x\left(\int_{0}^{1} f^{2}(x, t) d x\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $\mu$ be as in (2.3). Then there is a constant $k$, depending on $J$ and satisfying $0<k<\mu$, and a smooth function $\xi \rightarrow M_{2}(\xi)$, which tends to zero as $\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}$, such that any solution u satisfies:
(2.7) $\quad\|u\|_{1}(t)+\left|u_{x x}\right|(t)+\left\|u_{x t}\right\|(t) \leq M_{2}(J) e^{-k t}$.

The constant $k$ approaches $\mu$ as $J$ approaches zero. In addition $u$ satisfies,
(2.8) $\quad \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau}\left\{\left\|_{u_{t t}}\right\|^{2}(\tau)+\left\|u_{x t x}\right\|^{2}(\tau)\right\} d \tau \leq M_{2}(J)$.
3. Energy Integrals.

Before starting our proof, we record a lemma to which we shall appeal throughout the remainder of this paper.

Lemma 1. Let $v(x, t)$ be $c^{2}$ in $x$ and $t$ and satisfy $v(0, t)=$ $v(1, t)=0$ for $t \geq 0$. Then,
(3.1) $\quad\|v\|(t) \leq|v|(t) \leq\left\|v_{x}\right\|(t) \leq\left|v_{x}\right|(t) \leq\left\|v_{x X}\right\|(t) \leq\left|v_{x x}\right|(t)$,
where $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are defined in (2.5) and (2.6) respectively.
Our first step is to obtain two weighted energy integrals replacing formulas (4.2) and (4.4) of [1].

Lemma 2. Let $u$ be a solution of problem (E), (I), (B) and let $k$ be any positive number. Then the following identities must hold for all $t \geq 0$.
(3.2) $e^{2 k t}\left[\rho\left\|_{u_{t}}\right\|^{2}(t)+2 \int_{0}^{1} e\left(u_{x}\right)(x, t) d x\right]+2 \lambda \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau}\left\|_{u_{x t}}\right\|^{2}(\tau) d \tau$

$$
=k \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau}\left[\rho\left\|_{u_{t}}\right\|^{2}(\tau)+2 \int_{0}^{1} \varepsilon\left(u_{x}\right)(x, \tau) d x\right] d \tau+A_{1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (3.3) } \quad A_{1} \equiv \rho\|\psi\|^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{1} \varepsilon\left(\varphi_{x}\right)(x) d x \text {, and } \varepsilon(\eta) \equiv \int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{0}^{\xi} E(\gamma) d \gamma d \xi \text {; } \\
& \text { (3.4) } \lambda e^{2 k t}\left\|u_{x x}\right\|^{2}(t)+2 \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau} \int_{0}^{1}\left(E\left(u_{x}\right)-k \lambda\right) u_{x x}^{2}(x, \tau) d x d \tau \\
& =2 \rho e^{2 k t} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x x} u_{t}(x, t) d x-4 \rho k \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau} \int_{0}^{1} u_{x x} u_{t}(x, \tau) d x d \tau \\
& +2 \rho \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau}\left\|u_{x t}\right\|^{2}(\tau) d \tau+A_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2} \equiv \lambda\left\|\varphi_{x x}\right\|^{2}-2 \rho \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{\mathrm{xx}} \psi \mathrm{dx} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.2) and (3.4) are obtained by multiplying (E) by $e^{2 k t} u_{t}$ and $e^{2 k t} u_{x x}$ respectively, integrating over $(0,1) x(0, t)$ and using the fact that the boundary conditions imply that $u_{t}(0, t)=u_{t}(1, t)=0 . \quad$ (Compare [1]).

We use one result from [1]. This is that any solution satisfies the inequality,

$$
\left|u_{x}\right|(t) \leq M_{1}(J)
$$

This implies that there exist positive constants $E$ and $\bar{E}$ such that,
(3.6)

$$
\underline{E} \leq \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) \leq \overline{\mathrm{E}} .
$$

It follows from (3.6) and the definition of $\varepsilon$ that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.E\left\|u_{x}\right\|^{2}(t) \leq 2 \int_{0}^{1} e_{\left(u_{x}\right.}\right)(x, t) d x \leq \bar{E}\left\|_{u_{x}}\right\|^{2}(t) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A key quantity in our calculations is the weighted norm $\Gamma(t)$ defined by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(t)^{2}=e^{2 k t}\left[\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}(t)+\left\|u_{x}\right\|^{2}(t)\right], k \geq 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe first that (3.7), when substituted into (3.2), yields the two inequalities,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(t)^{2} \leq B_{1}\left[A_{3}+k \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{2}(\tau) d \tau\right], \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.10) $\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau}\left\|_{u_{x t}}\right\|^{2}(\tau) d \tau \leq B_{1}\left[A_{3}+k \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{2}(\tau) d \tau\right]$,
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}=\frac{\max (1, \rho, \bar{E})}{\min (2 \lambda, \rho, \underline{E})}, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\quad A_{3}=\max \left(A_{1},\left|A_{2}\right|\right)$.
Our next step is to obtain relations between the quantity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\mathrm{t})$, defined by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(t)=e^{k t}\left\|u_{x x}\right\|(t), \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Gamma(t)$. The results are as follows. For any $k<E / \lambda$ we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(t) \leq B_{2}\left[\left(A_{3}+k \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}+k\left(\int_{0}^{t} \Omega^{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \Omega^{2}(\tau) d \tau \leq \frac{\bar{B}_{2}}{(E-k \lambda)^{2}}\left[\mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{k} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \Gamma^{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right] \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{2}$ and $\bar{B}_{2}$ are constants depending only on $E, \bar{E}, \lambda$, and $\rho$.
In the next section, we derive bounds for the integral of
$\Gamma \quad$ in terms of the integral of $\Omega$. These bounds when combined with (3.13) and (3.14) will enable us to show that, for some positive $\mathrm{k}<\mu$, both $\Gamma$ and $\Omega$ are bounded.

Consider (3.13) first. Equations (3.4), (3.6) and (3.11), together with Schwarz's inequality, yield the preliminary estimate,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega^{2}(t)+(\underline{E}-k \lambda) \int_{0}^{t} \Omega^{2}(\tau) d \tau  \tag{3.15}\\
\leq B_{3}\left[e^{2 k t}\left\|u_{t}\right\|(t)\left\|u_{x x}\right\|(t)+k\left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}\right. \\
\cdot \\
\left.\left(\int_{0}^{t} \Omega^{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau}\left\|u_{x t}\right\|^{2}(\tau) d \tau+A_{3}\right],
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{3}=\frac{\max (1,4 \rho)}{\min (2, \lambda)} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now restrict $k$ to be less than $E / \lambda$ and make use of (3.9), (3.10) and (3.1) with $v=u_{t}$, we see that, (3.17) $\quad \Omega^{2}(t) \leq B_{4}\left[N_{1}(t) \Omega(t)+N_{1}^{2}(t)+k N_{1}(t)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \Omega^{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$.

Here

$$
B_{4}=\max \left(B_{3}, B_{1} B_{3}\right)
$$

and $N_{1}(t)$ is defined by,

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{l}}^{2}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{k} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \Gamma^{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

Equation (3.13) now follows easily from (3.12) with

$$
B_{2}=B_{4}+\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{B_{4}}
$$

To obtain (3.14) we insert the results of (3.13) into (3.15), and make use of (3.9), (3.10) and (3.1) with $v=u_{t}$, to obtain the inequality,
(3.18) $\quad(E-k \lambda) \int_{0}^{t} \Omega^{2}(\tau) d \tau \leq B_{5} N_{1}(t) k\left(\int_{0}^{t} \Omega^{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}$

$$
+B_{5} N_{1}(t)^{2}
$$

where

$$
B_{5}=\max \left(B_{4}+1, B_{2} B_{4}+1\right)
$$

This yields the inequality (3.14) with some $\bar{B}_{2}$, depending only on $E, \bar{E}, \rho$ and $\lambda$.
4. Additional Estimates and Completion of the Proof of Theorem 1.

In order to proceed, we shall need estimates for the linear inhomogeneous, initial-boundary value problem:
(E) $L E(0) u_{x x}+\lambda u_{x t x}-\rho u_{t t}=f,(x, t) \in(0,1) x(0, \infty)$,
(I) $u(x, 0)=\varphi(x)$ and $u_{t}(x, 0)=\psi(x), x \in[0,1]$,
(B) $u(0, t)=u(1, t)=0, t>0$.

The observation that (E) may be rewritten as (E) $L$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \equiv\left(E(0)-E\left(u_{x}\right)\right) u_{x x}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the estimates for the linear problem, and inequality (3.14) will ultimately provide, for some $k, 0<k<\mu$, the key inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{2}(\tau) d \tau \leq \bar{M}\left(A_{3}\right), t \geq 0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mu, \Gamma^{2}(\tau)$, and $A_{3}$ are defined in (2.3), (3.8), and (3.11)
respectively, and $\xi \rightarrow \bar{M}(\xi)$ is a smooth function which tends to zero as $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ tends to zero.

It is easily verified that the solution of the linear problem ${ }^{(E)} L_{L}-(B)$ is given by:
(4.2) $u(x, t)=\int_{O_{G}}^{1}(1)(x, \xi, t) \varphi(\xi) d \xi+\int_{O_{G}}^{t}(2)(x, \xi, t) \psi(\xi) d \xi$ $+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{l}{ }_{\mathrm{G}}{ }^{(2)}(\mathrm{x}, \xi, \mathrm{t}-\tau) f(\xi, \tau) \mathrm{d} \xi \mathrm{d} \tau$
where
(4.3)
$G^{(1)}(x, \xi, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e^{\mu_{n}^{+} t}+e^{\mu_{n}^{-t}}\right) \sin n \pi x \sin n \pi \xi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{(2)}(x, \xi, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{e^{\mu_{n}^{+} t}-e^{\mu_{n}^{-} t}}{\mu_{n}^{+}-\mu_{n}^{-}}\right) \sin n \pi x \sin n \pi \xi \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.5) $\mu_{n}^{+}=\frac{-\lambda n^{2} \pi^{2}}{2 \rho}\left[1+\sqrt{1-\frac{4 E(0) \rho}{\lambda^{2} n^{2} \pi^{2}}}\right]$, and
(4.6) $\mu_{n}^{-}=\frac{-\lambda n^{2} \pi^{2}}{2 \rho}\left[1-\sqrt{1-\frac{4 E(O) \rho}{\lambda^{2} n^{2} \pi^{2}}}\right]$.

The formulas (4.3) and (4.4) are valid provided $\frac{4 \mathrm{E}(0) \mathrm{O}}{\lambda^{2} \mathrm{n}^{2} \pi^{2}} \neq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. If this condition fails for some $n$, say $N$, then the Nth terms in $G^{(1)}$ and $G^{(2)}$ are replaced by $2 e^{-\frac{\lambda N^{2} \pi^{2} t}{2}} \sin N \pi x \sin N \pi \xi$ and $2 t e^{-\frac{\lambda N^{2} \pi^{2} t}{2}} \sin N \pi x \sin N \pi \xi$ respectively. The resulting analysis of the problem is then appropriately modified and no difficulties arise. In light of this statement, we shall assume throughout that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 \mathrm{E}(0) \rho}{\lambda^{2} \mathrm{n}^{2} \pi^{2}} \neq 1, \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 1 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main result for the linear problem is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. There exists a constant $D$, depending on $E(0)$, $\rho$ and $\lambda$, and independent of $k$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{2}(\tau) d \tau \leq \frac{D}{\mu-k} \Gamma^{2}(0)+\frac{D}{(\mu-k)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k T}\|f\|^{2}(\tau) d \tau, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0 \leq k<\mu$. Again,

$$
\Gamma^{2}(t) \equiv e^{2 k t}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}(t)+\left\|u_{x}\right\|^{2}(t)\right)
$$

and

$$
0<\mu \equiv \min _{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda n^{2} \pi^{2}}{2 \rho}\left[1-\sqrt{1-\frac{4 E(0) \rho}{\lambda^{2} n^{2} \pi^{2}}}\right] \leq E(0) / \lambda
$$

Proof: We shall prove the theorem for the $u_{x}$ term; the calculation for $u_{t}$ is similar. We first observe that $u_{x}$ may be written as the sum of three terms $F_{1}, F_{2}$, and $F_{3}$; i.e.

$$
u_{x}(x, t)=F_{1}(x, t)+F_{2}(x, t)+F_{3}(x, t)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{x}^{(1)}(x, \xi, t) \varphi(\xi) d \xi, \\
& F_{2}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{x}^{(2)}(x, \xi, t) \psi(\xi) d \xi, \text { and } \\
& F_{3}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} G_{x}^{2}(x, \xi, t-\tau) f(\xi, \tau) d \xi d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\|F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{3}\right\|^{2}(t) \leq 2\left(\left\|F_{1}\right\|^{2}(t)+\left\|F_{2}\right\|^{2}(t)+\left\|F_{3}\right\|^{2}(t)\right)$, it suffices to look at each term separately. For $F_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F_{1}\right\|^{2}(t) & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e^{\mu_{n}^{+} t}+e^{\mu_{n}^{-} t}\right) n \pi \cos n \pi x \varphi_{n}\right\}^{2} d x \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e^{\mu_{n}^{+} t}+e^{\mu_{n}^{-t}}\right)}{2} n^{2} \pi^{2} \varphi_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \int_{0}^{1} \sin \mathrm{n} \pi \xi \varphi(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

is the nth Fourier coefficient of $\varphi$. The inequality

$$
\left|e^{\mu_{n}^{+} t}+e^{\mu_{n}^{-} t}\right| \leq 2 e^{-\mu t}
$$

and the identity

$$
\left\|\varphi_{x}\right\|^{2}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2} \pi^{2} \varphi_{n}^{2}
$$

then yield the estimate

$$
\left\|F_{1}\right\|^{2}(t) \leq e^{-2 \mu t}\left\|\varphi_{x}\right\|^{2}
$$

It now follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau}\left\|_{F_{1}}\right\|^{2}(\tau) d \tau \leq \frac{\left\|^{\varphi} \cdot x\right\|^{2}}{2(\mu-k)}, 0 \leq k<\mu .
$$

The estimate for $F_{2}$ is similar. The result is

$$
\left\|\mathrm{F}_{2}\right\|^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \leq 2 \mathrm{C} e^{-2 \mu \mathrm{t}}\|\psi\|^{2}
$$

and
$\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 \mathrm{k} \tau^{\prime}}\left\|_{F_{2}}\right\|^{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \leq \frac{c\|\psi\|^{2}}{2(\mu-\mathrm{k})}, \quad 0 \leq k<\mu$,
$c \equiv \max _{n \geq 1} \frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{\left|\mu_{n}^{+}-\mu_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}}=\max _{n \geq 1} \frac{\rho}{\lambda^{2} n^{2} \pi^{2}\left|1-\frac{4 E(0) \rho}{\lambda^{2} n^{2} \pi^{2}}\right|}$.
The constant $C$ is finite because of the assumption (4.7). The $F_{3}$ term requires slightly more care. For any $\tau>0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F_{3}\right\|^{2}(\tau) & =\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{e^{\mu_{n}^{+}(\tau-\eta)}-e^{\mu_{n}^{-}(\tau-\eta)}}{\left(\mu_{n}^{+}-\mu_{n}^{-}\right)} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta\right) n \pi \cos n \pi x\right\}^{2} d x \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{e^{\mu_{n}^{+}(\tau-\eta)}-e^{\mu_{n}^{-}(\tau-\eta)}}{\mu_{n}^{+}-\mu_{n}^{-}} f_{n}(\eta) d \eta\right\}^{2} \frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{2} \\
& \leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau} e^{-\mu(\tau-\eta)}\left|f_{n}(\eta)\right| d \eta\right\}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is as above and

$$
f_{n}(\eta) \equiv \int_{0}^{1} \sin n \pi \xi f(\xi, \eta) d \xi .
$$

Multiplying the last inequality by $e^{2 k \tau}$ and integrating the result over $(0, t)$ we obtain,
$\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau}\left\|_{F_{3}}\right\|^{2}(\tau) d \tau$

$$
\leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 \int_{0}^{t} j_{0}^{\tau} j_{0}^{\tau} e^{2 k \tau} e^{-\mu\left(\tau-\eta_{1}\right)} e^{-\mu\left(\tau-\eta_{2}\right)} f_{n}\left(\eta_{1}\right) f_{n}\left(\eta_{2}\right) d \eta_{1} d \eta_{2} d \tau
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} e^{2 k \tau} e^{-\mu\left(\tau-\eta_{1}\right)} e^{-\mu\left(\tau-\eta_{2}\right)}\left|f_{n}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right|\left|f_{n}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right| d \eta_{1} d \eta_{2} d \tau \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(\mu-k) \tau_{1}} e^{-(\mu-k) \tau_{2}} \int_{\max \left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)}^{t} e^{k\left(\eta-\tau_{1}\right)} e^{k\left(\eta-\tau_{2}\right)}\left|f_{n}\left(\eta-\tau_{1}\right)\right| f_{n}\left(\eta-\tau_{2}\right) \mid d \eta d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} \\
\leq & {\left[\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(k-\mu) \tau_{1}} e^{-(k-\mu) \tau_{2}} d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2}\right] \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \eta}\left|f_{n}(\eta)\right|^{2} d \eta } \\
\leq & \frac{1}{(\mu-k)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \eta}\left|f_{n}(\eta)\right|^{2} d \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

and since
we obtain the inequality:

$$
\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau}\left\|F_{3}\right\|^{2}(\tau) \leq \frac{c}{(\mu-k)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \eta_{\| f} \|^{2}(\eta) d \eta . \quad \text { q.e.d. }}
$$

We now complete the proof of Theorem l. We take $f$ as in
(4.1) and make use of (4.8) to obtain:
(4.9) $\quad \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{2}(\tau) d \tau \leq \frac{D}{\mu-k} \Gamma^{2}(0)+\frac{D(\bar{E}-E(0))}{(\mu-k)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \Omega^{2}(\tau) d \tau, 0 \leq k<\mu$,
where again

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma^{2}(\tau) \equiv e^{2 \mathrm{k} \tau}\left(\left\|\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{t}}\right\|^{2}(\tau)+\left\|\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\|^{2}(\tau)\right) \\
& \Omega^{2}(\tau)=\mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{k} \tau}\left\|_{\mathrm{ux}}\right\|^{2}(\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\bar{E}$ is the upper bound for $E(\cdot)$. If we substitute the above result into (3.14) and observe that $\Gamma^{2}(0) \leq A_{3}$ (see (3.11)), we obtain the inequality:

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \Omega^{2}(\tau) d \tau \leq\left[\frac{D}{\mu-k}+\frac{D(\bar{E}-E(0)) \bar{B}^{2}}{(\mu-k)^{2}(\underline{E}-k \lambda)}\right] A_{3}
$$

(4.10)

$$
+\frac{D(\bar{E}-E(0)) \bar{B}_{2} A_{3}}{(\mu-k)^{2}(\underline{E}-k \lambda)} k \int_{0}^{t} \Omega^{2}(\tau) d \tau
$$

for all $0 \leq k<\min (\mu, E / \lambda)$. Inequality (4.2) now
follows for any $0<k<\min (\mu, E / \lambda)$ such that
(4.11)

$$
\frac{D(\bar{E}-E(0)) \bar{B}_{2} A_{3}}{(\mu-k)^{2}(E-k \lambda)} k<1
$$

That $k$ may be chosen arbitrarily close to $\mu$ as $A_{3} \rightarrow 0$ is clear from the form of (4.11).

The remainder of Theorem 1 now follows from the arguments employed in [ 1 ] and [ 2 ], from equations (3.2) and (3.4), and from the new identities:
(4.12) $\quad 2 \rho \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau}\left\|u_{t t}\right\|^{2}(\tau) d \tau+\lambda e^{2 k t}\left\|u_{x t}\right\|^{2}(t)$
$=2 \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 k \tau} \int_{0}^{1} E\left(u_{x}\right) u_{x x} u_{t t}(x, \tau) d x d \tau$

$$
+2 \mathrm{k} \lambda \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{k} \tau}\left\|\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{xt}}\right\|^{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau+\lambda\left\|\psi_{\mathrm{x}}\right\|^{2} \text {, and }
$$

(4.13)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{x x}(x, t)=\frac{\rho}{\lambda} u_{t}-e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{E\left(u_{x}(x, \eta)\right) d \eta}{\lambda}} \\
& {\left[\int_{0}^{t} \rho u_{\tau}(x, \tau) \frac{E\left(u_{x}(x, \tau)\right)}{\lambda^{2}} e^{\int_{0}^{\tau E\left(u_{x}(x, \eta)\right) d \eta}} \lambda\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{E\left(u_{x}(x, \eta)\right) d \eta}{\lambda}}\left[\varphi_{x x}(x)-\frac{\rho}{\lambda} \psi(x)\right]
$$

Equation (4.12) is obtained by multiplying (E) by $u_{t t}$, integrating the result over $(0,1) x(0, t)$ and making use of the fact that the boundary conditions ( $B$ ) imply that $u_{t t}(0, t)=u_{t t}(1, t)=0$. To obtain (4.13) we regard (E) as an ordinary differential equation for $u_{x x}$ and solve the initial value problem (E) together with the initial condition $u_{x X}(x, 0)=\varphi_{x X}(x)$.
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