## MORPHISM CATEGORIES AND UNIVERSAL OBJECTS

4

1

4

•

Oswald Wyler

Report 68-36

November, 1968

University Libraries Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh PA 15213-3890

l

We obtain a representation theorem for morphism categories with enough universal or couniversal objects which generalizes the well-known triple description of an adjoint functor situation by adjunction, front adjunction, back adjunction.

General formal properties of solutions of universal problems can easily be formulated in our theoiy, but we shall not do this here. We wish to point out that action of two categories on a class is closely related to a profunctor in the sense of <u>Bénabou fl</u>]? but <sup>w</sup><sup>©</sup> shall not pursue this theme.

2. Action of categories on classes. We adopt in this note the usual convention of writing all compositions from right to left, but nearly everything else from left to right. We write ff JK and  $A^{+}|]C|$  if f is a morphism and A an object of a category K. id A and Id K., or just A and K., denote op /

an identity morphism and an identity functor, and IC denotes the dual (or oppo-site) category of K .

**Definition 2.1.** We say that <sup>a ca</sup>tegory jC acts on a class  $2 \sim \underline{\text{from the}}$ left if a composition  $f \cdot \text{or}_{f}$  with values in  $JT_{f}^{\mu}$  is defined for some pairs (f,cr) in  $\underline{KXJL_{g}}$  and satisfies the following conditions.

2.1.1. If f \* cr is defined for f : A - > B in <u>K</u> and  $\langle sr \langle ejLt$  then (id A) \* $\langle T$  is defined, and (id A) \* or s or.

2.1.2. If g f and f \* cr are defined, for f, g in | and  $\langle =r \in H_r$ then (g f)»cT and g • (f • cr) are defined, and equal.

Dually, we say that <u>K Acts</u> on JT" <u>from the right</u> if a composition er-• f<sub>f</sub> with values in 21, is defined for some pairs ( $<5_{rf}$ ) in fxK and satisfies

2

the dual laws of 2.1.1 and 2.1.2.

Definition 2.2. We say that a pair  $(\underline{K}, \underline{K})$  of categories <u>acts</u> on a class f if  $\underline{K}_0$  acts on 21 from the ri^it,  $\underline{K}_1$  acts on 21 from the left, and the two actions are <u>compatible</u> i.e. the following condition is satisfied.

2.2.1. If  $CT^* f_{\mathbf{0}}$  and  $f_1 \bullet cr$  are defined for  $f_{\mathbf{i}} \leftarrow K_{\mathbf{i}}$  and  $(3-\langle S2T \rangle,$ then f.  $\langle (cr-*f) \rangle$  and  $(f.*or) \bullet f$  are defined, and equal.

Remarks 2.3. Prom now on, we usually omit the dots in compositions  $f_1 \circ cr_1$ and  $\langle T^* f_0 \rangle$ . We note the following consequence of 2.1.2 without proof,

2.3.1. iet. K, action X from the left, and let f : A -> B in K sn& cn^X, II (id k)cr is defined, then tar is defined. ;[f f<?~ is defined= then (id B)(fCT") is defined.

If  $(\underline{K}_0, \underline{K}_1)$  acts on 21 » then we say that  $cr : \underline{A} - A_1$  in 2T, or that  $\sigma \in \sum (\underline{A}_0, \underline{A}_1)$ , for  $cr^X$  and  $\underline{A} \cap \underline{K}_1$ , if  $cr(id \underline{A}_0)$  and  $(id k^c r)$  are defined. If  $f : \underline{A}_1^* - B_1$  in  $\underline{K}_1^*$  and  $csr^r \underline{T}$ , then we have:

2.3.2. erf<sub>0</sub> and  $f_1 cr$  are both defined if and only if  $cr : B_0 - \$ > A_1$ in 5\*t and then  $f_1 - i^f_0 \cdot A_0 - > B_1$  in  $\land$ .

We note that 2.1.2 and 2.2.1 are weaker than the associative law for a category. But these laws are all that we need, and there are useful examples for which the stronger laws are not valid. All examples will be found in section 4.

<u>3^ Morphism</u> 9ft<u>eqofies</u>» If two categories K\_, ]C, , two functors U, :  $J_{I_1} - * J_{f_1} f fi^cL an action of (K_{N_1}, K_1) on a class X are given, then we con$  $struct a category M, « <math>\tilde{j}J_0$  »2I»<sup>U</sup>iI[ °f commutative squares as follows. Objects of  $\underline{M}$  are triples  $(A_0, \sigma, A_1)$  with  $A_i \in |\underline{K}_i|$  and  $\sigma: U_0 A_0 \longrightarrow U_1 A_1$  in  $\Sigma$ . Morphisms of  $\underline{M}$  are "commutative squares"



with  $\sigma, \tau$  in  $\Sigma$ ,  $f_i \in \underline{K}_i$ , and with  $(U_1 f_1)\sigma$  and  $\tau(U_0 f_0)$  defined and equal. We write such a square as  $(\sigma, f_0, f_1, \tau)$ , or inaccurately as  $(f_0, f_1)$ :  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ . Identity morphisms and composition in  $\underline{M}$  are given by the formulas

$$id (A_0, \sigma, A_1) = (\sigma, id A_0, id A_1, \sigma) ,$$
$$(\tau, g_0, g_1, \rho)(\sigma, f_0, f_1, \tau) = (\sigma, g_0 f_0, g_1 f_1, \rho) ,$$

provided of course that  $g_i f_i$  is defined in  $\underline{K}_i$ . One verifies easily that  $\underline{M}_i$  is closed under composition, and a category.

<u>Definition 3.1.</u> We call the category  $\underline{M} = \begin{bmatrix} U_0, \sum, U_1 \end{bmatrix}$  just constructed a <u>morphism category</u>.

Remark 3.2. We put  $\underline{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{K}_0, \sum, \underline{K}_1 \end{bmatrix}$  if in particular  $U_i = \operatorname{Id} K_i$  and acts on  $(\underline{K}_0, \underline{K}_1)$ . The general case can be retrieved from this special case as follows. Given  $U_i : \underline{K}_i \longrightarrow \underline{K}_i^*$  and an action of  $(\underline{K}_0, \underline{K}_1)$  on  $\sum$ , we put

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{o} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} (\mathbf{U}_{o} \mathbf{f}_{o}) , \quad \mathbf{f}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\mathbf{U}_{1} \mathbf{f}_{1}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}, ,$$

for  $\sigma \in \sum$  and  $f_i \in \underline{K}_i$ , whenever the righthand sides are defined. This defines an action of  $(\underline{K}_0, \underline{K}_1)$  on  $\sum$ , and the resulting category  $[\underline{K}_0, \sum, \underline{K}_1]$  is the same as  $[U_0, \sum, U_1]$ .

4. Examples. Proofs are omitted in this section.

<u>4.1.</u> If <u>K</u> is a category, then  $(\underline{K},\underline{K})$  acts on <u>K</u> by composition in <u>K</u>. The resulting category  $[\underline{K},\underline{K},\underline{K}]$  is usually denoted by  $\underline{K}^2$  or Mor <u>K</u>. More generally, a functor  $F: \underline{C} \longrightarrow \underline{K}$  produces categories  $[\underline{F},\underline{K},\underline{K}]$  and  $[\underline{K},\underline{K},F]$ .

4.2. Every pair  $(\underline{K}_0, \underline{K}_1)$  of categories acts trivially on a singleton  $\{0\}$ , by 0 f<sub>0</sub> = f<sub>1</sub> 0 = 0 for all f<sub>1</sub>  $\in \underline{K}_1$ . The resulting category  $[\underline{K}_0, \{0\}, \underline{K}_1]$  is isomorphic to the product category  $\underline{K}_0 \times \underline{K}_1$ .

4.3. The category  $\underline{1}$  consisting of one identity morphism 1 acts trivially on every class  $\Sigma$ , by  $1 \sigma = \sigma$  or  $\sigma 1 = \sigma$  for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . Action of  $\underline{1}$ on  $\Sigma$  from the left is compatible with every action of a category  $\underline{K}$  on  $\Sigma$ from the right (and vice versa), and the morphism category  $[\underline{1}, \Sigma, \underline{K}]$  is isomorphic to the category constructed in [2; ch. 2].

<u>4.4.</u> If <u>K</u> acts on  $\sum$  from the left, then <u>K</u><sup>op</sup> acts on  $\sum$  from the right (and vice-versa), by putting  $\sigma \cdot f = f \sigma$  whenever  $f \sigma$  is defined. Similarly, an action of  $(\underline{K}_0, \underline{K}_1)$  on  $\sum$  induces an action of  $(\underline{K}_1^{op}, \underline{K}_0^{op})$  on  $\sum$ . Functors  $U_i : \underline{K}_i \longrightarrow \underline{K}_i^{i}$  induce functors  $U_i^{op}$  of the dual categories, and the resulting category  $[U_1^{op}, \sum U_0^{op}]$  is isomorphic to the category  $[U_0, \sum U_1^{op}]$ .

<u>4.5</u>. If <u>K</u> is a category, then putting  $f \cdot A = B$  and  $B \cdot f = A$  for  $f : A \longrightarrow B$  in <u>K</u> defines actions of <u>K</u> on <u>|K|</u> from the left and from the right. These two actions are not compatible, i.e. 2.2.1 is not satisfied, if <u>K</u> has a morphism  $f : A \longrightarrow B$  with  $A \neq B$ .

5

<u>4.6.</u> Two non-trivial examples. If R is a commutative ring with identity, <u>K</u> the category of R-modules, and  $\Sigma$  the class of bilinear mappings  $\sigma : A \times B$   $\longrightarrow$  C of R-modules, then (<u>K × K</u>, <u>K</u>) acts on  $\Sigma$ . Universal objects of the category [<u>K × K</u>,  $\Sigma$ , <u>K</u>] are tensor products of pairs of R-modules.

If  $\underline{C}$  and  $\underline{K}$  are categories, then  $\underline{E}_A \varphi = \operatorname{id} A$  for  $A \in |\underline{K}|$  and  $\varphi \in \underline{C}$ , and  $\underline{E}_f \varphi = f$  for  $f : A \longrightarrow B$  in  $\underline{K}$  and  $\Theta \in |\underline{C}|$ , defines constant functors  $\underline{E}_A : \underline{C} \longrightarrow \underline{K}$  and natural transformations  $\underline{E}_f : \underline{E}_A \longrightarrow \underline{E}_B$ , and hence a functor  $E : \underline{K} \longrightarrow \underline{K}^{\underline{C}}$ . If  $\underline{C}$  is small, then universal objects of the morphism category  $[\underline{K}^{\underline{C}}, \underline{K}^{\underline{C}}, \underline{E}]$  are colimits of functors  $F : \underline{C} \longrightarrow \underline{K}$ , and couniversal objects of the morphism category  $[\underline{E}, \underline{K}^{\underline{C}}, \underline{K}^{\underline{C}}]$  are limits of functors  $F : \underline{C} \longrightarrow \underline{K}$ .

<u>4.7</u>. Let  $F : \underline{K}_{0}^{op} \times \underline{K}_{1} \longrightarrow \underline{Ens}$  be a functor to the category of sets, and let  $\Sigma$  be a class containing disjoint copies of all sets  $F(A_{0}, A_{1})$ ,  $A_{i} \in (\underline{K}_{i})$ . For  $f_{i} : A_{i} \longrightarrow B_{i}$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  in  $F(B_{0}, A_{1})$ , we put

$$\sigma f_{0} = F(f_{0}, A_{1})(\sigma)$$
,  $f_{1}\sigma = F(B_{0}, f_{1})(\sigma)$ 

This defines an action of  $(\underline{K}_0, \underline{K}_1)$  on  $\sum$ .

Conversely, let us call an action of  $(\underline{K}_{0}, \underline{K}_{1})$  on a class  $\sum \underline{\text{legitimate}}$  if the classes  $\sum (\underline{A}_{0}, \underline{A}_{1})$ ,  $\underline{A}_{i} \in (\underline{K}_{i})$ , are mutually disjoint sets. A legitimate action induces a functor  $F : \underline{K}_{0}^{\text{op}} \times \underline{K}_{1} \longrightarrow \underline{\text{Ens}}$  by  $F(f_{0}, f_{1})(\sigma) = f_{1} \sigma f_{0}$ , for  $f_{i} : \underline{A}_{i} \longrightarrow \underline{B}_{i}$  in  $\underline{K}_{i}$  and  $\sigma$  in  $\sum (\underline{B}_{0}, \underline{A}_{1}) = F(\underline{B}_{0}, \underline{A}_{1})$ . The action of  $(\underline{K}_{0}, \underline{K}_{1})$  on  $\sum$  induced from F may be a restriction of the given action, but it leads to the same morphism category  $[\underline{K}_{0}, \sum, \underline{K}_{1}]$ .

5. Natural transformations. We consider a natural transformation  $\lambda$  :

 $\mathbf{F} \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}$  in a functor category  $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbb{Q}}$  as a mapping from  $\pounds$  to JG, by putting  $|f \ll (\mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{f})(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{j} = (|\mathbf{B})(\mathbf{P} \ \mathbf{f})$  for  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}$  in  $\pounds$ . Then  $(/JL|)(g \ \mathbf{f})$ »  $(\mathbf{y}^{a}\mathbf{g})(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{f})$  if /U-^ is defined in  $\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{F}}$  and  $\mathbf{g} \ \mathbf{f}$  in  $\pounds$ .

If <u>K</u>, acts on a class X"  $^{rom}$  the left, then <u>K</u> acts from the left on *C C* 

the class 21"" of all mappings from f to 21 as follows. For  $\langle pf_m 2Z^m \rangle$  and - / A : P -> G in K-, we define A^> only if (Pf)(GDA) is defined, and equal to  $\langle pt$ , for every morphism f : A -> B of f. In this case, we put  $(\lambda \varphi)(f) = (\lambda B)(\varphi f) = (\lambda f)(\varphi A)$ 

for f :  $A \rightarrow B$  in f . 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 are easily verified for this action.

An action from the right is lifted in similar fashion, and if  $(\underline{K}_{0}f\underline{K}_{1})$  acts on X<sub>9</sub> then  $(\underline{K}_{-}\otimes^{C}\underline{K}_{-1})$  acts on  $^{"} C$ . Thus a morphism category  $JU_{t}^{C}2T, U^{J}$ leads to a morphism category  $U_{0}^{"}, X^{"}U_{1}^{"}$ , with natural transformations as objects, by the following definition.

 $\underbrace{??.Ci2:iit?S^{5}_{1}}_{- \overset{-\overset{-}{\smile}}{-}} \text{ Let } (\underline{K}_{0}, \underline{K}_{1}) \text{ act on } X \text{ $\$$ let } P_{\cdot_{1}} : \underline{f} \rightarrow \cdot \underline{K}_{1} \text{ be functors,}$ and let  $d^{\ast} < ir JL \sim \cdot We \text{ call } < p \text{ a natural transformation from } P^{\circ}_{C} \text{ to } P^{1}, \text{ and}$ write  $< f > : P \longrightarrow P$ , if a > P and  $P'q > are defined in X'' \sim , i.e. if <math>(\varphi B)(F_{0}, f) \ll \wedge > f \gg (P_{1}, f)(\varphi A)$ 

in 21 f<sup>or</sup> every morphism f : A  $\bullet -> B$  in f  $\bullet$ 

For the case that  $(\underline{IC},\underline{IC})$  acts on  $\underline{K}$  by composition in  $\underline{IC}$ , this is equivalent to the usual definition of a natural transformation.

Let now functors U, : K. -> K! and a morphism category  $M = \begin{bmatrix} U & Y & y \\ V & y & y \end{bmatrix}$ oe given. One sees easily that we define functors  $D_1 : J4 - K_1$  and a natural transformation 9 : U D  $\rightarrow$  tL D<sub>n</sub> by putting o o ^ 1 1

$$\langle q \rangle = f_t \rangle = 3q + (U_x f^cr + TT(U_q f_q))$$

for every moiphism q a (^r,f  $_{\Lambda}f _{1}$ ~C ) of M\_ •

If (f): C, ->M, is a functor and B*Cp* the composition mapping, then  $\partial \phi: U_0 \to U_1, V_1(b)$  in  $T^- \cdot$  Conversely, we have

Theorem 5\*2« Let Jl » &o'^f^, J as above For functors  $P : C_->K_i$ and a natural transformation  $cp : U = ->U_1F_1$ 'in  $fj^-C$ , there is exactly one functor  $, such that <math>F = D_0$  (J>,  $F = U_1 (b, and ) = \partial \phi$ .

This characterizes  $\underline{M}$  by a universal property.

Proof. The requirements for Cp can be satisfied only if we put

$$\phi$$
f = ( $\phi$ A,  $F_0$ f,  $F_1$ f,  $^>B$ )

for f : A -> B in C. Conversely, one sees easily that this defines a functor  $CD^{2} 2 C$ , ->M[ which meets the requirements.

<u>RemarkJ>>2</u>» Let  $\#f = fU_0^{p}$ ,  $X^{p} > D_1^{p}J$ . Theorem 5.2 defines a bisection between the objects  $(F_0, C^{A}tF^{A} \text{ of } T^{A}Z \text{ and the objects of } f^{C} \cdot We use this to$  $identify a functor Cjf) : C. ->M, with the corresponding object <math>(D_0, C>0, D_1\phi)$ of ^9?T. The bisection of 5.2 can be extended to a canonical isomorphism of the categories  $\hat{H}fZ$  and  $M_{-1}^{C}$  but we omit this step.

<u>6. Universal objects and functors</u>. We consider again two functors U. :  $\underline{K_1} - - > \underline{K_1}$  and a morphism category  $\underline{M} = \underbrace{J_{MJ}}_{O}, 2\mathbb{Z} \times \overset{U}{i_J} \bullet$  Definition 6.1. An object  $(A_0, CT, A_1)$  of 14 is called a <u>universal object</u> of <u>M</u> at  $A_0$  if for every object  $(B_0, T, B_1)$  of <u>M</u> and every morphism  $f_0$ :  $A \rightarrow B$  of K there is exactly one morphism  $f_0$ :  $A \rightarrow B$  of K there is exactly one morphism  $f_0$ : that  $(cr_f f_r f_r T) f M$ , i.e. (lL f.)<r « TT (U f ) in X. We say that M  $0 = 1 \qquad 1 \qquad 1 \qquad 0 \qquad 0$ has enough universal objects if M, has a universal object at every  $A_0 f_0 K_0$ .

Dually, we call (A ,o"tA.) a couniversal object of M if (A^,cr,A ) is  

$$\circ$$
 1  $\circ$  1  $\circ$   
 $r^{-1} \circ \rho^{0p7} \circ \rho^{0p7}$ 

a universal object of  $^{\circ}U$  ,  $2^{\circ}U$  j<sup>^</sup>, and we say that J4 has enough couniversal objects if jU. ,J",U. 1 has enough universal objects<sup>\*</sup>

Proposition 6»2. If  $(A_0, cr_f A_1)$  is a universal object of M, , then an object  $(A_0, 5"_f A_1)$  of M is universal at A if and only if is is universal at A if and only if is universal at A is a set of the set of the

We omit the standard proof of this result.

We call a functor P with these properties a <u>universal functor</u> for  $\underline{K}$  •

<u>Proof</u>. The "if<sup>11</sup> part is trivial. Conversely, assume that a universal object (A, yA, PA) of M is given at every A 6 | K I. For f : A - ^ B 0 0 0 0 - 0 '- $o^1$  0 0 0 in **K**, we put yf (v/B)(U f) in JT, and the equation

$$\mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{o}^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{o}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{o}) - \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{o} - \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{l}^{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{o}^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{o}^{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{o})$$

in 21 determines Pf : P A 5> P B in K. uniquely. One verifies easily  $\circ$   $\circ$   $\circ$  ""Ithat this defines a functor P :  $\underline{K} < \underline{\ } \leq \underline{K} < \underline{\ } \leq \underline{K}$  and a natural transformation y :  $U - x_{u} p$  and hence by 5.2 a functor P = (K, V, P) : K -> M with the required properties.

Our next result, which will be needed in section 8, shows that the functor P of the proof of 6.3 is not of some special kind.

Proposition 6.4. Let  $P : K - K_1$  be a functor. The morphism category. <u>ML</u> m [P, K, K] admits a universal functor  $F_P \ll (\text{id } j^{\circ}, \text{ id } P, P) : K - VL$ . <u>Dually> the morphism category</u>  $W^P = F_1(-1, J_1^{\circ}, P_1)$  admits a couniversal functor  $P^P = (P, \text{ id } P, \text{ Id } K) : K - Wf$ .

7. Properties of universal functors. We use the same notations as before.

Theorem 7.1. A functor P = (id K, y, P) : K - > M is universal if and  $P = C C^{*}$ only if (T, yT, PT) is a universal ob.ject of  $(U^{-}, 21 \sim U^{-})$ . for every category C and every functor T : C - K.

<u>Proof.</u> For the "if<sup>H</sup> part, we *need* only the case  $C_{\underline{}} = \underline{1}_{\underline{}}$ , identifying functors  $T : 1 \longrightarrow K$  with objects of K and  $fu_{\underline{}f}^{\underline{}} 5Z_{\underline{}}^{\underline{}}, U_{\underline{}n}^{\underline{}}7$  with M.

For the ?tonly if<sup>11</sup> part, let V be a universal functor, let  $T : C \longrightarrow K$ be given, let  $7K \sim fu \sim 21 \sim U - 1$ , and let  $A : T \longrightarrow F$  in  $K - and -A = C \sim C \qquad 0$   $U_Q F_Q - ?V_1 F_{\pm}$  in  $Z \sim W$  with  $?_{\pm} \stackrel{?}{=} \overline{C} = C - 2 \sim C$ . Then  $(2 \circ A_1) : \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ in  $\mathcal{J}Jt$  for  $A_1 : PT \rightarrow P^1$  in  $\overline{K}^1$  - iff

$$(\varphi B)(U_{o}\lambda_{o}f) = (\varphi \cdot U_{o}\lambda_{o})f = (U_{1}\lambda_{1} \cdot \gamma T)f = (U_{1}\lambda_{1}f)(\gamma T A)$$

in  $\sum$  for every morphism  $f : A \longrightarrow B$  of  $\underline{C}$ . Since  $\Gamma T A$  is a universal object of  $\underline{M}$ , this determines  $\lambda_1 f : P T A \longrightarrow F_1 B$  in  $\underline{K}_1$  uniquely, and a moderate amount of diagram chasing shows that the morphisms  $\lambda_1 f$  of  $\underline{K}_1$  define a natural transformation  $\lambda_1 : P T \longrightarrow F_1$ , with  $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1) : \mathcal{F} T \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  for this and no other  $\lambda_1 : P T \longrightarrow F_1$  in  $\underline{K}_1^{\underline{C}}$ .

<u>Corollary 7.2.</u> <u>M</u> has enough universal objects if and only if every morphism <u>category</u>  $\left[ U_{o}^{\underline{C}}, \sum_{l}^{\underline{C}}, U_{l}^{\underline{C}} \right]$  has enough universal objects.

We consider now a second morphism category  $\underline{N} = \begin{bmatrix} V_0, \overline{\Sigma}, V_1 \end{bmatrix}$ , obtained from functors  $V_i : \underline{L}_i \longrightarrow \underline{L}_i'$  and an action of  $(\underline{L}_0', \underline{L}_1')$  on  $\overline{\Sigma}$ , with functors  $\overline{D}_i$ :  $\underline{N} \longrightarrow \underline{L}_i$  and a natural transformation  $\overline{\partial} : V_0 \ \overline{D}_0 \longrightarrow V_1 \ \overline{D}_1$ . We call a functor  $\Theta: \underline{M} \longrightarrow \underline{N}$  a morphism functor if  $\overline{D}_i \Theta = T_i \ D_i$  for functors  $T_i : \underline{K}_i \longrightarrow \underline{L}_i$ . If this is the case, then we put  $\Theta = [T_0, \vartheta, T_1]$  for  $\vartheta = \overline{\partial} \Theta: \underline{M} \longrightarrow \overline{\Sigma}$ . This mapping  $\vartheta$  behaves like a natural transformation in two variables. If we write  $\vartheta \sigma$  instead of  $\vartheta(A_0, \sigma, A_1)$  for an object  $(A_0, \sigma, A_1)$  of  $\underline{M}$ , then

$$\Theta(\sigma, f_0, f_1, \tau) = (\vartheta \sigma, \tau_0, f_0, \tau_1, f_1, \vartheta \tau)$$

in <u>N</u> for a morphism  $(\sigma, f_0, f_1, \tau)$  of <u>M</u> and  $\Theta = [T_0, \vartheta, T_1]$ .

Theorem 7.3. Let  $\Gamma = (\operatorname{Id} K_0, \gamma, P) : K_0 \longrightarrow M$  be a universal functor, and let  $\Phi = (F_0, \varphi, F_1 P) : K_0 \longrightarrow N$  for functors  $F_1 : K_1 \longrightarrow L_1$  and a natural transformation  $\varphi : V_0 F_0 \longrightarrow V_1 F_1 P$  in  $\Sigma^{K_0} \cdot \text{Then } \Phi = \Theta \Gamma$  for a unique morphism functor  $\Theta = [F_0, \Theta, F_1] : M \longrightarrow N$ . <u>Proof.</u>  $\bigoplus$  is determined if we know  $\oint \sigma$  for every object  $(A_0, \sigma, A_1)$ of <u>M</u>, with  $\oint_{X} A_0 = \rho A_0$ . Since  $\bigwedge A_0$  is a universal object of <u>M</u>, we have  $(id A_0, h_0) : A_0 \longrightarrow \sigma$  in <u>M</u> for a unique morphism  $h_{\sigma} : P A_0 \longrightarrow A_1$ of <u>K</u>, and then we must have

$$\Theta(\mathcal{Y}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{h}_{0}, \mathcal{\sigma}) = (\mathcal{P}_{0}, \mathcal{F}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{0}, \mathcal{P}_{0})$$

in <u>N</u>. Thus we must put  $\Im \sigma = (V_1 F_1 h_0)(\varphi A_0)$  in  $\overline{\Sigma}$ .

We have constructed  $\bigcirc$ , but does  $\bigcirc$  map <u>M</u> into <u>N</u>? In order to show that it does, let  $(f_0, f_1) : \frown \rightarrow \overleftarrow{}$  in <u>M</u>, with  $f_1 : A_1 \rightarrow B_1$  in <u>K</u>. We consider the two cubes (7.4) in which the unmarked arrows are identity mor-



phisms. At left, all faces are commutative squares, except possibly the front face. But  $\bigvee A_0$  acts like an epimorphism, and thus the front face at left commutes in  $\underline{K}_1$ , by simple diagram chasing. Now the bottom face at right commutes since all other faces do. Thus  $\Theta$  maps  $\underline{M}$  into  $\underline{N}$ , and now one sees immediately that  $\Theta$  is a functor.

8. Applications. We obtain a representation theorem for morphism categories with enough universal morphisms, and we use this theorem and its dual for some comments on adjoint functors. We use all previously established notations, including those of 6.4. We call a morphism functor  $\begin{bmatrix} T_0, \vartheta, T_1 \end{bmatrix}$  strict if the functors  $T_i$  are identity functors. The composition of two morphism functors clearly is a morphism functor, and the composition of two strict morphism functors is strict. Id  $\underline{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{K}_0, \partial, \underline{K}_1 \end{bmatrix}$  is a strict morphism functor.

Theorem 8.1. A functor  $\Gamma = (\operatorname{Id} K_0, \gamma, P) : K_0 \longrightarrow M$  is a universal functor for M if and only if  $\Gamma = \bigcirc \Gamma_P$  for a strict morphism functor  $\varTheta : M_P \longrightarrow M$ which is an isomorphism of the two categories.

<u>Proof.</u> If a strict morphism functor  $\bigcirc : \underline{M_p} \longrightarrow \underline{M}$  is an isomorphism of the two categories, then  $\bigcirc$  clearly preserves universal objects, and thus  $\bigcirc \ \Gamma_p$  is a universal functor for  $\underline{M}$ . Conversely, if  $\Gamma = (\operatorname{Id} \underline{K}_0, \underline{\gamma}, P) : \underline{K}_0 \longrightarrow \underline{M}$  is a universal functor, then  $\Gamma = \bigcirc \Gamma_p$  and  $\Gamma_p = \mathcal{H} \Gamma$  for unique strict morphism functors  $\bigcirc : \underline{M_p} \longrightarrow \underline{M}$  and  $\mathcal{H} : \underline{M} \longrightarrow \underline{M_p}$ , by 7.2 and 6.4, and then  $\bigcirc$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  are inverse isomorphisms by a standard argument (see 6.2).

Consider now functors  $T: \underline{K}_1 \longrightarrow \underline{K}_0$  and  $S: \underline{K}_0 \longrightarrow \underline{K}_1$ . These define morphism categories  $\underline{M}_S = \left[S, \underline{K}_1, \underline{K}_1\right]$  and  $\underline{M}^T = \left[\underline{K}_0, \underline{K}_0, T\right]$ , as well as a universal functor  $\Gamma_S: \underline{K}_0 \longrightarrow \underline{M}_S$  and a couniversal functor  $\Gamma^T: \underline{K}_1 \longrightarrow \underline{M}^T$ .

Proposition 8.2. <u>A functor</u>  $T : \underline{K}_1 \longrightarrow \underline{K}_0$  has a left adjoint functor  $S : \underline{K}_0 \longrightarrow \underline{K}_1$  if and only if  $\underline{M}^T = [\underline{K}_0, \underline{K}_0, T]$  has enough universal morphisms.

<u>Proof.</u> By 6.3,  $\underline{M}^{T}$  has enough universal morphisms iff there is a universal functor  $\Phi = (\operatorname{Id} \underline{K}_{0}, \varphi, S) : \underline{K}_{0} \longrightarrow \underline{M}^{T}$ , i.e. there is a functor  $S : \underline{K}_{0} \longrightarrow \underline{K}_{1}$  and a natural transformation  $\varphi : \operatorname{Id} \underline{K}_{0} \longrightarrow TS$  such that  $(A_{0}, \varphi A_{0}, S A_{0})$  is

HUNT LIBRARY CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIVERSITY a universal object of  $\underline{M}^{T}$  for every  $\underline{A}_{O} \in |\underline{K}_{O}|$ . But this means precisely that  $\varphi$  is a front adjunction for T.

Remark 8.3. We consider the adjoint situation of 8.2 further. We have seen that a front adjunction  $\varphi$  : Id  $\underline{K}_{0} \longrightarrow TS$  for T corresponds to a universal functor  $\varphi = (\operatorname{Id} \underline{K}_{0}, \varphi, S)$  for  $\underline{M}^{T}$ . By 8.1, this functor satisfies  $\varphi = \Theta \Gamma_{S}$ for a strict morphism functor  $\Theta : \underline{M}_{S} \longrightarrow \underline{M}^{T}$ . One sees easily that this functor  $\Theta$  corresponds to an adjunction in the usual sense. By the dual of 8.1,  $\Theta^{-1} \cap^{T}$ is a couniversal functor  $\Psi = (T, \psi, \operatorname{Id} \underline{K}_{1})$  for  $\underline{M}_{S}$ , and this means that  $\psi$ :  $S T \longrightarrow \operatorname{Id} \underline{K}_{1}$  is a back adjunction for S. Thus our theory furnishes a very simple connection between the three aspects of an adjoint situation.

References

- [1] J. Bénabou, Lectures at the University of Chicago, Summer 1967.
- [2] C. Ehresmann, Catégories et structures. Paris, 1965.
- [3] I. Fleischer, Sur le problème d'application universelle de M. Bourbaki.
   C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris <u>254</u>, 3161 3163 (1962).

CARNEGIE - MELLON UNIVERSITY