A NOTE ON THE LOCAL COEFFICIENT PROBLEM

Roger N. Pederson

Report 68-2

January,, 1968

## A NOTE ON THE LOCAL COEFFICIENT PROBLEM

Roger N. Pederson

The Biefrerbach conjecture asserts that if

$$f(z) = z + \frac{00}{2} a_n^{n} z$$

$$n=2$$

is schlicht in the unit disk,, then

Re 
$$a_n \leq n$$

with equality holding only for the Koebe function

$$K(z) = z + \int_{n=2}^{\infty} n z^{n}$$

or one of its rotations. The conjecture was proved for n = 2, 3, and 4 by Bieberbach [1], Loewner [2] and Garabedian and Schiffer -[3], respectively. In recent papers, it has been proved to be true if f is sufficiently close to K in one or more of the topologies defined by

(2) 
$$Re(2 - a_2)$$
,

(3) 
$$Re(3 - a_3)$$
.

Garabedian, Ross and Schiffer [4] proved the local result for Supported by NSF G.P.-7662.





even n in the topology (1). Garabedian and Schiffer [5] complemented this result by proving that for odd n it is true in the topology defined by (2). They also indicated how their method should be modified to obtain a similar conclusion for even n. Bombieri [6] gave independent proofs by showing that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n - \operatorname{Re}(a_n)}{-7 - r} > 0 \quad \text{if } n \quad \text{is even,}$$

$$a_2 \to 2 \quad -7 - r > 0$$

and

He also showed that

(4) 
$$\lim_{a_{2} \to 2} \inf \frac{3 - \text{Re}(a_{3})}{[2 - \text{Re}(a_{2})]^{3/2}} = \frac{\varrho}{3},$$

which implies that the topology defined by (2) is no stronger than the one defined by (3).

It is the purpose of this note to point out that the equivalence of the topologies considered above is a simple consequence of Loewner's formulas. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is proved by taking  ${}^{p}v(f) = fv$  in the theorem below and applying (6). Similarly, by choosing  ${}^{p}{}_{3}(f) = f$  and using (5) together with Bombieri<sup>T</sup>s inequality (4), the equivalence of (2) and (3) is established. The equivalence of (1) and (3) then follows from transitivity.

Theorem. Let  $P(f_2, \ldots, f_n)$  be a polynomial with real coefficients. If f(z) is normalized and schlicht in the unit disk, then there exist constants A and B, independent of f, such that

(5) 
$$|Re(P(a_2,...,a_n) - P(2,...,n))| \le A(2 - Re a_2)$$
 and

(6) 
$$|p(a_2,...,a_n) - P(2,...,n)| \le B(2 - Re a_2)^{1/2}$$
.

Proof. It is a consequence of Loewner's formulas that, for a set of f?s which are dense in the n-th coefficient region, P can be expressed as a finite sum of the form

$$P(a_{\mathbf{z}^{J_1}} \dots h^{a}) = \frac{7}{2} b_{\mathbf{K}} \int_{\mathbf{z}^{J_1}}^{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{k}^{(t_1)} \dots h^{t_1} \exp(i2LM \cdot \mathbf{p}(t_1)) dt_1 \dots dt_{\mathbf{n} \setminus \mathbf{k}}$$

Here  $b_{\mathbf{k}}$  is a real constant,  $f_{\mathbf{k}}$  is a real continuous function with support in the  $m_{\mathbf{k}}$  dimensional unit cube, /i is an integer and (p is real and continuous. Now since the Koebe function corresponds to <p = 0, we have

Hence, since  $b_{\kappa}$  and  $f_{\kappa}$  are real, we have

$$(7) \quad |\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{P}(a_2,\ldots,a_n) - \operatorname{P}(2,\ldots,n))| \\ < \operatorname{const} \sum_{k=1}^{K} C \\ - \operatorname{I}_{k} [1 - \operatorname{COSI2-A}_{k} \operatorname{M-$$

By applying the Schwarz inequality, once for sums and once for integrals, we obtain

(8) 
$$|p(a_{2},...,a_{n}) - P(2,...,n)|^{2}$$

$$\mathbf{r} \qquad \mathbf{C} \qquad \mathbf{k}$$

$$\leq \text{const. } X \setminus (f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [1 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [1 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [1 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [1 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [1 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [1 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [1 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [1 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [1 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [1 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [1 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{dt } J \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I \text{ M^CtJJdt, } f_{k}(t))^{2} \text{ [2 - } \cos(2I$$

We now note that

(9) 
$$1 - \cos(x + y) \leq 2(1 - \cos x) + 2(1 - \cos y).$$

This follows from

$$1 - \cos(x + y) = 1 - \cos x + \cos x(1 - \cos y) + \sin x \sin y$$
£'(1 - \cos x) + I \cos x| (1 - \cos y) + \frac{1}{2}(\sin^2 x + \sin^2 y).

We next iterate (9) to obtain

(10) 
$$1 - \cos(ZIjti_{\mathbf{j}} < P(t_{\mathbf{j}})) \leq \text{const. } 2Z (1 - \cos(p(t_{\mathbf{j}}))).$$

The proof is completed by substituting Go) into the right hand sides of the inequalities (7) and (8) and using the fact that

$$a_2 = 2 \int_0^1 e^{i\varphi(t)} dt;$$

hence

2 - Re 
$$a_2 = 2$$
 1 (1 - cos 

## Bibliography

 L. Bieberbach, Uber die Koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen, welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vermitteln,
 S. B. preuss. Akad. Wiss., 138 (1916)940-955.

- 2. K, Loewner, Untersuchungen über schlichte konforme Abbildungen des Einheitskreises. I., Math. Ann., 89 (1923) 103-121.
- Garabedian, P. R. and M, Schiffer, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture for the fourth coefficient, J. Ratl. Mech. Anal., 4 (1955) 427-465.
- 4. Garabedian, P. R., Ross, G. G. and M. Schiffer, On the Bieberbach conjecture for even n, J. Math. Mech. 14 (1965) 975-988.
- 5. Garabedian, P. R. and M. Schiffer, The local maximum theorem for the coefficients of univalent functions, Arch. Ratl.

  Mech. Anal, 26 (1967) 1-31.
- 6. E. Bombieri, On the local maximum of the Koebe function, Inventiones math., 4 (1967), 26-67.

Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania