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1. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}+y F\left(y^{2}, x\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $F(t, x)$ is defined for $t \in[0, \infty), \mathbf{x} \in(0, \infty)$ and has there the following properties: (a) $F(t, x) \geq 0$; (b) $F(t, x)$ is continuous in $x$ for fixed $t$; (c) in a neighborhood of every $x$ in ( $0, \infty$ ), $F(t, x)$ satisfies a uniform Lipschitz condition.

A solution of (1) is said to be nonoscillatory if, for $a>0$, the number of its zeros in (a, $\infty$ ) is finite. The equation itself is said to be nonoscillatory if all its solutions have this property. We note here that the conditions imposed on $F(t, x)$ are not quite sufficient to guarantee that any local solution of (1) can be extended to the entire interval ( $0, \infty$ ) [3,2], and it may therefore seem to be advisable to use a different definition of nonoscillation. However, this is not necessary. An elementary argument [2] shows that, under our assumptions on $F(t, x)$, a solution of (1) which cannot be continued to the right of a point b must necessarily have an infinite of zeros in a left neighborhood of $b$. A nonoscillatory solution can thus ipso facto be continued throughout the interval ( $0, \infty$ ).

[^0]Simple examples show that some solutions of an equation of type (1) may oscillate, while others do not [5,6]. Accordingly, one is led to the consideration of two different types of nonoscillation conditions: those which insure the existence of at least one nonoscillatory solution, and those which guarantee that the equation is non-oscillatory. While a number of conditions of the first type are known [1,4,5,6], the only criterion of the second type found in the literature seems to be the following condition: If, for $\alpha>0$,

$$
\int^{\infty} x F\left(\alpha x^{2}, x\right) d x<\infty
$$

and if, for fixed $t, F(t, x)$ is a nonincreasing function of $x$, then (1) is nonoscillatory [1,6]. However, this condition guarantees, in addition, that all solutions of (l) are either $\sim \mathrm{cx}$ or $\sim \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{c}$ constant) for large x , and it is clear that much less is required in order to make the equation merely nonoscillatory. The following statement describes a sufficient condition for nonoscillation which, in a sense to be specified, is the best of its kind.

Theorem I. Let $F(t, x)$ be defined for $t \in[0, \infty), x \in(0, \infty)$ and satisfy there the following conditions: (a) $F(t, x) \geq 0$;
(b) $F(t, x)$ is continuous in $x$ for fixed $t$; (c) in a neighborhood of every $x$ in $(0, \infty), F(t, x)$ satisfies a uniform Lipschitz condition; (d) for fixed $x, F(t, x)$ is a nondecreasing function of $t$. If $G(t, x)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} F(s, x) d s \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if, for some positive $\epsilon$ and all positive $\alpha, x G\left(\alpha x^{1+\epsilon}, x\right)$ is nonincreasing for $x \in(a, \infty)(a>0)$, then equation (1) is nonoscillatory. This condition is the best possible in the sense that the conclusion does not hold for $\epsilon=0$.

In the case of the special equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{2 n-1}=0, p(x)>0, n>1, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we shall obtain the following stronger result.

Theorem II. If $p(x)$ is continuous and $p(x)(x \log x)^{n+1}$ is nonincreasing, equation (3) is nonoscillatory.
2. If $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are two consecutive zeros of a solution $y$ of (1), an elementary manipulation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} y^{12} d x=\int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} y^{2} F\left(y^{2}, x\right) d x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, for $x \in\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$,

$$
y^{2}(x)=\left(\int_{a_{1}}^{x} y^{\prime} d x\right)^{2} \leq\left(x-a_{1}\right) \int_{a_{1}}^{x} y^{\prime 2} d x<x \int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} y^{\prime 2} d x
$$

it follows from (4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} x F\left(y^{2}, x\right) d x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $F(t, x)$ is (for fixed $x$ ) a nondecreasing function
of $t$. By (2), $G(t, x)$ is thus convex in $t$, and we have

$$
G(\alpha x, x) \geq G\left(y^{2}, x\right)+\left(\alpha x-y^{2}\right) F\left(y^{2}, x\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\alpha x F\left(y^{2}, x\right) \leq \alpha x F\left(y^{2}, x\right)+G\left(y^{2}, x\right) \leq y^{2} F\left(y^{2}, x\right)+G(\alpha x, x),
$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is an arbitrary positive number. Integrating this from $a_{1}$ to $a_{2}$, and using (5), are obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \leq \int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} y^{2} F\left(y^{2}, x\right) d x+\int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} G(\alpha x, x) d x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that our assumptions imply that $G(t, x)$ is nonincreasing in $x$ for fixed $t$ and that, as a result, the partial derivative $G_{x}(t, x)$ exists for almost all $x$. Indeed, since $x G\left(\alpha x^{1+\epsilon}, x\right)$ is nonincreasing, so is $G\left(\alpha x^{l+\epsilon}, x\right)$, and if $\alpha$ is chosen so that $\alpha x^{1+\epsilon}=t$ and $x_{1}>x$, we have

$$
G\left(t, x_{1}\right) \leq G\left(\alpha x_{1}^{1+\epsilon}, x_{1}\right) \leq G\left(\alpha x^{1+\epsilon}, x\right)=G(t, x)
$$

It is understood that the following identities and inequalities involving $G_{x}(t, x)$ are to be used only at points at which this derivative exists.

We now introduce the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(t, x)=G(t, x)+t F(t, x)+x G_{x}(t, x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we use the two easily verified identities

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left\{x \left[y^{\prime 2}\right.\right. & \left.\left.+G\left(y^{2}, x\right)\right]-y y^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =\left(2 x y^{\prime}-y\right)\left[y^{\prime}+y F\left(y^{2}, x\right)\right]+Q\left(y^{2}, x\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

(9) $\frac{d}{d x}\left\{x G\left(\alpha x^{1+\epsilon}, x\right)\right\}=Q\left(\alpha x^{1+\epsilon}, x\right)+\epsilon \alpha x^{1+\epsilon} F\left(\alpha x^{1+\epsilon}, x\right)$.

Since $x G\left(\alpha_{x}{ }^{1+\epsilon}, x\right)$ is assumed to be nonincreasing for $x>a$, it follows from (9) that

$$
Q\left(\alpha x^{1+\epsilon}, x\right)+\epsilon \alpha x^{1+\epsilon} F\left(\alpha x^{1+\epsilon}, x\right) \leq 0
$$

for all positive $\alpha$ and almost all $x>a$. If $y^{2}$ is a positive number, and we set $\alpha=y^{2} x^{-1-\epsilon}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(y^{2}, x\right)+\epsilon y^{2} F\left(y^{2}, x\right) \leq 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now apply the identity (8) to a solution $y$ of (1). Since the right-hand side of (8) reduces in this case to $Q\left(y^{2}, x\right)$, it follows from (8) and (10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left\{x\left[y^{\prime 2}+G\left(y^{2}, x\right)\right]-y y^{\prime}\right\}+\epsilon y^{2} F\left(y^{2}, x\right) \leq 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this inequality between two consecutive zeros $a_{1}$, $a_{2}$ of $y$, and observing that, by (2), $G(0, x)=0$, we have

$$
a_{2} y^{\prime 2}\left(a_{2}\right)-a_{1} y^{\prime 2}\left(a_{1}\right)+\epsilon \int_{a_{1}}^{a_{1}} y^{2} F\left(y^{2}, x\right) d x \leq 0
$$

Combining this with (6), we arrive at the inequality
(12) $\quad \alpha \epsilon+a_{2} y^{\prime 2}\left(a_{2}\right)-a_{1} y^{\prime 2}\left(a_{1}\right) \leq \epsilon \int_{a_{1}}^{a_{2}} G(\alpha x, x) d x$.

If $a, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ are consecutive zeros of $y$, addition of the corresponding inequalities (12) yields

$$
m \alpha \in \leq a y^{\prime 2}(a)-a_{m} y^{\prime 2}\left(a_{m}\right)+\epsilon \int_{a}^{a} G(\alpha x, x) d x
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq \frac{a}{\alpha \epsilon} y^{\prime 2}(a)+\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{a}^{a} G(\alpha x, x) d x \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain a bound for the integral, we observe that, because of the convexity of $G(t, x)$ (as a function of $t$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\beta x^{1+\epsilon}, x\right) \geq G(\alpha x, x)+\left(\beta x^{\epsilon}-\alpha\right) x F(\alpha x, x), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ may be any positive number. If we set $\beta=\alpha a^{-\epsilon}$, we will have $\beta x^{\epsilon}-\alpha \geq 0$. Since $F(t, x)$ is a nondecreasing function of $t$, it follows from (2) that $G(t, x) \leq t F(t, x)$. Hence,

$$
\left(\beta x^{\epsilon}-\alpha\right) x F(\alpha x, x) \geq\left(\beta x^{\epsilon}-\alpha\right) \alpha_{G}^{-1}(\alpha x, x)=\left[(x / a)^{\epsilon}-1\right] G(\alpha x, x)
$$

and (14) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}^{\epsilon_{G}}\left(\alpha_{a^{-\epsilon}} \mathrm{x}^{1+\epsilon}, \mathrm{x}\right) \geq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{G}}(\alpha \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption, $x G\left(\alpha a^{-\epsilon} x^{1+\epsilon}, x\right)$ is nonincreasing for $x>a$. Hence, $x G\left(\alpha_{a} a^{-\epsilon} x^{l+\epsilon}, x\right) \leq a G(\alpha a, a)$, and (15) leads to the inequality

$$
G(\alpha x, x) \leq\left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{1+\epsilon_{G}}(\alpha a, a)
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{a}^{a} G(\alpha x, x) d x \leq \int_{a}^{\infty} G(\alpha x, x) d x \leq \frac{a}{\epsilon} G(\alpha a, a),
$$

and inequality (13) yields the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq \frac{a}{\alpha \epsilon}\left[y^{\prime 2}(a)+G(\alpha a, a)\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the number of zeros which a solution of (1), which vanishes at $x=a$, can have in any interval $(a, b)$. Hence, all solutions are nonoscillatory, and the main assertion of Theorem I is proved. It may be noted that, for any particular $G(t, x)$, we may take advantage of the arbitrariness of the positive constant $\alpha$ to obtain the best possible bound (16).

It remains to be shown that the assumption $\epsilon>0$ is essential, i.e., that an equation of the form (1) may have oscillatory solutions if it is only assumed that $x G(\alpha x, x)$ is nonincreasing for any positive constant $\mathcal{\alpha}$. To obtain an example of an equation (1) which exhibits this type of behavior, consider the equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{y}{x^{2}} H^{\prime}\left(\frac{y^{2}}{x}\right)=0,
$$

where $H(O)=O$ and $H(s)$ is an increasing, differentiable convex function for $s \in[0, \infty)$. We have

$$
F(t, x)=\frac{1}{x^{2}} H^{\prime}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right), G(t, x)=\frac{1}{x} H\left(\frac{t}{x}\right),
$$

and $x G(\alpha x, x)=H(\alpha)$. The general solution of the equation is

$$
y(x)=x^{1 / 2} u(\log x),
$$

where $u(t)$ is the general solution of

$$
\ddot{u}-\frac{u}{4}+u H^{\prime}\left(u^{2}\right)=0
$$

It is easy to see that, unless $H^{\prime}(s)$ reduces to a constant, the latter equation always has both oscillatory and nonoscillatory
solutions. Any solution which vanishes at one point is necessarily periodic, and thus oscillatory [6].
3. We now turn to the proof of Theorem II. If $\Psi$ denotes the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=x\left[n y^{\prime 2}+p y^{2 n}\right]-n y y^{\prime} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

a computation shows that

$$
\psi^{\prime}=n\left(2 x y^{\prime}-y\right)\left(y^{\prime}+p y^{2 n-1}\right)+\frac{y^{2 n}\left(x^{n+1} p\right) \prime}{x^{n}}
$$

If $y$ is a solution of (3), this reduces to

$$
\psi^{\prime}=\frac{y^{2 n}\left(x^{n+1} p\right)^{\prime}}{x^{n}}
$$

and it is easily confirmed that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\psi(\log x)^{n+1}\right]^{\prime}=(n+1) } & (\log x)^{n}\left[\frac{\psi}{x}-p y^{2 n}\right] \\
& +\frac{\left[(x \log x)^{n+1} p\right]^{\prime} y^{2 n}}{x^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(x \log x)^{n+1} p(x)$ is nonincreasing, the derivative of this function exists for almost all $x$, and is either negative or zero. Hence, for almost all $x$,

$$
\frac{\left[\psi(\log x)^{n+1}\right]^{\prime}}{(\log x)^{n}} \leq(n+1)\left[\frac{\psi}{x}-p y^{2 n}\right]
$$

and thus, for any $1<a<a_{1}<\infty$,

$$
\int_{a}^{a} \frac{\left[\psi(\log x)^{n+1}\right]^{\prime}}{(\log x)^{n}} d x \leq(n+1)\left[\int_{a}^{a} \frac{\psi}{x} d x-\int_{a}^{a} p y^{2 n} d x\right]
$$

An integration by parts transforms the left-hand side into

$$
[\psi \log x]_{a}^{a} 1+n \int_{a}^{a} \frac{\psi}{x} d x
$$

and (17) shows that the last inequality can be brought into the form

$$
[\psi \log x]_{a}^{a} \leq n \int_{a}^{a}\left(y^{\prime 2}-p y^{2 n}\right) d x-\int_{a}^{a} \frac{y y^{\prime}}{x} d x
$$

If $y(a)=0$ and $y\left(a_{1}\right)=0$ or $y^{\prime}\left(a_{1}\right)=0$, it follows from
(3) that

$$
\int_{a}^{a}\left(y^{\prime 2}-p y^{2 n}\right) d x=0
$$

and the inequality simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{a} \frac{y y^{\prime}}{x} d x \leq \psi(a) \log a-\psi\left(a_{1}\right) \log a_{1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a$ and $a_{1}$ are consecutive zeros of $y$, an integration by parts shows that

$$
\int_{a}^{a} \frac{y y^{\prime}}{x} d x=\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{a} \frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}} d x .
$$

Since this is positive, it follows from (18) that $\mathcal{Y}\left(a_{1}\right) \log a_{1}$ $\leq \psi(a) \log a$. Hence, if $a, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ are consecutive zeros of $y$, the sequence $\left.\left\{\Psi\left(a_{k}\right) \log a_{k}\right\}\right\}$ is nonincreasing. Since, by (17), $\psi\left(a_{k}\right)=n a_{k} y^{\prime 2}\left(a_{k}\right)$, this shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k} \log a_{k} y^{\prime 2}\left(a_{k}\right) \leq A_{,} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a positive constant which does not depend on $k$.

Let now $a$ be a zero of $y$, and assume that $Y^{\prime}(a)>0$ (replacing, if necessary, $y$ by $-y$ ). If $b$ is the smallest zero of $y^{\prime}$ in $(a, \infty)$, both $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ will then be positive in (abb). Furthermore, since, by (3),

$$
\left[(x-a) y^{\prime}-y\right]^{\prime}=(x-a) y^{\prime \prime}=-(x-a) p y^{2 n-1}<0
$$

and since $y(a)=0$, we have $(x-a) y^{\prime} \leq y$ in this interval. Hence, (20) $\int_{a}^{b} y^{\prime 2} d x=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{(x-a+a)}{x} y^{\prime 2} d x \leq \int_{a}^{b} \frac{y y^{\prime}}{x} d x+a \int_{a}^{b} \frac{y^{\prime 2}}{x} d x$ and, similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} \frac{y^{\prime 2}}{x} d x=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{(x-a+a)}{x^{2}} y^{\prime 2} d x & \leq \int_{a}^{b} \frac{y y^{\prime}}{x^{2}} d x+a \int_{a}^{b} \frac{y^{\prime 2}}{x^{2}} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{a} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{y y^{\prime}}{x} d x+a \int_{a}^{b} \frac{y^{\prime 2}}{x^{2}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this inequality with (20), we obtain
(21) $\int_{a}^{b} y^{\prime 2} d x \leq 2 \int_{a}^{b} \frac{y y^{\prime}}{x} d x+a^{2} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{y^{\prime 2}}{x^{2}} d x$.

To estimate the last term, we observe that $y^{\prime \prime}=-p y^{2 n-1}<0$ and that, therefore, $y^{\prime}(x) \leq y^{\prime}(a)$ in $(a, b)$. Hence

$$
a^{2} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{y^{\prime 2}}{x^{2}} d x \leq a^{2} y^{\prime 2}(a) \int_{a}^{b} \frac{d x}{x^{2}} \leq a y^{\prime 2}(a)
$$

In view of (19), (21) thus leads to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} y^{\prime 2} d x \leq 2 \int_{a}^{b} \frac{y y^{\prime}}{x} d x+\frac{A}{\log a} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (18) was shown to hold for solutions $y$ of (3) for which $y(a)=y^{\prime}\left(a_{1}\right)=0$, and we may therefore set $a_{1}=b$ in (18). By (17), $\mathcal{Y}(\mathrm{b})>0$, and we may assume that $\mathrm{b}>1$. Accordingly, (18) implies that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \frac{y y^{\prime}}{x} d x \leq \Psi(a) \log a=n a y^{\prime 2}(a) \log a .
$$

In view of (19) we may thus conclude from (22) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}} y^{\prime 2} d x \leq 2 n A+\frac{A}{\log a_{k}}<B \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $k=1,2, \ldots$ ), where $B$ is a positive constant which does not depend on $k$, and $b_{k}$ is the zero of $y^{\prime}$ between $a_{k}$ and $a_{k+1}$. On the other hand, it follows from (3) that

$$
\int_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}} y^{\prime 2} d x=\int_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}} p y^{2 n} d x
$$

Since, for $x \in\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]$,

$$
y^{2}(x) \leq\left(x-a_{k}\right) \int_{a_{k}}^{x} y^{\prime 2} d x \leq x \int_{a_{k}}^{x} y^{\prime 2} d x \leq x \int_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}} y^{\prime 2} d x
$$

this implies

$$
1 \leq\left(\int_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}} y^{\prime 2} d x\right)^{n-1} \int_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}} p x^{n^{\prime}} d x
$$

and thus, by (23),

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq B^{n-1} \int_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}} p x^{n} d x \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(x \log x)^{n+1} p(x)$ is nonincreasing in $(a, \infty)$, we have $x^{n} p(x) \leq n C x^{-1}(\log x)^{-n-1}$, where $C$ is a positive constant. Hence,

$$
\int_{a_{k}}^{b_{k}} x^{n} p(x) d x<\frac{c}{\left(\log a_{k}\right)^{n}}
$$

and it follows from (24) that

$$
\log a_{k}<\left(B^{n-1} C\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}=\log D
$$

This shows that the zeros of $y$ are confined to the interval [as). The number of these zeros is necessarily finite. Indeed, suppose $y\left(a_{k}\right)=0, a<a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<D$, and set $a_{0}=\lim a_{k}$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $b_{k}<a_{k+1}<a_{0}$, it follows from (24) that

$$
1 \leq B^{n-1} \int_{a_{k}}^{a_{o}} p x^{n} d x
$$

and this leads to a contradiction if $k$ becomes sufficiently large. This concludes the proof of Theorem II.
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