

A NOTE ON USEFUL WORK

W. A. Day

Report 68-11

April, 1968

A NOTE ON USEFUL WORK

by

W. A. Day

To begin with, we discuss isothermal processes in a body and denote the deformation gradient tensor and the Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor at a material point at time  $t$  by  $e(t)$  and  $s(t)$ , respectively. For simplicity we take all functions of time to be smooth and denote time differentiation by a superposed  $\dot{\phantom{x}}$ . A particular material is described by specifying the functional relationship

$$s(t) = Sfe^{*1}), \quad (1)$$

between the stress at time  $t$  and  $e^t_s$  the history of the deformation gradient up to  $t$ , which is defined on  $[0, \infty)$  by

$$e^b(u) = e(t - u), \quad 0 < u < \infty. \quad (2)$$

**Once the functional  $S$  is specified the work done in the process  $e(\gg)$  between times  $0$  and  $T$  can be found from the usual expression**

$$w(e(\cdot), T) = \int_0^T \text{trace}(s(t)\dot{e}(t)^T) dt, \quad (3)$$

where  $e(t)^T$  is the transpose of  $e(t)$ .

Suppose that the material is subjected to a given deformation gradient history  $f$ , say, up to time  $t = 0$ . Let us consider processes  $e(\ll)$  which are ~~closed connections of~~  $f$  in the sense that  $e^0 = f$  and  $e(\gg)$  assumes the value  $e(0) = f(0)$  at some later time  $r$  i.e.  $e(r) = f(0)$  for some  $r > 0$ , and for each

closed connection of  $f$  let us compute the work  $w(e(\ll), r)^*$ .  
 If it happens that  $w(e(\cdot), r) < 0$  we shall say, following  
 BREUER and ONAT [1], that the material does useful work of amount  
 $-w(e(0, T) > 0$ . These definitions lead us to ask the following  
 question: if  $f$  is given and if  $W > 0$  is any quantity of  
 work, no matter how large, can we choose a closed connection  
 $e(\ll)$  of  $f$  so that the material does an amount of useful work  
 exceeding  $W$  i.e.  $-w(e(\cdot), r) > W$ ?

The purpose of this note is to point out that whenever two  
 thermodynamic properties  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  hold the answer to the  
 question posed above is 'No'. The statement of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$   
 requires that we introduce the free energy  $\psi(\cdot)$  determined by  
 $e(\gg)$  through the constitutive relation

$$\psi(t) = \int_0^t e^{fc}. \quad (4)$$

The thermodynamic properties are

$T_1$ . In any process  $e(\cdot)$  the work done between times  $0$  and  $r$   
is not less than the change in free energy i.e.

$$w(e(\cdot), T) \geq \psi(r) - \psi(0). \quad (5)$$

$T_2$  Among all processes  $e(0$  with a given value  $c$  for  $t = r$   
the constant process with value  $c$  has the least free energy  
 i.e. if  $e(T) = c$  then

$$\psi(e^T) \geq \psi(c^*), \quad (6)$$

where  $c^*$  is the constant history on  $[0, \infty)$  with value  $c$ .

Properties T1 and T2 were first given for a class of simple materials by COLEMAN [2], (see also COLEMAN and MIZEL [3] and GURTIN [5]).

If T1 and T2 do hold and  $e(\llcorner)$  is any closed connection of a given history  $f$  with  $e(r) = f(0)$ ,  $r > 0$ , then, by the definition of a closed connection,

$$\psi(0) = \Psi(e^0) = \Psi(f)$$

and, by T2,

$$\psi(\tau) = \Psi(e^\tau) \geq \Psi(f(0)^*).$$

An application of T1 now shows that

$$w(e(\cdot), \tau) \geq \Psi(f(0)^*) - \Psi(f),$$

or,

$$-w(e(\cdot), r) \leq *(f) - *(f(0)^*), \quad (7)$$

where, by T2 again,

$$\Psi(f) - \Psi(f(0)^*) \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

In other words we have shown that if T1 and T2 hold and if  $f$  is a given history then in no closed connection of  $f$  can the material do an amount of useful work exceeding the difference  $\Psi(f) - \Psi(f(0)^*)$  between the free energy  $\Psi(f)$  of the history  $f$  and the free energy  $\Psi(f(0)^*)$  of the constant history with value  $f(0)$ .

Precisely the same conclusion holds for non-isothermal processes if by 'process'<sup>1</sup> we understand the ordered deformation

gradient-temperature pair  $(e(\ll), \Theta(\cdot))^*$  if the constitutive relations for the stress, free energy and entropy are taken to be

$$s(t) = s(e^t, e^t), \quad \theta(t) = \theta(e^t, e^t), \quad r_i(t) = H(e^t, e^t), \quad (9)$$

respectively, if the definition (3) of work is generalized to

$$w(e(\cdot), \theta(O, T)) = \int_0^T (\text{trace}(s(t)e(t)^1) - r_i(t)\dot{e}(t))dt \quad (10)$$

**Jo**

and if T1 and T2 still hold. Properties T1 and T2 do hold in the theories cited previously ([2], [3], [5]) and so for the classes of materials considered in those theories the conclusion is valid.

In a forthcoming paper [4], I shall show that, for a broad class of materials, all the results connecting stress, entropy and free energy given in [2], [3] and [5] can be deduced from an axiom asserting that in a closed connection of a given history the material cannot do an arbitrarily large amount of useful work,

~~Acknowledgements.~~ \* gratefully acknowledge valuable discussions with Professor M» E. Gurtin.

References.

- [1] BREUER, S., and E. T. ONAT: On recoverable work in linear viscoelasticity, Z. angew. Math. Phys. 13 (1964), 12-21.
- [2] COLEMAN, B. D.: Thermodynamics of materials with memory, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 12 (1964), 1-46.
- [3] COLEMAN, B. D. and V. J. MIZEL: A general theory of dissipation in materials with memory, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 27, (1968), 255-274.
- [4] DAY, W. A.: Thermodynamics based on a work axiom. To be published.
- [5] GURTIN, M. E.: On the thermodynamics of materials with memory. To appear in Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.

Carnegie-Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania