

REALIZATION OF TEMPERATURE
DISTRIBUTIONS

R. C. MacCamy^ V. J. Mizel
and
T. I. Seidman

Report 67-31

October, 1967

REALIZATION OF TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTIONS

R.C. MacCamy, V.J. Mizel and T.I. Seidman

Abstract: It is shown that an arbitrary temperature distribution may be approximated in the ϵ_2 sense by controlling the boundary temperatures over a preceding time interval.

AR 61 '69

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with a piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ having finite $(n-1)$ -dimensional volume. Only a rather restricted class of temperature distributions $u(= u(x), x \in \Omega)$ can "arise", that is, can have a "past history" e.g., such a u must be analytic in x . We ask, however, whether one could start with a given distribution - say, $u \equiv 0$ - and, by manipulating the boundary temperatures over some finite time interval, arrange to approximate arbitrarily well a specified distribution.

Thus, if $u(t, x)$, $t \in (-1, 0)$, $x \in \Omega$ is given one may obtain the solution \tilde{u} of the heat equation with 0 initial data and \bar{u} as boundary data and then define the operator T from a space of admissible boundary data to the space of possible temperature distributions by setting $T\bar{u} = u$ where $u(x) = \tilde{u}(0, x)$ for $x \in \Omega$. We ask whether the range of T is dense in $C(\bar{\Omega})$.

It is easily seen that the answer to this question is independent of any reasonable choice of the space of admissible boundary data.

The function \tilde{u} , then, satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{u}_t &= A\tilde{u} & t \in (-1, 0), x \in \Omega \\
 \tilde{u}(-1, x) &= 0 & x \in \Omega \\
 \tilde{u}(t, x) &= \bar{u}(t, x) & t \in (-1, 0), x \in \partial\Omega
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

and, with $u(x) = \tilde{u}(0, x)$, we have the integral representation

$$u(x) = \int_{-1}^0 \int_{\partial\Omega} G(x, y, -t) \bar{u}(t, y) \, dy \, dt
 \tag{2}$$

where G^ν is the normal derivative (with respect to its second variable) of the Green's function for the heat equation in Ω . As is well-known, G may be expressed, for $x, y \in \Omega$ and $0 < -t$, as

$$(3) \quad G(x, y, -t) = \sum_k c_k a_k(x) a_k(y) \exp(-\lambda_k t)$$

where $\{c_k\}$ are the eigenvalues of the Laplacian operator in \mathbb{R} and $\{a_k\}$ are corresponding normalized eigenfunctions; thus

$$(4) \quad \Delta a_k = -\lambda_k a_k, \quad a_k(\partial\mathbb{R}) = 0, \quad \|a_k\|_2 = 1.$$

We recall that the $\{\lambda_k\}$ are an orthogonal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ the $\{c_k\}$ are positive and that, if we let $\{\mu_j\}$ be the distinct eigenvalues, ordered by increasing magnitude, then $\{\mu_j\}$ is bounded away from 0 and ∞ . The kernel G_ν of (2) is now given by $G_\nu(x, y, -t) = \sum_k v_y \cdot \nabla a_k(x) a_k(y) \exp(-\lambda_k t)$ and, for $x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < -t$,

$$(5) \quad G^\wedge(x, y, -t) = \sum_k a_k(x) b_k(y) \exp(-\lambda_k t)$$

where v_y is the unit outward normal at y (undefined on the subset - assumed negligible - at which \mathbb{R} is not smooth) and $\nabla a_k(y) = i_y \cdot \nabla a_k(y)$ for fixed $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have G^\wedge continuous (in fact, C^∞ where this is meaningful on $\partial\mathbb{R}$) in y and, for fixed $-t < 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$, G_ν is analytic in x .

We assert that, as (y, t) ranges over $\partial\mathbb{R} \times (-1, 0)$, the 'cross-sections' $G_\nu(x, y, -t)$ generate $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ when considered as functions of x . It then follows that, any $u(x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ can be approximated arbitrarily well in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by finite sums of the form

$$3 \sum_k v_y \cdot \nabla a_k(x) a_k(y) \exp(-\lambda_k t)$$

Now we may find, for $\epsilon > 0$ C^∞ functions $0_m(t, y)$, for $(t, y) \in (-1, 0) \times \mathbb{R}$, which vanish for $|y - y_m| > \epsilon$ or $|t - t_m| > \epsilon$ and satisfy $\int_{-1}^0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} 0_m dy dt = 1$; hence, using (2) and the continuity of G_ν in $(y, -t)$, $u(x)$ can be approximated arbitrarily well by

$T \subset [2V_1^{\mu} c_{m \text{ in}} 0.]$ so T has dense range. It remains to prove the assertion.

Suppose, to the contrary, that the linear span of $M = \{G(\cdot, jY, -t) : (Y^* t) \in \text{dft}(-1, 0)\}$ were not dense in $\mathcal{E}_g(\&)$. We could then find a non-trivial $\langle p, \cdot \rangle$ i.e., a function $cpe^2(\&)$ such that $\langle p, 0 \rangle$ but

$$(6) \quad 0 = \langle \langle P, G^{\wedge}(-, Y, -t) \rangle \rangle (Y, t) \in \text{dft}X(-1, 0).$$

Expanding $\langle p, \cdot \rangle$ in terms of the orthonormal basis $\{t^{\wedge 3}\}$ gives $\langle p = \sum_k \beta_k a_k$ with $\beta_k = \langle \langle P, a_k \rangle \rangle$ and (6) becomes

$$(7) \quad 0 = \sum_k \beta_k P^{\wedge}(Y) \exp[A_k t] \quad y \in B, 0 < -t < 1.$$

We observe that this series is absolutely convergent for each (y, t) since $\{b_k(y) \exp[A_k t] : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is square-summable, being the expansion coefficients of G_v which is in $SI_2(f_0)$ when, as here, considered as a function of x for $y \in \text{dft}$, $t < 0$.

If we collect the terms in (7) associated with multiple eigenvalues we obtain in terms of the distinct eigenvalues λ_j

$$(8) \quad 0 = \sum_j \gamma_j z^{\lambda_j} \quad e^{\mu} < z < 1$$

where $z = e^t$ ($-1 < t < 0$) and, putting $K_j = \{k : A_k = \lambda_j\}$ (note that each K_j is finite),

$$(9) \quad \gamma_j = \gamma_j(y) = \sum_{k \in K_j} \beta_k b_k(y).$$

The absolute convergence of the series in (8) for, say, $z = 3/4$ and the sign of the exponents $\{\lambda_j\}$ guarantee the absolute convergence of the series uniformly in the disk $|z| \leq 3/4$. Since each of the functions $z^{\lambda_j} = \exp[\lambda_j \log z]$ is analytic in the half-disk

$\{z: |z| < 3/4, \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ the series converges in the half-disk to an analytic function which, by (8), must be 0. It follows that

$$0 = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j = y^1$$

and, recursively, we obtain $0 = y^2 = y^3 = \dots$ so

$$(10) \quad 0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j y^j \quad y \in B, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

This implies that $\sum_{k \in K_j} a_k v^k(x)$ has $b^0 \wedge 1$ Dirichlet data, by (4), and 0 Neumann data and so vanishes whence, by the independence of the a_k , we have $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = 0$ contradicting the assumption that $a_0 > 0$.

It follows that tU and, therefore, the range of T is dense in $C_0(W)$. We remark that the same proof works for any strictly parabolic equation if the coefficients are independent of t . Presumably the same result would obtain for temporally inhomogeneous processes, under mild conditions on the time dependence, but a more delicate argument would be required.

We gratefully acknowledge the support of this research by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research and the National Science Foundation.