## ON THE CONTINUATION OF SOLUTIONS

OF A CERTAIN NON-LINEAR
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION ${ }^{1}$
by
C. V. Coffman
and
D. F. Ullrich ${ }^{2}$

Report 66-7

October, 1966
$\mathbf{1}_{\text {This }}$ research was partially supported by NSF Grant GP-4323.
$\mathbf{2}^{2}$ This author is presently at North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina.

## I. Introduction

Let. $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ be positive and continuous on $[0, ~ \infty 0$ ) and let n be a positive integer; it is known that the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{f 1}+p(x) y^{2 n+1}=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can have solutions defined on a bounded interval which do not adroit an extension to the interval [0, oo). An example of this singular behavior has been given by Hastings [1], and another example, which is perhaps conceptually somewhat simpler, is given below. In this note we will also establish certain conditions on $p(x)$ which are sufficient to guarantee that all solutions of (1) can be extended to [0,00)• Two such conditions for a more general equation have been given in [1]. For equation (1) these reduce respectively to the conditions i) that $p(x)$ be piecewise monotone, and ii) that $p(x)$ satisfy a locally uniform Lipschitz condition. The main result of this note states that all solutions of (1) will exist on [0,00) if $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ is locally bounded variation. This condition on $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ is
implied either by condition i) or by condition ii) - We remark that piecewise mdnotonicity is still sufficient if $p(x)$ is only assumed to be non-negative.

The proof of our theorem involves the use of a bound for solutions of (1) in terms of the total variation of $p(x) \cdot$ Indeed, suppose that $p(x)$ is of bounded variation on $[0, x]$, with, say, $T(x)=$ total variation of $p(x)$ on $[0, x]$. Then, if $y(x)$ is a solution of (1) defined on $\left[0, x_{0}\right)$, and if $T(x)<o o$ for each $x<x_{o}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |y(x)|<C_{x} T(x)+C_{2}, \quad 0 \leq x<x_{Q}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the positive constants $C_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $C_{2}$ depend only on $n$, à lower bound for $p(x)$ on $\left[0, x_{\ell}\right]$, and on $y(0)$ and $y^{\prime}(0)$. An inequality such as (2) also is valid in the linear case ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ ) but the inequality is more significant when $n>0$, as is indicated by the following facts. If $p(x)$ is continuous on $\left[0, x_{0}\right]$ and $T(x)<\infty$ for $x<x_{0}$ while $T(x) \sim \wedge^{0} 0$ as $x^{-*>x_{o}^{\prime}}$ then in the linear case the left hand side of (2) remains bounded from above as $x^{\sim-\wedge} x_{0}$. On the other hand* as our example will show, if $p(x)$ has these same properties and $n>0$, then (1) can have solutions $y(x)$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow-} \sup (T(x))^{11} \log |y(x)|>0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

II. Example.

In this section we construct an example of equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{y}^{3}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ is locally of bounded variation everywhere in. [0,00)
with the exception of one point, $x_{0}$, and such that at least one solution has $\left[0, x_{0}\right)$ as its maximal interval of existence. 'This shows, incidentally, that global existence of solutions of (1) can be destroyed by a pathology of the coefficient at a single point, and in fact even when the coefficient differs from a constant by an arbitrarily small amount.

It should be remarked that a solution of (4) or of (1) on the interval $\left[0, x_{0}\right)$ can fail to have a continuation to the right of $x_{0}$ only if the solution changes sign infinitly many times as $x$ approaches $x_{0}$ from the left. Indeed since a solution $y(x)$ of (1) always
satisfies yy <. 0, an elementary argument shows that for a solution $y$ defined on the interval $\left[0, x^{0}\right)$, and having only finitly many zeros there, both $y$ and $y$ will possess finite limits as $x-\wedge^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$-. We note that a solution of (1) which is bounded in a finite interval can have at most finitly many zeros there. This follows from the Sturm comparison theorem.

The example of (4) which we construct below can be regarded as resulting from a perturbation of the coefficient in the autonomous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}_{-0}^{2}}{d^{2}} \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{U}^{3}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience we choose the positive constant C so that (5) has a solution $u(t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(0)=U(1)=1, \quad f^{\wedge} U(0)=\mid \wedge U(1)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and having exactly two zeros in $(0,1)$. That $C$ can be so chosen follows in an elementary way from the fact that all solutions of
equation (5) are oscillatory and periodic, for any non-zero value of C.

We require the following result.
Lemma 1. For each positive integer $n$, there exists a continuous
function $q_{n}(t)$ م $[0,1]$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}(0)=q_{n}(1)=0, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## and such that the differential equation

$$
\hat{2}+\left(C^{2}+\underset{n}{q_{n}}(t)\right) U^{3}=0,
$$

has a solution $U_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathrm{t}) \xrightarrow{\text { satisfying }}$

and having at least two zeros in $(0,1)$. In addition the $q_{n}\left({ }^{t}\right)$ can
be chosen in such a way that each is of bounded variation on [ 0,1 ]
$\frac{\text { with }}{(10)} \quad \int^{1}|\operatorname{dq} n(t)|<K n \sim 1, \quad n=1,2, \ldots$,
for an- K .
-We now proceed with the construction of our example, deferring the proof of Lemma 1 to the end of this section.


$$
\mathrm{a}_{1}=0, \quad \underset{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{a}}=\underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{KT}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \underset{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{0}^{\prime}}, \quad \mathrm{n}>1 .
$$

 and a solution $y(x)$ of (4), in the following way. For each $n=1,2, \ldots$ put

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=C^{2}+q_{n}\left(n^{2}\left(x-\left(T_{n}\right)\right), \text { for } a_{n} \leqslant x_{ـ}<a_{R}+ \pm,\right. \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)^{\prime}=n^{2} U_{n}\left(n^{2}(x-o j), \text { for } O_{n} \leq x \leq V_{n+ \pm}\right. \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (7) that $p(x)$ is continuous on $\left[0, \tilde{\sigma}^{2}\right.$ ) and from
(9) that $y(x)$ is at least of class $C^{\mathbb{1}}$ on $\left[0,,^{2} \wedge^{2}\right.$ ). From (11) and (12)
it follows that $y(x)$ satisfies (4) on each of the intervals
$\left[a_{n}, a_{n}+\frac{-1}{1}\right) y$ and, therefore, since it is of class $C{ }^{\mathbf{l}}$ on $\left[0,-\frac{\pi_{-}^{2}}{\mathbf{-}}{ }_{0}^{2}\right.$, it must in fact be of class $C^{2}$ and satisfy (4) everywhere in loJ^TO ${ }^{2}$ Inequality (10) implies that $q_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathrm{t})$ HKO uniformly on $[0,1]$ as n -foo; consequently, (11) implies that $p(x)-*-C \quad$ as $x-\wedge \wedge^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sim$ 。 We can, 2 therefore, 2 extend $p(x)$ continuously to $[0,00$ ) by setting $p(x)=C$ for $x>_{-}^{-} \overline{-}_{-}$. On the other hand, the solution $y(x)$ of (4) which we have constructed has at least two zeros in each of the intervals ( $a^{n}, a^{n}+1$ ), and from (9) and (12) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{n}^{2}, \quad \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, $y(x)$ cannot be continued beyond $\pi^{2}$.
We shall now show that $y(x)$ satisfies (3), with $x_{0}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{9} 3^{a r} * d$ where $T(x)$ denotes, as in section 1 , the total variation of $p(x)$
in $[0, x]$. In view of (10) and the definition of $p(x)$ we have
(The total variation of $p(x)$ in $\left[h_{h}, h_{1}\right]$ is the same as the total variation of $q_{n}(t)$ in $\left.[0,1].\right)$


$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x)<K_{2}\left|\log \left(\mid-^{2}-x\right)\right|, \quad \text { for } 0 \leq x<\wedge^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, (13) implies that

and combining inequalities (14) and (15) we finally obtain

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}} \sup (T(x))^{n^{1}} \log |y(x)|>0^{\prime}
$$

Proof of Lemma 1. We shall first define a function. $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathrm{t})$ of class $C^{-}$on $[0,1]$ which satisfies (9) and has two zeros in $(0,1)$. The function $q_{n}(t)$ will then be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}(t)=-\left[C^{2}+r_{n} r^{3}(t) \quad f^{\wedge} U_{n}(t)\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U_{00}(t)$ denote the solution of equation (5) which satisfies (6), and let $t_{Q}\left(0<t_{Q}<1\right)$ be such that $U$ od $(t)$ is positive in [t $\boldsymbol{o}^{1]}$ :

Then, $U_{n}(t)$ will be represented in the form
(17)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathrm{t}) \quad={ }^{0} \circ \rho^{(t)} \quad 0<t^{\prime} \leq t_{0}, \\
& U_{n}(t)=(2 n+1) / n^{\star}+U_{o O}(t)-\int_{t}^{1}(t-s) f_{n}(s) d s, \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$


(18)

$$
\int_{t_{0}^{1}} \int_{\left(t_{Q}-s\right) f_{n}(s) d s=(2 n+1) / n^{2}}^{t_{0}} \quad f_{n}(s) d s=0
$$

and

On the other hand, if (18), (19), and (20) hold, and if $q_{n}(t)$ and $U_{n}(t)$ are defined by (16) and (17) respectively, then $U_{\mathbf{n}}(t)$ is of class $c^{2}$ on $[0,1]$ and satisfies (8). In order that (7) hold it suffices to have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}\left(D=-<^{2}\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) \quad 6 \quad 1\right]\right. \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume $f_{n}$ to have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(t)=\alpha_{n}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{3}+\beta_{n}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{2}+\gamma_{n}\left(t-t_{Q}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (20) holds automatically and (18, (19), and (21) become equivalent to the linear system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{n} z^{4}+\frac{1}{3} \beta_{n} z^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n} z^{2}=0 \\
\frac{1}{5} \alpha_{n} z^{5}+\frac{1}{4} \beta_{n} z^{4}+\frac{1}{3} \gamma_{n} z^{3}=-(2 n+1) / n^{2}  \tag{23}\\
a_{n} z^{3}+p_{n} z^{2}+y_{n} z=-C \underbrace{2}_{\underline{L}} \underset{V}{n}+\frac{1}{n} \int_{V}^{6}-1]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $z=1-t_{\mathbf{o}}$. Since $z \neq 0 *$ the determinant of (23) is easily seen to be non-zero. Therefore, if $f$ is taken to be of the form

 and for a suitable constant $A$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\left|a_{n}\right|,\left|0_{n}\right|,\left|y_{n}\right|\right)<A n^{1}, n=1,2, \ldots . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by setting

$$
h_{n}(t)-u_{n}(t)-u_{0}(t)
$$

it follows from (22) and (24) that for $n=1,2, \ldots$, and for a suitable constant $B$

$$
\max \left(\left|h_{n}(t)\right|, \text { left } h_{n}(t)\left|,\left|\frac{d^{2}}{d t} \mathbf{h}(t)\right|\right) \leq \operatorname{Bn}^{-1} 0-\Varangle * \boldsymbol{A}^{1}\right.
$$

Using this inequality in (16), it is easily shown that

$$
\left|\frac{\mathrm{dq}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}}\right| \leq \mathrm{Kn}^{-1}, \quad 0<-\mathrm{t}<-1, \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots
$$

and, since $q_{n}(t)$ has a continuous derivitive, (10) follows.

The proof of Lemma 1 is now complete.
III. Theorem,

The main result of this note is the following.
Theorem. Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $p(x)$ be positive, continuous, and locally of bounded variation on $[0,00$ ). Then for arbitrary real numbers $a$ arid $b$ and for any $x_{0} €\left[0_{5} 00\right.$ ) the initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(x_{Q}\right)=a, \quad y^{\prime}\left(x_{Q}\right)=b \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution which exists.on $[0,00)$.
The proof of the Theorem depends upon the following lemma.
Lemma 2. Let $n$ be a positive_integer, and let $p(x)$ be positive and of class $C^{1}$ on an interval $\left[x_{\boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}} x_{\perp}\right)$. Assume there exists a nonnegative function $g(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}(x) / p(x) \leq g(x) \text { on }\left[\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}^{\mathbf{x}} \quad g(x) d x<\infty, \quad \text { for } x<x_{\prime_{\perp}}
$$

Then the solution $y(x)$ of (1) which satisfies (25) will exist on
$\left[x_{0}, x_{1},\right)_{\text {and satisfy the inequality }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x) \leq \int^{J}\left(x_{0}\right) \exp \int_{x_{0}}^{x} g(x) d x, \quad x^{\wedge}<x<x_{x} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## ...

## where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bullet-\left(y^{!}\right)^{2}+\wedge p(x) y^{2 n+2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof, Let $y(x)$ be a solution of (1) satisfying (25) and assume that $y(x)$ exists on an interval $\left[x_{\mathbf{o}^{\prime}}^{\prime} x_{2}\right)_{3} x_{0}<x_{2}<x_{1}$. if $\$$ is defined in terms of $y$ by (29), then clearly $\$$ if of class $C^{l}$ an $\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{Q}}{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)^{\wedge}$ in fact we have

Using (26) we obtain

$$
\star^{\prime}(x) \leq g(x) \$(x), \quad x_{Q} \leq x<x_{2}
$$

and integration of this differential inequality gives (28) for $x_{0} \leq x_{-2}<x_{-}$It follows now from (27) and (28) that both $y^{\prime}$ arid $y$
 continued to the right of $x_{2}$. Since the point $\left.x_{2} e{ }^{\left[x_{o}^{\prime}\right.} x_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}}\right)$ was arbitrary^the proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Proof of the Theorem, Let $x_{\perp}>x^{\prime} \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}$ and assume that $p(x) \geq \wedge m>0$ on $\left[x_{0} \cdot x_{\mathbf{1}}\right]$. It is possible to approximate $p(x)$ uniformly on $\left[x_{0}, x_{\mathbf{1}}\right]$ by a sequence of functions $\left\{p_{v}(x)\right)^{\wedge}$ where each $p(x)$ is a class $C^{1}$ on $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$ and satisfies

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x}) \geq \cdot \mathrm{m} \quad \text { on }\left[\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{x}^{\wedge}\right.
$$

and

$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} \operatorname{lp}_{k}^{\prime}(x) I d x \leq T,
$$

where $T$ is the total variation of $p(x)$ on $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$. For each $k=1,2, \ldots$ let $y_{n}(x)$ denote the solution of

$$
y^{1^{\prime}}+p_{n}(x) y^{3}=0
$$

which satisfies the initial condition (25). Since
$\left.p_{k}^{\prime}(x) /\left.p_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \underline{X}_{m^{\prime}}\right|_{p_{\mathbf{n}}^{\prime}} ^{\prime}(x)\right)$, it follows from Lemma 2 that each of the y, (x) exists on [x,x..] and furthermore that for $k=1,2, \ldots$
$y L \quad 0 \quad 1$

As $\mathrm{k}-\wedge$ ○○; the $\left.\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{C}^{\wedge}\right)$ tend uniformly to a solution $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})$ of (1) satisfying the initial conditions (25). This shows that (1)-(25) has a solution on $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ for arbitrary $x_{1}>x_{o} ;$ a similar argument shows that the solution exists on $\left[0, x_{0}\right]$ • The uniqueness of the solution of (l)-(25) follows from standard results, since the term $p(x) y^{2 n}+1$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition in $y$.
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