NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS:

The copyright law of the United States (title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Any copying of this document without permission of its author may be prohibited by law.

QUASI-LINEAR ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

Martin H. Schultz

.

6 72

1971

NUNT LIBRARY Carnegie-Mellon University

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a region in Rⁿ and $\partial\Omega$ denote the boundary of Ω . We consider quasi-linear elliptic boundary value problems of the form

(1)
$$L[u] \equiv \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha}(a_{\alpha\beta}(x)D^{\beta}u) = f(x,u,...), x \in \Omega, a_{\alpha\beta}(x) = a_{\beta\alpha}(x), |\alpha|, |\beta| \le m$$

 $|\beta| \le m$

(2)
$$L[u] \equiv \lambda f(x, u, ...)$$
, $x \in \Omega$,

subject to the boundary conditions

(3) $D^{j}u(x) = 0$, $x \in \mathcal{N}$, $0 \le j \le m-1$, where we have freely used multi-index notation, cf. [1], [2], or [3], and f(x, u, ...) denotes a function of x, u, and possibly all derivatives $D^{\alpha}u$ with $|\alpha| \le m$.

This class of problems has been studied in [1], [2], and [3] under the restrictions that the coefficients $a_{\beta}(x)$ are measurable and uniformly bounded in Ω , that there exists a positive constant C such that

(4) $(L[w],w)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \geq C ||w||^{2}_{W^{m},2_{\Omega}} \equiv C(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} |D^{\alpha}w(x)|^{2}dx)$ for all $w \in W_{0}^{m,2}(\Omega)$, i.e, for all w in the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to $||\cdot||_{W^{m},2_{\Omega}}$, and that f depends on x and $D^{\alpha}u$ with $|\alpha| \leq m-1$, but <u>not</u> $D^{\alpha}u$ with $|\alpha| = m$.

In this paper, we extend the results of [1] to problems in which the differential operator L satisfies a weaker "positive definite" hypothesis than (4) and f depends on x and $D^{\alpha}u$ with $|\alpha| \leq m$. The price of this extension is a slightly stronger hypothesis on the smoothness of the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}(x)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this paper the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ are assumed (I) to be bounded, measurable functions such that the domain of L, $\mathcal{L}(L)$, in $L^2(\Omega)$ can be taken to be those C² functions satisfying the boundary conditions (3) and (II) to be such that there exists a positive constant C such that

(5) $(L[u],u)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \geq C ||u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{L}(L)$. We remark that for assumption (I), it suffices to assume that $D^{j}a_{\alpha\beta}(x) \in C^{0}(\Omega)$ for all $|j| \leq |\alpha|$.

Let H denote the Hilbert space which is the completion of $\mathcal{L}(L)$ with respect to the norm

(6) $||u||_{H} \equiv (L[u],u)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{1/2}$. It follows from Theorem 2, pg. 323 of [5] that $H \subset L^{2}(\Omega)$.

<u>Theorem 1</u>. If L satisfies assumptions (I) and (II) and is such that any set which is bounded in H is precompact in $L^2(\Omega)$, then L^{-1} is defined as a compact mapping from $L^2(\Omega)$ to H.

<u>Proof.</u> It follows from Theorem 3, pg. 222 of [5] that, under these hypotheses, L has a discrete spectrum and hence, from Theorem 2, pg. 461 of [5] that L^{-1} is defined from $L^2(\Omega)$ to $H \subset L^2(\Omega)$ and is compact, when viewed as a mapping from $L^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Omega)$. To show that L^{-1} is a compact mapping from $L^2(\Omega)$ to H, let S be any bounded set in $L^2(\Omega)$. Since $L^{-1}(S)$ is precompact in $L^2(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S$ such that $\{L^{-1}u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$, i.e., $\lim_{n,k\to\infty} ||L^{-1}u_n - L^{-1}u_k||_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$. But, since $||L^{-1}u_n - L^{-1}u_k||_{H}^2 = (L[L^{-1}u_n - L^{-1}u_k], L^{-1}u_n - L^{-1}u_k)_{L^2(\Omega)}$

$$\leq ||u_n - u_k||_{L^2(\Omega)} ||L^{-1}u_n - L^{-1}u_k||_{L^2(\Omega)} \to 0 \text{ as } n, k \to \infty,$$

$$\lim_{n,k\to\infty} ||L^{-1}u_n - L^{-1}u_k||_{H} = 0 \text{ and } L^{-1}(S) \text{ is precompact in H. QED.}$$

Combining Theorem 1 with the Leray-Schander Fixed Point Theorem, we have

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Let L satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and f(x,u,...) be such that the mapping F: $u \rightarrow f(x,u,...)$ is defined from H to $L^2(\Omega)$ and such that F is a bounded mapping, i.e., F maps bounded subsets of H into bounded subsets of $L^2(\Omega)$. Then $T \equiv L^{-1}F$ is a compact mapping from H to H and if T satisfies the Leray-Schander condition on $S_R(H) \equiv \{x \in H \mid ||x|| = R\}$, i.e., no solutions of the equation $\lambda Tx = x$, $\lambda \in (0,1]$, lie on the sphere $S_R(H)$, then T has a fixed point in $B_R(H) \equiv \{x \in H \mid ||x|| < R\}$.

We remark that if there exists a continuous, non-negative function g(r), for $0 \le r < \infty$, with $||F(u)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \le g(||u||_{H})$ for all $u \in H$, then F is a bounded mapping from H to $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

The fixed point of T given by Theorem 2 is called a generalized solution of (1), (3). It may be shown as in [1] that if the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}(x)$, the function f(x,u,...), and the domain Ω are sufficiently smooth, then the above generalized solution is a classical solution.

<u>Corollary 1</u>. If the mapping F: $H \to L^2(\Omega)$ is uniformly bounded, i.e., there exists a positive constant K such that $||F(u)||_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq K$ for all $u \in H$, then T has a fixed point and (1), (3) has a generalized solution.

The following two results for the eigenvalue problem (2), (3) are analogues of Theorem 3 of [1].

<u>Theorem 3</u>. Let the hypotheses of Theorem 2 hold. If $F(0) \neq 0$, then for any R > 0, there exists a $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$, there exists a nontrivial solution of $u = \lambda L^{-1} F(u)$.

<u>Theorem 4</u>. Let the hypotheses of Theorem 2 hold. Given $\lambda_0 > 0$, either there exists $u \in H$ such that $u = \lambda_0 L^{-1} F(u)$ or for any R > 0 there exists $u \in S_R(H)$ such that $u = \lambda L^{-1} F(u)$ for some $\lambda < \lambda_0$.

The λ and u given by either Theorem 3 or Theorem 4 are respectively called a generalized eigenvalue and eigenfunction of (2), (3).

By using the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem, cf. Theorem I.4.1 of [2], we can give some general conditions under which the hypotheses of Theorem 2 hold.

Theorem 5. Let L satisfy assumption (I) and assume that there exists a positive constant C such that

(7) $(L[u],u)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \geq C ||u||_{Wj,2_{\Omega}}^{2}$ for all $u \in \mathscr{C}(L)$, for some $0 \leq j \leq m$. Then $H \subset W_{0}^{j,2}(\Omega)$ and L^{-1} is a compact mapping from $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to H. If f(x,u,...)depends on x and $D^{\alpha}u$ with $|\alpha| \leq j$ and there exists a continuous, non-negative function h on $\prod_{|\beta| \leq j - \frac{n}{2}} R_{\beta}^{+}$ and a positive real number t such that (8) $|f(x,u,...)| \leq h (..., |D^{\beta}u|, ...) \{1 + \sum_{|\beta| = j - \frac{n}{2}} |D^{\beta}u|^{t} + |\beta| = j - \frac{n}{2}$

+ $\sum_{j=\frac{n}{2} < |\beta| \le j-1} |D^{\beta}u|^{\phi} + \sum_{|\beta|=j} |D^{\beta}u|$ }, for all $x \in \Omega$ where $\phi = n(n-2j+2|\beta)^{-1}$, then F: $u \longrightarrow f(x, u, ...)$ is a bounded mapping from H to $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 6. Let L satisfy assumption (I) and assume that there exists a positive constant C such that

(9) $(L[w],w)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \geq C ||w||_{C^{j}(\Omega)}^{2} \equiv C \max \Sigma |D^{\alpha}w(x)|$ for all $w \in \mathcal{L}(L)$ and some $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. Then, $H \subset C^{j}(\Omega)$ and L^{-1} is a compact mapping from $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to H. If f(x,u,...) depends on x and $D^{\alpha}u$ with $|\alpha| \leq j$ and there exists a continuous, non-negative function h on $\prod_{|\beta| \leq j} R^{+}_{\beta}$ such that

-5-

(10) $|f(x,u,...)| \le h(..., |D^{\beta}u|,...)$ for all $x \in \Omega$, then F; $u \rightarrow f(x,u,...)$ is a bounded mapping from H to $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

3. EXAMPLES

As our first example, we consider the nonlinear two-point boundary value problem

(11)
$$L[u] \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j+1} D^{j}[p_{j}(x) D^{j}u(x)] = f(x,u(x),...,D^{m}u(x)), 0 < x < 1,$$

subject to the boundary conditions

(12)
$$D^{j}u(0) = D^{j}u(1) = 0$$
, $0 \le j \le m-1$.
We assume that $p_{j}(x) \in C^{j}(0,1)$, $f(x,u,\ldots,D^{m}u)$ is continuous with respect to $x,u,\ldots,D^{m}u$, there exists a positive constant C such that

(13) $\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(x) (D^{j}w(x))^{2} dx \ge C ||w||_{W}^{2}m, 2_{[0,1]} \text{ for all } w(x) \in C^{2m}(0,1)$ and satisfying the boundary conditions (12), and there exists a continuous, non-negative function h on \mathbb{R}^{m} such that

(14)
$$|f(x,u,...,D^{m}u)| \le h(u,...,D^{m-1}u) \{1 + |D^{m}u|\}$$

for all x $\in [0,1]$ and all u, $Du, \dots, D^m u \in R$. Then it follows from Theorem 5 that $H \equiv W_0^{m,2}[0,1]$ and $L^{-1}F$ is compact in H. If, in addition $f(x,u,\dots,D^m u)$ is uniformly bounded, it follows from the Corollary of Theorem 2, that $L^{-1}F$ has a fixed point and hence (11), (12) has a generalized solution. We remark that under these hypotheses this generalized solution is a classical solution.

As our second example we consider the second order, nonlinear, two-point boundary value problem

(15) $L[u] \equiv -D[p_1(x)Du] + p_0(x)u(x) = f(x,u)$, 0 < x < 1 subject to the boundary conditions

(16) u(0) = u(1) = 0. We assume that $p_1(x) \in C^1(0,1)$, $p_0(x) \in C^0(0,1)$, $p_l(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in (0,1)$, $p_0(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in (0,1)$, and $A \equiv \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{p_l(x)} < \infty$. For example, taking $p_1(x) \equiv x^{\sigma}$, $0 < \sigma < 1$, and $p_0(x) \equiv 0$, we obtain the singular differential operator considered in [4].

Since
$$u^2(x) = \langle \int_0^x Du(x)dx \rangle^2 = (\int_0^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_l(x)}} \sqrt{p_l(x)} Du(x)dx \rangle^2$$

 $\leq \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{p_l(x)} \int_0^1 p(x) (Du(x))^2 dx \leq A \int_0^1 p(x) (Du(x))^2 dx$, for all $x \in [0,1]$,
we have $||u||_C 0_{[0,1]} \leq \sqrt{A} ||u||_H$ for all $u \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ and hence $H \subset C^0[0,1]$.
Thus, by Theorem 6, if we assume that $f(x,u) \in C^0([0,1] \times R)$, then F: $u \to f(x,u)$
is a bounded mapping of H into $L^2[0,1]$. Moreover, it follows from the
discussion on pg. 246 of [5] that every bounded subset of H is precompact
in $L^2[0,1]$ and hence L^{-1} is a compact mapping from $L^2[0,1]$ to H. Thus,
 $L^{-1}F$ is a compact mapping in H. If in addition $f(x,u)$ is uniformly bounded,
then it follows from the Corollary of Theorem 2 that $L^{-1}F$ has a fixed point
and hence (15), (16) has a generalized solution. Moreover, under these
hypotheses, this generalized solution is a classical solution.

-7-

References

- [1] Adams, R. A., "A quasi-linear elliptic boundary value problem", Canad. J. Math. 18 (1966), 1105-1112.
- [2] Berger, M.S., "An eigenvalue problem for nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations", <u>Trans. Amer. Math.Soc</u>. <u>120</u> (1965), 145-184.
- [3] Browder, F. E., "Variational methods for nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems", <u>Bull. Amer. Math.Soc</u>., Vol. 71, No. 1 (1965), 176-183.
- [4] Jamet, P., "Numerical methods for singular linear boundary value problems", Doctoral thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, 1967.
- [5] Mikhlin, S. G., "Variational methods in mathematical physics" (584 pp), The Macmillan Co., New York (1964).