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## ABSTRACT

We give a simple upper bound on $k$ for $k$-path-hamiltonianness of a graph. Also given are exact values for maximal planar graphs.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges, and our terminology and notation is standard (i.e.,
[4] and [1]) except as indicated.
-

A path $P$ with $k$ edges is called a length $k$ path. Following Kronk [5], a graph $G$ is k-path-hamiltonian if every length $k$ path of $G$ lies on a hamiltonian cycle. Such graphs are characterized in [5]; however, these conditions are similar to Dirac [2] type sufficient conditions for a graph to be hamiltonian. Let $\mathrm{k}^{*}(\mathrm{G})$ be the largest ${ }^{1}$ ㄷ for which the graph <G is ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}$-path hamiltonian. In this paper we shall present a simple upper bound on $k *$ and discuss exact values for maximal planar graphs.
2. Upper Bound on k*

A path $P_{\mathbf{n}}=\left(V_{\mathbf{n}}, E_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ in a graph $G$ is called separating if $G-V_{\mathbf{n}}$ is
disconnected. Let $n$. ( $G$ ) denote the length of the shortest separating m m
path in the graph G. (It is possible that the path is closed.)

Theorem 1: $\mathrm{k}^{*}(\mathrm{G})<\mathrm{n}$.

-     -         - $\quad$ min

Proof; Let $G=(V, E)$ be a 2-connected graph, and let $P=(V, E)$ n $\quad$ n $n$ be any shortest separating path in $G$ (i.e., $n-1=n$. (G)). Let $G_{\ddagger}$ and $G_{z}$ be two components of $G^{1}=G-V_{\mathbf{n}}$. Now let $v_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ and $v_{\overline{\boldsymbol{L}}}$ be any pair of vertices in $G_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $G_{\overline{\boldsymbol{2}}}$, respectively: Clearly, there exists no $V_{\mathbf{l}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ path in $\mathrm{G}^{1}$. Thus $G$ can not contain any cycle $C=(V, E) \cdot$ such that $\left(V \operatorname{U}\left\{{ }_{\mathrm{v}}, \quad, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\}\right) \mathrm{C} \mathrm{V}$. $\begin{array}{llllll}C & \text { C } & 1 & 2 & C\end{array}$

The upperbound above is, clearly, the best possible. The graph $G$ of Figure 1 can be separated by the path $v \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{v}} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ hence $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}} \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{n}}=2$. Note that even though G is 1-path-hamiltonian, there exists no hamiltonian cycle containing the $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}$ path. A simple consequence of the above result is a necessary condition for a graph to be k-path-hamiltonian:

Corollary 1.1: A k-path-hamiltonian graph can not be separated by deleting the vertices of a length $k-1$ path.

We now state some auxiliary results:

Lemma 1 (Harary [4; p. 104]): If G is a planar graph, then $G$ has at least four vertices of degree not exceeding 5.

Lemma 2 (Harary [4, p. 104]): If G is a maximal plane graph, then every face of $G$ is a triangle.

It follows from Lemma 2 that;

Lemma 3: If $G$ is a maximal planar graph and $v_{i}$ is any vertex of $G$, then the set of the vertices adjacent to $v_{i}$ induce $a$ cycle in $G$

Let $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{G})$ denote the connectivity number of the graph $G$.

Lemma 4 (Whitney [7]): If G is maximal planar, then $3 \leq<(G) \leq 5$.

Finally we need the following auxiliary result:

Lemma 5: If $G=(V, E)$ is a maximal planar graph and $S C V$ is a minimal separating set, then $S$ induces a cycle in $G$.

Proof: Let $s=|s|$. Clearly, $3 \leq s \leq 5$ (Lemma 4). Let $s=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$ where $k=s$. Given any embedding of $G$ in the plane, let $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ be the components of G-S, as shown in Figure 2(a). Clearly, exactly two vertices in $S$ must lie in the exterior face of G, say. $u_{1}$ and $u_{s}$. Now; suppose that, in $G$, neither $G_{1}$ nor $G_{2}$ lies in the interior of a cycle induced by $S$. Then, since $V_{1}, V_{2} \neq \varnothing$, the exterior face of $G$ can not be triangular, thereby contradicting Lemma 2. Thus, $u_{1}$ must be adjacent to $u_{s}$, as shown in Figure 2(b) or 2(c). Suppose ( $u_{1}, u_{s}$ ) is as shown in Figure 2(b). Since $S$ is a separating set, there can not be an edge ( $\left.v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right) \varepsilon E$ as as shown in Figure 2(c). Then, via Lemma 2, $u_{1}$ must be adjacent to $u_{2}$ in $G$. Using similar arguments, we can show that $G$ contains a $u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{s}$ path of length ( $s-1$ ). Thus $s$ induces a cycle in G.

Another consequence of Theorem 1 deals with maximal planar graphs:

Corollary 1.2: If $G$ is maximal planar, then $k *(G) \leq 3$.

Proof: It follows from Lemmas 1 and 3 that, $n_{\min }(G) \leq 4$. Hence, via Lemma 5 and Theorem 1, $k *(G) \leq 3$.

## 3. Exact Values of $k *(G), G$ Maximal Planar

Theorem 2: Let $G=(V, E)$ be a maximal planar graph. Then

$$
k^{*}(G)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 \text { if and only if } k(G)=5 \\
2 \text { if and only if } k(G)=4 \\
1 \text { or } 0 \text { if and only if } k(G)=3
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: Suppose $K(G)=5$. Let $v_{r} v_{s} v_{t} v_{u}$ be any path in $G$. It can easily be shown that the elementary contraction $G_{s t}$ of $G$ obtained by replacing $v_{s}$ and $v_{t}$ with a new vertex $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a 4-connected maximal planar graph. (Since $K(H)=1+\min K(H-v)$ if $H$ is connected (see Exercise 5.21 vEH
in Harary [4]), delete $v_{t}$ from $G$, replace $s$ by $w$, and join $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{w}}$ to the vertices that were adjacent to $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{t}}$ in $G$ ). Since 4-connected planar graphs are 2-path hamiltonian (follows from Theorem 2 in Tutte [6]), $G_{\text {st }}$ contains a hamiltonian cycle $C_{n}$ containing the path $v_{r} v_{w} v_{u}$. Clearly, the union of the path $C_{n}-v_{w} \subset G_{s t}$ and the path $v_{r} v_{s} v_{t} v_{u}$ is a hamiltonian cycle of $G$. Thus $G$ is 3-path hamiltonian if $K(G)=5$.

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 5 that $k *(G)=3$ implies $K(G) \geq 5:$ the subpath induced by $S \sim$ \{any vertex in $s\}$ can not lie on a hamiltonian cycle if $|s|=3$ or 4. Thus $|S|=5$ is necessarily true, and, therefore $K(G)=5$. Now suppose $K(G)=4$. Then $G$ contains at least one separating 4-cycle (Hakimi and Schemeichel [3]). Hence k* $(G) \leq 2$ via the first part above. But 4-connected planar graphs are

```
2-path hamiltonian; thus K(G) = 4 •> k*(G) = 2. On the
other hand; k* (G) = 2 \bullet K (G) ^\geq 4 (Lemma 5). Hence, together
with the first part, k*(G) = 2 ** K(G) - 4. The third part
follows from the first two parts. I
```

We have thus established that there exists k-path hamiltonian maximal planar graphs, $k=2,3$, with $p$ vertices for all $p j \geq 10$.

We next investigate maximal planar graphs with $k *(G)=0$ or 1 . We can construct either types of graphs easily. Start with any 4-connected maximal plane graph $G$ with $p \wedge 6$ vertices and insert a vertex in each one of exactly $p$ faces of $G$, and join each new vertex to the vertices of the triangle bounding the respective faces. Let $G_{\mathbf{I}}$ denote the resulting graph (Figure 3). It can easily be shown that $G_{\mathcal{1}}$ is hamiltonian. However, $G_{\mathbf{1}}$ can not contain any hamiltonian cycle containing any edge . of at least one of the face-bounding triangles common to both $G$ and $G_{i} ;$ for otherwise, if we insert a vertex in that face as well, the resulting graph would also be hamiltonian. However, G. would, then, have $2 p+1$ vertices and the deletion of the vertices common to both $G$ and $G_{\bar{x}}$ would resulpt in $a \overline{\mathrm{~K}}{ }_{+\bar{x}^{\prime}}$ thereby contradicting the 1 -toughness (see Theorem 4.2, Bondy and Murty [1]) of hamiltonian graphs. Thus $\mathrm{k}^{*}\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right)=0$.

On the other hand, start with any 4-connected maximal plane graph $G$ and insert one vertex in any face of $G$ and join it to the vertices of the triangle bounding that face. Let $G_{\mathbf{l}}$ be the resulting graph (Figure 4). Since $G$ is 2-path-hamiltonian, it is clear that $G_{i}$ is 1-path-hamiltonian. However, $\mathrm{k}^{*}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)=\mathbf{1}$ because $\mathrm{G}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ can not contain any hamiltonian cycle

```
containing two edges of the triangle bounding that face of G in which
a vertex was added, since the degree of the new vertex is 3.
We'would also like to add that since k*(G) £ 1 implies that K(G) = 3,
characterization of these cases would, in effect, be equivalent to
characterizing hamiltonian maximal planar graphs, which remains a
rather difficult unsolved problem.
```



Figure 1; A 1-path hamiltonian graph


Figure 2(a)


Figure 2(b)


Figure 2 (c)


Figure 2 (d)


Figure 3; Constructing a hamiltonian maximal planar planar graph not every edge of which lies in a hamiltonian cycle


Figure 4: Constructing a connectivity 3
1-path-hamiltonian maximal
planar graph
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